U. S. FOREST SERVICE

RESEARCH NOTE NC-200

NC-200
RN NORTH CENTRAL FOREST EXPERIMENT STATION, FOREST SERVICE—U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
C.2 Folwell Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101 1976

FORMULAS OF SITE INDEX PREDICTION TABLES FOR OAK IN MISSOURI

Harry V. Wiant, Jr., *Professor of Forestry*
West Virginia University
Morgantown, West Virginia
and
Robert A. McQuilkin, *Associate Silviculturist*
Columbia, Missouri

ABSTRACT.--Recently published site index prediction tables for oak in Missouri were formulized using the "matchacurve" system. The average absolute differences between formula and table values were 0.8 feet for white oak and 1.4 feet for black and scarlet oaks; maximum differences were 3.0 and 4.2 feet, respectively.
OXFORD: 541:176.1(778) *Quercus* spp.
KEY WORDS: white oak, black oak, scarlet oak, matchacurve.

Expressing site index curves by formulas makes direct computation of site index from tree height/age data possible and eliminates the tedious and error prone process of reading and interpolating site index values from graphs. On large sets of data, this saves time and allows site index determinations to be integrated into computerized data processing systems.

Mathematical equations have recently been fitted to several previously published site index curves using complex exponential growth functions (Lundgren and Dolid 1970, Ek 1971, Payandeh 1974a and 1974b, Hann 1975). Wiant (1975) recently did the same for Schnur's (1937) site index curves for upland oaks using the "matchacurve" system (Jensen and Homeyer 1971, Jensen 1973).

This paper reports on formulation of site index prediction tables for black/scarlet oak (*Quercus velutina* Lam., *Q. coccinea* Muenchh.) and white oak (*Q. alba* L.) in Missouri (McQuilkin 1974). In the derivation of these tables, stem analyses data were used to develop (for the two species groups separately) seven linear regressions of the form:

$$\text{site index} = b_0 + b_1(\text{Height})_{\text{Age}}$$

for ages 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 70, and 80. Site index was used as the dependent variable because the tables were designed to predict site index values for trees or stands of known heights and ages (Curtis *et al.* 1974). The results of these regressions plus interpolations between the equations were used to develop site index prediction tables at 2-year and 2-feet intervals.

FORMULATION PROCEDURE

For each species group the intercept and slope values of the seven $SI = b_0 + b_1(H)_A$ regressions were expressed as functions of age:

$$b_0 = f_0(A)$$

$$b_1 = f_1(A).$$

A straight line fit proved adequate for slope values for white oak, but slope values for black and scarlet oak and intercept values for both species groups followed curvilinear relations that were fitted using the "matchacurve" technique (table 1). These functions were then substituted into the original equation form to derive one equation for each species group which was applicable at all ages:

$$SI = f_0(A) + f_1(A)(H).$$

Prediction of the 582 table values for white oak and the 650 table values for black and scarlet oaks showed average absolute differences of 0.8 and 1.4 feet and maximum differences of 3.0 and 4.2 feet, respectively. Maximum differences between formula and table values exceeded 2.2 feet for white oak and 3.0 feet for black and scarlet oak only for predictions at age 80. Considering the inaccuracies inherent when height measurements are made in the field, the error in the formulated approach is relatively minor.

Table 1.--*Formulas for predicting intercept and slope values for site index regressions*

Species	Intercept (b_0)	r^2	Slope (b_1)	r^2
White oak	$0.000152(80-A)^{2.9}-0.179047$	0.98	$1.344432-0.007468A$	0.94
Black and scarlet oaks	$0.000058(80-A)^{3.2}-0.322803$	0.98	$0.99-0.225(A/80)^{4.8}$	0.95

Note: A = age in years (stump age or b.h. age plus 2 years). Formulas above are substituted back into the original equation: site index = $b_0 + b_1$ (height), for predictions.

LITERATURE CITED

Curtis, Robert O., Donald J. DeMars, and Francis R. Herman. 1974. Which dependent variable in site index-height-age regressions? For. Sci. 20:74-87.

Ek, Alan R. 1971. A formula for white spruce site index curves. Univ. Wis. For. Res. Note 161, 2 p.

Hann, David W. 1975. Site index and maximum gross yield capability equations for ponderosa pine in the Black Hills. USDA For. Serv. Res. Note INT-191, 5 p. Intermt. For. & Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

Jensen, Chester E. 1973. Matchacurve-3: multiple-component and multidimensional mathematical models for natural resource studies. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. INT-146, 42 p. Intermt. For. & Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

Jensen, Chester E., and Jack W. Homeyer. 1971. Matchacurve-2 for algebraic transforms to describe curves of the class X^n. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. INT-106, 39 p. Intermt. For. & Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah.

Lundgren, Allen L., and William A. Dolid. 1970. Biological growth functions describe published site index curves for Lake States timber species. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. NC-36, 9 p. North Cent. For. Exp. Stn., St. Paul, Minn.

McQuilkin, Robert A. 1974. Site index prediction table for black, scarlet, and white oaks in southeastern Missouri. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. NC-108, 8 p. North Cent. For. Exp. Stn., St. Paul, Minn.

Payandeh, Bijan. 1974a. Formulated site index curves for major timber species in Ontario. For. Sci. 20:143-144.

Payandeh, Bijan. 1974b. Site index formula for major timber species in Ontario. Bimon. Res. Notes 30(1):4.

Schnur, G. Luther. 1937. Yield, stand, and volume tables for even-aged upland oak forests. USDA Tech. Bull. 560, 87 p.

Wiant, Harry V., Jr. 1975. Schnur's site index curves for upland oaks formulated. J. For. 73:429.

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE:1976-669-003