

Meadow Restoration in the Sawtooth National Recreation Area in Southern Idaho

John Sloan

John Sloan is Assistant Nursery Manager at the USDA Forest Service Lucky Peak Nursery, 15169 E. Hwy. 21, Boise, ID 83709; telephone: 208. 343.1977; e-mail: jpsloan@fs.fed.us

In: Riley, L. E.; Dumroese, R. K.; Landis, T. D., tech. coords. 2006. National Proceedings: Forest and Conservation Nursery Associations—2005. Proc. RMRS-P-43. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 160 p. Available at: <http://www.rngr.net/nurseries/publications/proceedings>

Abstract: High elevation sites are ecologically fragile. When disturbed, these sites can take a long time to recover. However, native plant seeds are often unavailable and little is known about growing many of these plant species. This paper describes the cooperative restoration of a high elevation meadow in the Sawtooth National Recreation Area after a severe disturbance. The methods are presented for others who may be faced with a similar situation or working with the same native plant species.

Keywords: native plants, grasses, forbs, shrubs, restoration, seed collection, seed cleaning, plant propagation, site disturbance, container stock

Introduction

Many land managers are faced with a need to restore wildlands to their original ecological state following disturbance. Disturbances may be due to fire, livestock or wildlife grazing, timber harvest, recreation, extreme weather events, or other site injuries that changed or took away the natural vegetation on the site. The resulting restoration needs may cover as little as an acre or less, or as large an area as a landscape. One of the foremost challenges in beginning a restoration project is finding seeds of the correct native species, adapted to the local area, and for the appropriate location and elevation of the site. It is possible that little information is known about the desired native plant species, seed treatments, germination, culture, and production.

This paper will describe a project to restore a fragile high elevation meadow in the mountains of southern Idaho. The project was a cooperative effort between USDA Forest Service Sawtooth National Recreation Area (SNRA), USDA Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station (RMRS), and USDA Forest Service Lucky Peak Nursery (LPN). The purpose of the paper is to provide a stepping stone for others to build and improve upon.

The Problem

The Site

The site of focus is located south of Stanley, Idaho in the White Cloud Mountains. It is a small mountain meadow, about 1 ac (0.4 ha) in size, surrounded by lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) forests, and very near Fourth of July Creek. At 9,000 ft (2,740 m), the meadow is an ecologically fragile home to many species of forbs, grasses, sedges, and a few shrubs. The soil is a well-drained loamy sand derived from the granitic bedrock of the Idaho Batholith. The water table is high in the spring but falls rapidly in early summer. The site is fragile because the short summer growing season is further limited by cool temperatures and summer drought. Plants have a limited opportunity to establish and grow in this environment, which can be very harsh 10 to 11 months of the year.

The site is important for many reasons. The Sawtooth National Recreation Area is famous for its pristine beauty. People come from across the U.S. and around the world to hike, camp, bike, fish, watch wildlife, drive motorized vehicles on and off roads, view the scenery, as well as many other types of recreation. Much of this roadless area will soon be designated wilderness. The site is beside a road less than 0.5 mi (0.8 km) from a popular trailhead. With little or no vegetative cover, the meadow is at risk to invasion by noxious weeds and spreading those species to surrounding areas and the pristine roadless area. Erosion is an additional hazard, with no vegetative cover and roots to bind the soil. The proximity to Fourth of July Creek could lead to sedimentation in the stream.

The Disturbance

In spring 2002, while the meadow was in a wet, muddy condition, a group of young people drove 4-wheel-drive vehicles around the meadow, destroying the existing vegetation and creating deep ruts. The participants were fined afterwards, but the damage was already done (figure 1).

Results: The Solution

Site Preparation

In order to remove the ruts from the meadow, reduce the potential for erosion, and make the meadow more presentable to the public, SNRA district personnel brought in a small tractor with a tiller and smoothed the surface after it dried out. Little vegetation grew on the site that summer.

Seed Collection

During the first week in August, people from the SNRA district and LPN visited the site and located some nearby areas where seed sources were available for revegetating the site. During the first week in September, we brought together a crew composed of a botanist from the SNRA, a person from LPN, and three people from the RMRS with experience in collecting native plant seeds. The crew, led by the botanist, spent 2 days collecting forb, grass, and shrub seeds in nearby meadows (figure 2).

Seeds were collected by hand-picking, or more often stripping, seeds into paper bags. The bags were labeled with time, date, species, and location. Seeds from 18 species were collected. More seeds were collected from abundant plants such as Idaho fescue (*Festuca idahoensis*) and small-winged sedge (*Carex microptera*). Lesser amounts of seeds were



Figure 1—Meadow after it was roughened by 4-wheel-drive vehicles. Notice the ruts in the mud and the lack of vegetation.



Figure 2—Collecting native seeds in a nearby meadow.

collected from species where the plants were not plentiful, seeds were not quite ripe, or plants were past the peak of seed production.

Seed Treatment

The seeds were taken to LPN and processed for sowing. Initially, seeds were spread out on racks until dry. The various kinds of seeds were cleaned using three machines: clipper, dewinger, and air separator. All lots were clean enough to sow in the greenhouse.

Information on seed treatment and stratification for each species was obtained from the Native Plant Network Web site (www.nativeplantnetwork.org). The seeds were placed in small cotton bags and soaked in a 3 percent solution of peroxide (H_2O_2) for 2 hours to kill any surface pathogens. After a thorough rinse, the seeds were soaked in gibberillic acid (GA_3) for 24 hours to improve germination. The bags of seeds were then drained and placed in air tight plastic bags in a cooler at 34 °F (1 °C). This stratification process lasted 3 weeks or longer depending on the species.

Tables 1 through 3 present information on how the seeds of all species were treated before sowing in the greenhouse. The shaded rows in the tables indicate which species were eventually planted on the SNRA meadow. Because there was no mold or damping-off observed during stratification or in the greenhouse, the peroxide sanitation treatment seemed

to be effective. Whether the gibberillic acid treatment had any effect is uncertain.

Sowing and Greenhouse Culture

Seeds were surface dried before sowing into 160/120 Styroblock™ containers (7.3 in³ [120 cm³], 9 in [22.8 cm] depth) filled with a 50:50 mixture of peat and vermiculite. The deeper than normal blocks were used to provide more rooting volume and a longer root system for outplanting. However, the plugs proved to be difficult to extract and harder to plant than standard length 160/90 Styroblock™ plugs (5.5 in³ [90 cm³], 6 in [15.2 cm] depth). Several seeds (3 to 5) were sown in each cell. No thinning was done. This was fine for the grasses, but the forbs and shrubs should have been thinned to one plant per cell to develop sturdier plants. The seeds were placed on the soil surface and, after the blocks were put in the greenhouse and watered well, a very thin white fabric was put over the top to protect the seeds and maintain a high humidity in the seed zone (figure 3). The fabric was 0.5 oz (14 g) white, spunbound fabric used in commercial grass seeding. It is called “Seed and Plant Guard,” available through the DeWitt Company™ (Sikeston, MO). The weave is somewhat porous to allow irrigation over the top. After germination (2 weeks), the fabric was removed. Seeding took place in early January. By mid-May, plants were mature and were extracted at that time (figure 4).

Table 1—Seed treatments and germination success for grass species.

Species	Common name	Seed treatment			Germination ^a
		H ₂ O ₂ soak (3%)	GA ₃ soak	Cold strat	
<i>Alopecurus</i> spp.	Meadow foxtail	2 hours	24 hours	3 weeks @34 °F	Excellent
<i>Carex microptera</i>	Small-winged sedge	2 hours	24 hours	4 weeks @ 34 °F	Poor
<i>Festuca idahoensis</i>	Idaho fescue	2 hours	24 hours	3 weeks @ 34 °F	Good
<i>Carex aenea</i>	Bronze sedge	2 hours	24 hours	3 weeks @ 34 °F	Poor

^aPoor = <6 percent cells; good = 6 to 90 percent; excellent = 90 percent +.

Table 2—Seed treatments and germination success for forb species.

Species	Common name	Seed treatment			Germination ^a
		H ₂ O ₂ soak (3%)	GA ₃ soak	Cold strat	
<i>Antennaria microphylla</i>	Everlasting pussytoes	2 hours	24 hours		Excellent
<i>Aster conspicuus</i>	Showy aster	2 hours	24 hours	3 weeks @34 °F	Excellent
<i>Balsamorhiza sagittata</i>	Arrowleaf balsamroot	2 hours	24 hours	4 weeks @34 °F	Poor
<i>Castilleja</i> spp.	Paintbrush	2 hours	24 hours	4 weeks @34 °F	Poor
<i>Ergonum heracleoides</i>	Buckwheat	2 hours	24 hours	3 weeks @34 °F	Good
<i>Geranium viscosissimum</i>	Wild geranium	2 hours		4 weeks @34 °F	Good
<i>Hackelia</i> spp.	Wild forget-me-not	2 hours	24 hours	4 weeks @34 °F	Poor
<i>Penstemon ryberg</i>	Penstemon	2 hours	24 hours	4 weeks @34 °F	Excellent
<i>Potentilla gracilis</i>	Cinquefoil	2 hours	24 hours	4 weeks @34 °F	Excellent
<i>Senecio</i> spp.	Western groundsel	2 hours	24 hours	4 weeks @34 °F	Excellent
<i>Zigadenus elegans</i>	Death camas	2 hours	24 hours	4 weeks @34 °F	Excellent

^aPoor = <60 percent cells; good = 60 to 90 percent; excellent = 90 percent +

Table 3—Seed treatments and germination success for shrub species.

Species	Common name	Seed treatment			Germination ^a
		H ₂ O ₂ soak (3%)	GA ₃ soak	Cold strat	
<i>Lupinus argenteus</i>	Silvery lupine	24 hours in H ₂ O	Tumbled for 1 minute	None	Began to germinate too quickly
<i>Potentilla fruticosa</i>	Shrubby cinquefoil	2 hours in H ₂ O ₂ , 24 hours in GA ₃	None	4 weeks @34 °F	Excellent

Seedlings were packed into plastic bags that were arranged upright in waxed boxes. They were stored in a cooler at 35 °F (1.6 °C) for 2 weeks. Success of germination is shown in the last column in tables 1 through 3. In general, poor germination was defined as less than 60 percent cells filled; good germination was 60 to 90 percent of cells filled; and excellent germination was 90 percent of cells filled. In total, about 4,000 plants, comprised of 15 species, were packed and outplanted.

Outplanting

Outplanting took place during the first week in June. It was a wet, snowy day on the planting site. Workers were USDA Forest Service employees who volunteered for the 1-day detail. Soil conditions were wet and the temperature was above freezing. The snowpack had melted off a few days before, but light rain and snow fell during much of the day.

Seedlings were transported in the back of a pickup covered by a tarp. The plastic bags of plants were distributed on the

site by the SNRA botanist to match the right microsites to the species. Planters then came along with shovels and planting bars and put the plants in the ground.

The summer that followed was drier than normal. Most of the plants, however, were still alive in September (figure 5). Mortality was attributed to drought, shallow planting causing desiccation, and damage from pocket gophers.

Conclusion: The Consequences

The SNRA meadow restoration was only a small project. The amount of seeds, the number of plants produced, and the area revegetated were tiny in the big perspective. However, the success of this restoration project goes far beyond the borders of the SNRA meadow. For the SNRA, it has opened the possibility of restoring other sites that, for a long time, they have thought they would just have to live with. For Lucky Peak Nursery, it has been a spring board into a more




Figure 3—A light fabric called “Seed and Plant Guard” was placed over the Styroblock™ containers to protect the seeds and maintain an environment to promote germination.



Figure 4—Some of the SNRA native plants in the greenhouse during April 2003. Idaho fescue and cinquefoil are in the background, meadow foxtail in the center, and pussy toes and shrubby cinquefoil in the foreground.



Figure 5—The mountain meadow in September of 2003 after one growing season following outplanting.

diversified plant business. It has led to other partnerships in the production of native plant stock and the production of native plant seeds in the nursery. Now with a new 24,000 ft² (2,230 m²) greenhouse for plant production and a new small plot combine for harvesting seeds, LPN capabilities just keep growing. It has given other public land managers ideas about what they can achieve in restoration of high elevation meadows and other ecosystems all the way down to the dry valley floor.

It is not within the scope of this paper to speculate on the future. However, with the need for restoration of disturbed lands in the Intermountain West currently at millions of acres, and native seed stores minimal, the task facing land managers is huge. Through cooperation, we are chipping away at this daunting task and can someday gain momentum that will bring these lands back to their original useful condition.