Chapter 2

Restoring and Managing Cold Desert Shrublands for Climate Change Mitigation

Susan E. Meyer[1]

[1] U.S. Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station; Grassland, Shrublands, and Desert Ecosystems Program; Shrub Sciences Laboratory, Provo, Utah

Executive Summary

The equation for slowing global warming includes decreasing carbon emissions into the atmosphere as well as increasing carbon sequestration in the biosphere. Many proposed schemes for increasing carbon sequestration, such as afforestation of non-forested lands, involve tradeoffs with other resource values, including water availability. An alternative idea is to restore native plant communities to a condition that maximizes carbon storage without the need for continued resource inputs. Cold desert shrublands are a particularly good choice for management for increased carbon sequestration for the following reasons:

- Because of deep rooting systems, high root:shoot ratios, and relatively high standing biomass and soil organic carbon reserves, intact cold desert shrublands can store impressive amounts of carbon, more than one-third as much as the average biome on a per-area basis, in spite of dramatically lower productivity.
- The low productivity of cold deserts makes them relatively unimportant from an agricultural economics perspective. In a world where carbon sequestration itself is destined to become a commodity, shrubland management for increased carbon storage could potentially become a source of revenue that would offset the investments necessary to achieve restoration and management goals.
- A large fraction of cold desert shrubland in the Interior West is in severely degraded condition, with immense acreages dominated by weedy annual grasses that turn these systems into net carbon sources rather than sinks. While this is unfortunate in the short term, it means immense potential for increasing carbon sequestration through restoration of these degraded systems.

Accomplishing the task of returning degraded cold desert shrublands to their status as net carbon sinks will not be easy. The success of large-scale restoration through direct seeding is hampered by low and unpredictable amounts of precipitation, and this problem will only be exacerbated as climate continues to warm. The relatively low success rate for cold desert shrubland seedings highlights the need for innovative shrubland restoration research. Ongoing research by Rocky Mountain Research Station (RMRS) scientists in the Grassland, Desert, and Shrubland Ecosystems (GSD) Program that addresses these issues includes:

- Developing novel biocontrol strategies for both weedy annual grasses and secondary dicot weeds.

- Developing the knowledge and technology to increase supplies of seeds of diverse native species, including nontraditional early seral species that may be better able to cope with current and future disturbance regimes.
- Developing improved technology for site preparation and seeding.
- Developing knowledge and technology needed to restore microbiotic soil crusts.
- Developing knowledge of genetic variation in traits important to climatic adaptation in key species such as big sagebrush to better match seed source to current and future site conditions.
- Increasing understanding of successional processes in desert shrublands, grasslands, and woodlands in the context of global climate change and disturbance regime.

Introduction

Deserts and semideserts occupy approximately 22% of the Earth's land surface (Janzen 2004), yet because of their low productivity, they are generally assumed to be relatively minor players in the global carbon cycle. Schemes to mitigate global climate change have rarely considered that improving carbon sequestration in deserts could make a significant contribution at a global scale. Many ideas for increasing carbon sequestration, such as tree plantations in marginally suitable environments, involve tradeoffs with other resource values such as water use and quality (Jackson and others 2005). In contrast, improving carbon sequestration in deserts by restoring degraded shrublands to a more functional state would address a broad suite of resource values, including improved air and water quality, wildland fire abatement, enhanced wildlife habitat, biodiversity conservation, and aesthetic and recreational values.

Because cold deserts store much of their carbon belowground and that carbon is stored in deeper soil layers, these deserts are likely to store more carbon per unit area than warm deserts with monsoonal moisture regimes. In addition, the desert shrublands of the interior West might be more appropriately classified as semideserts, as they generally have much higher standing biomass than the true deserts, for example, the Sahara Desert of North Africa, which is virtually plantless over large areas except in drainages ("wadis"). This combination of high belowground allocation and relatively high biomass production appears to make cold deserts exceptionally good candidates for carbon sequestration.

The question addressed here is whether restoration on a broad scale in the interior West could make a significant contribution to climate change mitigation. The premise is that restoration of degraded cold desert shrublands could result in sequestration of significant amounts of carbon and could also reduce the negative climatic effects of excessive windblown dust. The consumptive uses of these ecosystems (e.g., livestock grazing), which could potentially interfere with management for carbon sequestration, could be said to be relatively unimportant economically, at least in the Interior West. If the carbon credit market that is taking shape internationally becomes fully functional, well-managed cold deserts may be able to provide more revenue as carbon sinks than as grazing lands. In addition, management for carbon sequestration can also be viewed as management for maximum return in terms of many other ecosystem services and amenity resources.

Carbon Storage in Deserts

Examination of carbon (C) storage patterns in major biomes on a global scale reveals that deserts (including semideserts) are responsible for the storage of a substantial proportion of the terrestrial C pool. Stored carbon may be present as standing biomass or as soil organic carbon (SOC), which is generally considered to be the more stable and persistent form. It dominates the terrestrial carbon pool at about 80% of total stored C (table 2-1; Janzen 2004). The relative contribution of C as standing biomass versus SOC in deserts is even more strongly biased, with over 95% of the stored C as SOC. Standing biomass C in deserts is estimated to account for only 1.7% of global total, whereas desert SOC is estimated to account for 9.5%. Overall, deserts, which occupy about 22% of the total land area, account for about 8% of terrestrial C stocks (Janzen 2004), indicating deserts are generally about a third as effective as the average biome at storing C on a per area basis. Given the intrinsically unproductive nature of deserts, these figures at first seem surprisingly high. It is hard to see how systems that support such low standing biomass can generate so much SOC. But the same factor that generally limits biomass production in deserts, namely lack of water during much of the year, particularly when temperatures are warm, also limits the rate of microbial respiration in soil, leading to accumulation and persistence of SOC (Jobbagy and Jackson 2000).

The vertical distribution of C in deserts also helps explain how they can be effective carbon sinks (fig. 2-1). When compared with other temperate region biomes, standing biomass, particularly in cold deserts, is dominated by the belowground portion, with root:shoot ratios averaging between four and five (Jackson and others 1996; fig. 2-2). The maximum rooting depth is deeper for cold deserts than for any other biome examined (Canadell and others 1996), and less than 55% of root biomass is found in the upper 30 cm of soil (Jackson and others 1996). This contrasts with perennial grasslands, which have similar standing biomass and relatively high root:shoot ratios, but with >80% of the root biomass in the surface 30 cm. This pattern of deep and extensive rooting in cold deserts is probably related to the need to capture winter precipitation stored at depth during the ensuing growing season, which is usually quite dry. The pattern is not seen in warm deserts, where summer monsoonal moisture patterns dominate and root:shoot ratios average less than one (Jackson and others 1996).

Table 2-1. Estimated terrestrial global carbon stocks by biome (Janzen 2004) and estimated mean carbon stock per unit area for each biome.

		Global carbon stocks (Pg)			
Biome	**Area (10^9 ha)**	**Plants**	**Soil**	**Total**	**Carbon stock/area**
Temperate Forests	1.04	59	100	159	152.9
Boreal Forests	1.37	88	471	559	111.6
Temperate Grasslands/Shrublands	1.25	9	295	304	89.3
Deserts and Semideserts[1]	3.04	8	191	199	58.2
Tundra	0.95	6	121	127	17.9
Croplands	1.60	3	128	131	81.9
Tropical Forests	1.76	212	216	428	243.2
Tropical Savannahs/Grasslands	2.25	66	264	330	108.1
Wetlands	0.35	15	225	240	68.6
Total (not including ice cover)	13.61	466	2011	2477	182
% of total in deserts/semideserts	22.3%	1.7%	9.5%	8.0%	

[1]Area and carbon stock per area estimates in Janzen (2004) for the desert/semidesert biome have been adjusted by removal of areas of ice cover.



Figure 2-1. The carbon cycle in a cold desert ecosystem, showing fluxes to the atmosphere (plant respiration and animal/microbial respiration/decomposition), uptake from the atmosphere by plants (primarily shrubs and grasses; photosynthesis), standing plant biomass, and shallow and deep soil organic carbon (SOC). If C uptake exceeds C flux to the atmosphere, C sequestration to a net C sink takes place, whereas if flux to the atmosphere exceeds uptake, the system functions as a net carbon source. Deep SOC, the most stable form of stored C, dominates C storage in deserts and semideserts.

In deserts and shrublands in general, SOC and standing belowground biomass follow similar distribution patterns, that is, with more SOC in deeper soil layers relative to the surface layer than is found in either grassland or forest vegetation (Jobbagy and Jackson 2000). The estimated proportion of total SOC found from 1 to 3 m in depth is higher for deserts (0.86) than for any other temperate ecosystem. In general, SOC has a deeper distribution in soil than roots, and this is especially true in ecosystems with lower precipitation. The most likely explanation for this is that SOC turnover at depth is very slow. Dominance of more slowly degrading forms of carbon, lower nutrient concentrations, and more resistant root tissues at depth contribute to SOC persistence (Jobbagy and Jackson 2000).

The ability of cold desert soils to retain SOC could be reduced by the effects of ongoing climate change. Aanderud and others (2010) showed in an 11-year rain manipulation study that near-surface (0 to 30 cm) SOC stocks in a sagebrush steppe (*Artemisia tridentata*) community were significantly reduced when precipitation was shifted from a winter pattern to a spring-summer pattern. They credited this loss to increased microbial activity in wet surface soil at warm temperatures. Shifts from winter to spring-summer rainfall patterns are predicted for many parts of the Interior West as climate continues to warm (Zhang and others 2007). Rainfall timing impacts on



Figure 2-2. Quantity and distribution of biomass carbon in cold desert biomes contrasted with other temperate zone biomes (grassland, chaparral, deciduous forest, coniferous forest, and cropland): (A) total standing biomass, (B) total root biomass, (C) root:shoot ratio, (D) % root biomass in the top 30 cm, and E) maximum rooting depth (adapted from Jackson and others 1996).

deep SOC would be expected to be lower, however, because deep SOC is more buffered from seasonal temperature changes. This would tend to mitigate the effects of increased warm-season precipitation on soil C storage.

C cycling on U.S. rangelands has been the subject of several recent studies and reviews (e.g., Bird and others 2002; Hunt and others 2004; Schuman and others 2002; Svejcar and others 2008; Follett and Reed 2010; Brown and others 2010). Synthesis of information on carbon storage on rangelands is complicated by the fact that many different vegetation types occurring under many different climatic regimes fall under the rubric of rangelands. Hunt and others (2004), working in Wyoming, found that

mixed grass prairie vegetation was carbon-neutral, whereas sagebrush steppe vegetation was acting as a carbon sink. Schuman and others (2002) focused on the potential to increase carbon sequestration in rangelands through improved management, particularly grazing management—their emphasis was primarily on grasslands. Svejcar and others (2008) reported the results of an interesting six-year study on net ecosystem C exchange at eight rangeland sites across a range of habitats. They found that both sagebrush steppe (cold desert shrubland) sites and three of four perennial grassland sites generally acted as C sinks during the course of the study, whereas the two warm desert sites acted as C sources. Whether a site acted as a source or a sink varied across years and was closely tied to precipitation patterns. Drought years limited productivity and tended to make even the most productive sites temporary C sources because even though C loss was also lowered by dry conditions, much reduced productivity shifted the C balance to net loss over the short term. The potential for increased C sequestration on rangelands, especially cold desert shrublands, is high, but the dynamics of the C cycle in rangelands are complex and temporally variable.

Shrubland Degradation and Carbon Storage

Historically, intact desert ecosystems were most likely in a steady state relationship with regard to carbon budgets, acting in the long term neither as sources nor sinks. But two sets of factors have been operating to disturb this steady state, and these factors generally operate in opposing directions. First, woody "encroachment" of former desert and other temperate grasslands is often thought to have shifted the carbon balance in these ecosystems to make them net carbon sinks. Whether conversion from perennial grassland to woody vegetation results in a net increase in C sequestration is the subject of considerable debate, however. Jackson and others (2002) found that whether woody encroachment of perennial grasslands resulted in an increase or decrease in SOC depended on precipitation. There was substantial loss of SOC with woody encroachment in more mesic environments, a loss sufficient to more than counterbalance the increase in standing biomass C resulting from the conversion to dominance by woody species. At the dry end of the spectrum, on the other hand, conversion from perennial desert grassland to shrubland resulted in increases in both standing biomass C and SOC. Most land managers regard woody encroachment as a form of degradation, but its causes are complex and, in many cases, not completely understood. Climate change may be driving woody encroachment in some ecosystems, for example, in the northern Chihuahuan Desert, where creosote bush (*Larrea tridentata*) and tarbush (*Flourensia cernua*) are actively invading desert grasslands (Van Auken 2000). Changes in historic fire regimes, poor grazing management, and other factors may contribute to woody encroachment in other semiarid ecosystems, for example, the invasion of juniper (*Juniperus* spp.) species into sagebrush steppe in the Interior West.

The second process that has had a major impact on carbon storage in the deserts of western North America is the displacement of desert shrubs by invasive annual grasses through increased frequency of fire following destruction of the perennial herbaceous understory through improper grazing management. The conversion to annual grassland results in a transformation from slow to rapid C cycling, the cessation of C deposition in deeper soil layers, and the direct and rapid transfer of aboveground biomass C to atmospheric C associated both with the initial loss through fire of standing shrub biomass C and with subsequent increased fire frequency. This phenomenon has not received the attention of carbon brokers that has been given to woody encroachment, but it potentially has more impact on carbon budgets as it is very likely in the process of

converting large portions of the Great Basin and surrounding areas into carbon sources. This possibility was apparently first noted by Bradley and others (Bradley and Mustard 2005; Bradley and others 2006). Using sophisticated remote sensing technologies, the authors conservatively estimated that as of 2006, the area of former salt desert and shrub steppe vegetation in the Great Basin alone that has been converted through repeated burning to cheatgrass monocultures was on the order of 20,000 km^2. In addition, cheatgrass is not the only invasive annual grass that is having major impacts in western North America. Medusahead wildrye (*Taeniatherum caput-medusae*) and North Africa grass (*Ventenata dubia*) are major invaders in the Interior Northwest, while red brome (*Bromus rubens*) has become a driver of frequent large-scale fires in the Mojave Desert. Many of these fires are occurring in fire-intolerant shrub communities, for example, blackbrush (*Coleogyne ramosissima*) shrublands, that had very low pre-invasion probabilities of burning (Brooks and others 2004).

Bradley and others (2006) also carried out an on-the-ground assessment of carbon stocks in cold desert shrublands versus cheatgrass monocultures. They measured aboveground carbon stocks and SOC in the near-surface soil horizon in burned and unburned salt desert shrubland (one site) and Wyoming big sagebrush steppe (two sites). They demonstrated a 3- to 30-fold decrease in standing aboveground carbon stocks as a consequence of type conversion to cheatgrass (figs. 2-3 and 2-4).



Figure 2-3. Standing biomass carbon in intact cold desert shrubland communities versus adjacent areas that have been converted to cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) monocultures at Rye Patch, Nevada (salt desert shrubland), Button Point, Nevada (sagebrush steppe), and Jingo, Nevada (sagebrush steppe). Aboveground biomass data from Bradley and others (2006); belowground and total biomass estimated from independent root:shoot ratio data.



Figure 2-4. Estimated loss of biomass carbon resulting from conversion from cold desert shrubland to cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) monoculture at three Nevada sites (adapted from Bradley and others 2006).

While Bradley and others' (2006) study did not include any assessment or estimate of root biomass C, root:shoot ratio information for the dominant species obtained from other studies can provide at least a rough estimate of root biomass C in these communities. A root:shoot ratio estimate of two was used for cheatgrass in the calculations that follow. This estimate is undoubtedly high; in greenhouse and field studies, root:shoot ratios greater than one for this species are rarely encountered, but a conservative estimate was chosen to avoid exaggeration of pre and post-burn differences (Meyer unpublished data). The estimate of six for the root:shoot ratio of *Atriplex* shrubs is based on estimates by Brewster (1968), while the estimate of four for the root:shoot ratio of *Artemisia* is similar to the estimates for cold desert shrublands in Jackson and others (1996). By revising the carbon stock data of Bradley and others (2006) to include these rough estimates, it can be demonstrated that the loss of belowground biomass carbon has the potential to contribute greatly to the effect of burning on carbon storage in these shrublands (fig. 2-2). Using these estimates, the biomass carbon stocks in the salt desert shrubland were reduced eight-fold through burning and conversion to annual grasslands, while those of sagebrush steppe were reduced from at least 6-fold to over 50-fold.

It is true that belowground C from shrub roots is still present for some undetermined length of time post-conversion, after the large pulse of CO_2 emission from the combustion of the aboveground shrub biomass. But ultimately, this carbon will be released to the atmosphere, and without actively growing shrubs to replenish this belowground stock, the effect will be conversion of this formerly carbon-efficient system into a long-term source of atmospheric C. Estimates of biomass C loss from the study of Bradley and others (2006) ranged from 1.1 to 6.5 metric tons per ha for aboveground biomass C, 8.6 to 26.4 metric tons per ha for belowground biomass C, and 9.8 to 32.8 metric tons per ha for total biomass C.

Bradley and others (2006) combined their estimates of the areal extent of conversion to cheatgrass monoculture in the Great Basin with their estimates of reduction in aboveground biomass C stocks as a consequence of this conversion to calculate total biomass C released to the atmosphere (table 2-2). They estimated that about 8 teragrams of C have been released to the atmosphere through shrubland conversion to annual grassland in the Great Basin as of 2006, and the potential for continuing type conversion and carbon release is immense. Adding estimated long-term belowground biomass carbon stock reduction resulted in an estimate of 29 to 60 teragrams of C that will ultimately be released to the atmosphere as a consequence of type conversion from shrubland to annual grassland that has already occurred in the Great Basin.

Invasive annual grass monocultures are not only very poor at carbon sequestration in terms of standing biomass relative to shrublands but also tend to concentrate their SOC near the surface and facilitate rapid turnover of both soil C and nitrogen (Norton

Table 2-2. Estimated biomass carbon loss as a consequence of conversion from cold desert shrubland to cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) monocultures in the Great Basin as of 2006 (adapted from Bradley and others 2006).

	Salt desert shrubland	Sagebrush steppe	Total
Aboveground biomass C loss (tons/km^2)	110	250-650	360-670
Estimated total biomass C loss (tons/km^2)	1000	1500-3200	2500-4200
Estimated area burned (km^2)	2000	18,000	20,000
Estimated aboveground biomass C loss (teragrams)	0.2	4.5-11.7	4.7-11.9
Estimated total biomass C loss (teragrams)	2	27-58	29-60

and others 2004). This is perhaps one reason why it has been difficult to demonstrate direct losses of SOC following annual grass invasion or conversion to annual grass dysclimax (Gill and Burke 1999, Ogle and others 2004, Bradley and others 2006). Most of these studies have examined only the near-surface soil where SOC under annual grasslands is concentrated. The technology for the study of deep SOC remains cumbersome, so that information on this fraction of the C pool is not readily obtained.

Shrubland Degradation and Windblown Dust

Another consequence of anthropogenic disturbance on a landscape scale in arid and semiarid regions is a large increase in the load of windblown dust. This increased dust load results in negative health effects for the human population and also has the potential to exacerbate climate change by causing mountain snow cover to melt more quickly in the spring, reducing yield from the mountain watersheds that are the main source of water for human use. Restoring and managing cold desert shrublands for carbon storage also has the potential to reduce these negative effects of windblown dust by stabilizing the soil surface.

To examine the magnitude of the windblown dust effect, Neff and others (2008) analyzed rates of sediment accumulation in mountain lakes in southwestern Colorado over the last 5000 years. Results showed that the rate of sediment accumulation peaked very sharply in the second half of the Nineteenth Century, a time frame that corresponds with a massive increase in the scope and intensity of livestock grazing in the arid and semiarid regions to the west. The authors further demonstrated using mineralogical analysis that these sediments were not of local origin, but instead represented deposits of windblown dust from the valleys to the west of the watershed.

Livestock grazing and other human activities that disturb the surface soils of deserts generate dust by removing herbaceous plant cover and, often more importantly, through destruction of the cryptobiotic soil crust that stabilizes the surface in many desert regions (Neff and others 2005). These effects are further exacerbated by annual grass invasion and associated frequent fire. Annual grass cover provides some protection against wind erosion relative to bare ground but it prevents cryptobiotic crust recovery, resulting in increased dust generation, especially when these areas burn. The Milford Flat fire of 2007 was the largest wildfire in Utah's history (Miller and others 2011). An enduring legacy of this fire has been massive dust storms that have swept windborne dust into the urban areas of northern Utah and onto mountain watersheds. In addition to direct impacts on air quality and human health, this windborne dust exacerbates the effects of climate change through its effect on snow melt rates.

Snow cover has the highest albedo (light reflecting ability) of any natural land surface, and this ability to reflect light also reduces heat loading and melting rate (Flanner and others 2009). When particulate matter, such as dust or carbonaceous pollutants, is

deposited along with snow, it lowers the albedo of the remaining snow cover as the snow melts because the dark particles are concentrated near the surface of the snow. While it is true that particulate matter in the air lowers insolation and heat load on snow at the surface, this "dimming" effect is more than compensated by the reduction in snow albedo from these particles once they are deposited ("darkening effect"). This effect is especially pronounced in spring when large areas are snow covered and incident solar radiation is high. Flanner and others (2009) found that progressively earlier snow melt dates observed in Europe over the last few decades are almost as much due to this snow darkening effect of pollutants from fossil fuel combustion as to long-term increases in spring temperature caused by global warming. Moreover, the positive feedback from earlier snow melt caused by darkening created warmer spring temperatures independently of the effects of global warming, thus compounding the problem.

Though not as potent a darkening agent as carbonaceous pollutants, windborne dust can also significantly increase snow melt rates (Painter and others 2007). Spring dust storms in the desert region to the west of the mountain study area in southwestern Colorado resulted in several dust-on-snow deposition events per year, with more events in a drought year (2006, eight events) than in an average moisture year (2005, four events). These dust-on-snow deposition events resulted in snow cover durations that were decreased by 18 to 35 days. Shortened snow cover duration has measurable ecological impacts at the local scale in alpine and subalpine areas (Steltzer and others 2009). More importantly, it also has the potential to significantly reduce water yields from mountain watersheds. Given that most of the agricultural and culinary water supplies in the Interior West are closely tied to mountain snowfall, and that the thickness and duration of the snow pack and its rate of melting have a strong impact on the ability to harvest this water supply, the fact that desert dust storms can shorten the duration of snow cover in mountainous areas downwind by a month or more should be of grave management concern (Painter and others 2007).

Managing Desert Shrublands for Climate Change Mitigation

Successful restoration of cold desert shrubland ecosystems on a large scale and subsequent management for ecosystem integrity could help to mitigate the adverse effects of climate change both through increased C sequestration and decreased effects of windblown dust. Climate change mitigation through desert shrubland management has the goal of maintaining or restoring adapted native shrubland vegetation that produces maximum carbon storage in the long term by exploiting all available niches, thereby maximizing productivity. It is likely that the vegetation that evolved in response to the selective forces in a particular environment will be best able to exploit its resources. This vegetation includes the woody shrub overstory, the herbaceous understory, and also the cryptobiotic crust community that occupies the interspaces. All these components are essential for long-term stability, including surface stability, and sustained carbon storage capacity.

An intact shrubland community is much more likely to be resilient in the face of continued climate change and other disturbances than "shrub plantations" analogous to the tree plantations being proposed and implemented for carbon sequestration. Emphasizing shrubs to the exclusion of other community components in a short-sighted effort to maximize carbon storage would probably result in vegetation that would require intensive management to be sustained. Annual grass weed invasion of the bare interspaces and consequent shrub loss through fire would be a constant threat. A more realistic goal, and one that is bound to be more effective in the long term, is to manage for intact shrubland communities that can rebound even from disturbances such as prolonged drought and

fire without high risk of conversion to annual grass dysclimax. Both prevention of further degradation and restoration of degraded shrublands are part of this management scenario.

Cold desert shrublands in the Interior West currently exist in one of three states along a continuum of ecological condition:

(1) Some sites have relatively high-condition shrubland, with native understory and cryptobiotic crust still intact.

(2) Many more sites, perhaps most of the area still occupied by shrubs, are in some intermediate condition, with native perennial understory and/or cryptobiotic crust damaged or absent and with annual weed invasion in the understory. These sites are often at high risk of conversion to the third state.

(3) Loss of the shrub overstory through fire and post-burn dominance by annual grass weeds. Shrublands in these different states present different challenges and opportunities for management for carbon sequestration and windblown dust abatement.

The most important consideration for high-condition shrublands is prevention of degradation. This means keeping the cryptobiotic crust and the herbaceous understory in the best possible condition, which minimizes the probability of massive annual grass expansion after fire and maintains surface stability to minimize dust generation. Direct protection from invasion, for example, by controlling nearby weed infestations that could be propagule sources, is another way to maintain ecosystem integrity, as is providing priority protection in the event of wildfire. Even though occasional wildfire was a natural occurrence before settlement, especially in sagebrush steppe, protection from burning under current conditions is a top priority because of the threat of annual grass invasion.

Shrublands in intermediate condition often present more problems than opportunities in terms of improvement for climate change mitigation. Protection from further disturbance may result in little improvement in these shrublands. Loss of the seed bank of native understory species limits recruitment, and the cryptobiotic crust often cannot recover because of the heavy litter from annual grass invasion. In addition, a common occurrence, especially in sagebrush steppe, is shrub stand thickening or shrub canopy closure in response to loss of understory vegetation. The site at Jungo (Bradley and others 2006) seems to represent such a scenario. Sagebrush standing biomass was very high, and the understory was completely dominated by cheatgrass. Such a site could be described as "walking dead" in terms of the risk of conversion to annual grassland because a shrub-destroying fire is nearly inevitable. Natural shrub recovery after fire is often nil for dominant shrub species such as sagebrush and shadscale (*Atriplex confertifolia*), which cannot resprout after fire and rarely establish from seed in areas of high annual grass competition. Active management of shrublands with an understory dominated by cheatgrass will necessitate the development of effective tools to eliminate cheatgrass; reduce shrub cover, if necessary; establish understory species; and encourage cryptobiotic crust recovery, all with a minimum of surface disturbance. At present, such tools are largely unavailable.

Shrublands that have been converted to annual grass dysclimax communities have usually been given up for lost because of the futility of seeding into dense annual grass stands. But these annual grass dysclimax communities present the most hopeful scenario for increased carbon sequestration. If restoration of these communities is successful, substantial gains in carbon storage can be achieved. There should therefore be a strong emphasis on research aimed at increasing restoration success in areas that no longer support perennial vegetation. Many of the same tools needed to improve degraded shrublands will be needed to restore areas that no longer support shrubs, namely innovative methods for annual grass weed control and new approaches to improving seeding

success in environments with low and variable precipitation. At present, many seedings in these environments fail, which may seem discouraging. But this points the way toward developing new approaches that, while they may be more expensive up front, could result in greatly improved seeding success and therefore a much better cost:benefit ratio for shrubland restoration in the long run. It is our challenge as researchers to develop these new approaches. With climate change mitigation as the goal rather than management of these shrublands for consumptive uses such as livestock grazing, scientists will be inspired to think outside the box and devise the methodology needed to make Interior West shrublands a significant C sink. This includes changing the paradigms for the timing of seedings to better take advantage of changed seasonal rainfall patterns. Even better, along with our partners in management, we will have the opportunity to simultaneously enhance the many other ecosystem services and amenity resources provided by these landscapes.

Research Needs and Activities

Scientists in the GSD Program at RMRS are actively involved in many areas of research that directly address the problems of desert shrubland restoration, and the potential exists for expansion into new areas of research that could yield a high return in useful knowledge for a relatively small investment of resources. Some examples of innovative research projects underway that will improve chances of restoration success are:

- Development of novel biocontrol strategies for eliminating the seed bank of cheatgrass and other invasive annual grasses through the use of augmentative mycoherbicidal approaches with naturally occurring fungal pathogens and endophytes.
- Development of classical biocontrol strategies with specialized herbivores from the native range for control of secondary dicot weeds, such as knapweeds and rush skeletonweed.
- Development of the knowledge and technology needed to produce seed supplies for diverse native species, including nontraditional early seral species such as annual and perennial forbs and shrubs that readily establish on disturbances.
- Increased understanding of the importance of seed microsites and development of new site preparation and seeding technology to increase probability of seeding success.
- Understanding of the biological importance of microbiotic soil crusts and development of technology for soil crust restoration.
- Knowledge of patterns of genetic variation in traits important to climatic adaptation in key species such as big sagebrush to better match seed source to current and future site conditions.
- Understanding of successional processes in desert shrublands, grasslands, and woodlands in order to separate the effects of climate change from the effects of disturbance regime and to potentially predict stable future plant communities to use as targets for restoration.

Some examples of new areas of research where rapid progress could be made if resources were made available are:

- Determination of limiting factors that restrict successful seedling emergence and establishment in restoration seedings in cold desert ecosystems, including soil crusting, absence of safe sites, pathogen attack, absence of beneficial symbionts, use of inappropriate seed sources, and uncontrolled competition from preexisting weeds, and devising strategies to overcome these limiting factors.

- Genetic characterization of a suite of native species potentially useful in cold desert shrubland restoration in terms of traits important in climatic adaptation in order to develop seed supplies specifically adapted to establish and persist in stable future plant communities on different site types.
- Development and field testing of seed sources for this suite of native species using a new set of criteria centered on ability to establish, compete, and persist on disturbed sites rather than on traditional agronomic criteria such as forage production and seed production.

Literature Cited

Aanderud, Z. T., J. H. Richards, T. Svejcar and J. J. James. 2010. A shift in seasonal rainfall reduces soil organic carbon storage in a cold desert. Ecosystems 13: 673-682.

Bird, S. B., J. E. Herrick, M. M. Wander and S. F. Wright. 2002. Spatial heterogeneity of aggregate stability and soil carbon in semi-arid rangeland. Environmental Pollution 116: 445-455.

Bradley, B. A., R. A. Houghton, J. F. Mustard and S. P. Hamburg. 2006. Invasive grass reduces aboveground carbon stocks in shrublands of the western US. Global Change Biology 12: 1815-1822.

Bradley, B. A. and J. F. Mustard. 2005. Identifying land cover variability distinct from land cover change: cheatgrass in the Great Basin. Remote Sensing of Environment 94: 204-213.

Brewster, Jr., S. R. 1968. A study of the effectiveness of precipitation in the salt desert shrub type. Thesis, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah.

Brooks, M. L., C. M. D'Antonio, D. M. Richardson, J. B. Grace and others. 2004. Effects of invasive alien plants on fire regimes. BioScience 54: 677-688.

Brown, J., J. Angerer, S. W. Salley, R. Blaisdell and J. W. Stuth. 2010. Improving estimates of rangeland carbon sequestration potential in the U.S. Southwest. Rangeland Ecology and Management 63: 147-154.

Canadell J., R. B. Jackson, J. R. Ehleringer, H. A. Mooney, O. E. Sala and E. D. Schulze. 1996. Maximum rooting depth of vegetation types at the global scale. Oecologia 108: 583-595.

Flanner, M. G., C. S. Zender, P. G. Hess, N. M. Mahowald and others. 2009. Springtime warming and reduced snow cover from carbonaceous particles. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 9: 2481-2497.

Follett, R. F. and D. A. Reed. 2010. Soil carbon sequestration in grazing lands: social benefits and policy implications. Rangeland Ecology and Management 63: 4-15.

Gill, R. A. and I. C. Burke. 1999. Ecosystem consequences of plant life form changes at three sites in the semiarid United States. Oecologia 121: 551-563.

Hunt, E. A., R. D. Kelly, W. K. Smith, J. T. Fahnestock, J. M. Welker and W. A. Reiners. 2004. Estimation of carbon sequestration by combining remote sensing and net ecosystem exchange data for northern mixed-grass prairie and sagebrush–steppe ecosystems. Environmental Management Vol. 33, Supplement 1: pp. S432-S441.

Jackson, R. B., J. L. Banner, E. G. Jobbagy, W. T. Pockman and D. H. Wall. 2002. Ecosystem carbon loss with woody plant invasion of grasslands. Nature 418: 623-626.

Jackson, R. B., J. Canadell, J. R. Ehleringer, H. A. Mooney, O. E. Sala and E. D. Schulze. 1996. A global analysis of root distributions for terrestrial biomes. Oecologia 108: 389-411.

Jackson, R. B., E. G. Jobbagy, R. Avissar, S. W. Roy and others. 2005. Trading water for carbon with biological carbon sequestration. Science 310: 1944-1947.

Janzen, H. H. 2004. Carbon cycling in earth systems-a soil science perspective. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 104: 399-417.

Jobbagy, E. G. and R. B. Jackson. 2000. The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation to climate and vegetation. Ecological Applications 10: 423-36.

Miller, M., R. Reynolds, M. Reheis, H. Goldstein and J. Yount. 2011. Wind erosion and post-fire rehabilitation strategies—lessons from the Milford Flat fire. Proceedings of the Sixteenth Wildland Shrub Symposium: Threats to Shrubland Ecosystem Integrity. May 18-20, 2010. Logan, Utah.

Neff, J. C., P. Ballentyne, G. L. Farmer, N. M. Mahowald and others. 2008. Increasing eolian dust deposition in the western United States linked to human activity. Nature Geoscience 1: 189-195.

Neff, J. C., R. L. Reynolds, J. Belnap and P. Lamothe. 2005. Multi-decadal impacts of grazing on soil physical and biogeochemical properties in southeast Utah. Ecological Applications 15: 87-95.

Norton, J. B., T. A. Monaco, J. M. Norton, D. A. Johnson and T. A. Jones. 2004. Soil morphology and organic matter dynamics under cheatgrass and sagebrush-steppe plant communities. Journal of Arid Environments 57: 445-466.

Ogle, S. M., D. Dojima and W. A. Reiners. 2004. Modeling the impact of exotic annual brome grasses on soil organic carbon storage in a northern mixed-grass prairie. Biological Invasions 6: 365-377.

Painter, T. H., A. P. Barrett, C. C. Landry, J. C. Neff and others. 2007. Impact of disturbed desert soils on duration of mountain snow cover. Geophysical Research Letters 34: L12502.

Schuman, G. E., H. H. Janzen and J. E. Herrick. 2002. Soil carbon dynamics and potential carbon sequestration by rangelands. Environmental Pollution 116: 391-396.

Steltzer, H., C. Landry, T. H. Painter, J. Anderson, and E. Ayres. 2009. Biological consequences of earlier snowmelt from desert dust deposition in alpine landscapes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 106: 11629-11634.

Svejcar, T., R. Angell, J. A. Bradford, W. Dugas and others. 2008. Carbon fluxes on North American rangelands. Rangeland Ecology and Management 61: 465-474.

Van Auken, O. W. 2000. Shrub invasions of North American semiarid grasslands. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 31: 197-215.

Zhang, X., F. W. Zwiers, G. C. Hegerl, F. H. Lambert and others. 2007. Detection of human influence on Twentieth-Century precipitation trends. Nature 448: 461-465.