

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Re-evaluation of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* in South Korea based on ITS/*tef1* sequences and morphological characteristics

Ki Hyeon Park¹ | Seung-Yoon Oh¹ | Myung Soo Park¹ | Mee-Sook Kim² |
Ned B. Klopfenstein³  | Nam Kyu Kim¹ | Jae Young Park¹ | Jae-Jin Kim⁴ |
Sang-Kuk Han⁵ | Jong Kyu Lee⁶ | Young Woon Lim¹ 

¹School of Biological Sciences and Institute of Microbiology, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

²United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Corvallis, Oregon

³United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Moscow, Idaho

⁴Division of Environmental Science & Ecological Engineering, College of Life Science & Biotechnology, Korea University, Seoul, South Korea

⁵Forest Biodiversity Division, Korea National Arboretum, Pocheon, South Korea

⁶Tree Pathology and Mycology Laboratory, Division of Forest Environment Protection, Gangwon National University, Chuncheon, South Korea

Correspondence

Young Woon Lim, School of Biological Sciences and Institute of Microbiology, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea.
Email: ywlim@snu.ac.kr

Funding information

Korea Mushroom Resource Bank (KMRB), Bio & Medical Technology Development Program; National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF); Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning, Grant/Award Number: 0427-20170005

Edited by: Piotr Łakomy

Abstract

Fungal species in the genera *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* (Physalacriaceae, Agaricales) are well known for their symbiotic relationships with *Gastrodia elata* and *Polyporus umbellatus*, important components of traditional medicine in Asia. In addition, some species in these genera cause Armillaria root disease, which has had a negative economic impact by damaging and destroying urban, horticultural and forest trees. Five species within *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* have been previously reported in South Korea, based primarily on basidioma morphology: *A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica*, *A. mellea*, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens* (reported as *A. tabescens*). This study re-evaluated 60 specimens of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* using morphological features and molecular phylogenetic analyses of the ITS and partial translation elongation factor-1 α (*tef1*) sequences. In addition, spatial distributions of each *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* species in South Korea were determined from combined data based on basidioma collections and environmental DNA (eDNA). Six species (*A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica*, *A. mellea*, *A. nabsnona*, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens*) and three lineages of *A. gallica* (*A. gallica* clade 1–3) were identified in South Korea from both specimens and eDNA. Most of the basidioma specimens used in this study were previously identified as *A. mellea*; however, in this study, no basidioma specimens were identified as *A. mellea*, although this species was detected on Jeju Island from eDNA samples. The detection of *A. nabsnona* was a new report for South Korea. Consistent with previous phylogenetic studies, the *tef1* analysis had better resolution than the ITS analysis. These distribution data of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* species will contribute to better management of Armillaria root disease as well as cultivation of *G. elata* and *P. umbellatus* in South Korea.

KEYWORDS

Armillaria, *Desarmillaria*, eDNA, ITS, Rhizomorph, *tef1*

1 | INTRODUCTION

Fungal species in the genera *Armillaria* (Fr.: Fr.) Staude and *Desarmillaria* (Herink) R. A. Koch & Aime (Physalacriaceae,

Agaricales) are necrotrophic pathogens that can cause Armillaria root disease. These fungi spread vegetatively through soil via characteristic rhizomorphs or mycelia, but they can also spread via air-borne, sexual basidiospores (Baumgartner, Coetzee, & Hoffmeister,

2011). *Armillaria* root disease is responsible for significant economic losses through root decay and mortality of more than 600 species of woody plants (Morrison, Williams, & Whitney, 1991). For example, *A. mellea* has caused major yield losses in vineyards of California, USA (Baumgartner, 2004), while *D. tabescens* was responsible for significant yield losses in peach production of Georgia, USA (Williams-Woodward, 2013). Furthermore, *Armillaria* root disease caused a nearly 27% loss in timber volume of Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) (Cruickshank, Morrison, & Lalumière, 2011). Due to these negative economic impacts, several studies have focused on examining and/or reducing dispersal, virulence and pathogenicity of *Armillaria* (e.g., Chapman, Xiao, & Myers, 2004; Hagle & Shaw, 1991; Lung-Escarmant & Guyon, 2004; Raziq & Fox, 2005). These studies indicate that pathogenicity varies significantly among *Armillaria* species; *A. mellea* and *A. ostoyae* are strong pathogens (Burdall & Volk, 1993; Gregory, 1985; Rishbeth, 1982), while *A. gallica* varies in its pathogenicity (Brazee & Wick, 2009; Bruhn, Wetteroff, Mihail, Kabrick, & Pickens, 2000; Elías-Román et al., 2013; Gregory, 1985; Rishbeth, 1982).

In contrast to being causal agents of root disease, some *Armillaria* species confer beneficial effects to horticultural and related industries. For example, *A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica* and *A. mellea* are used to cultivate *Gastrodia elata* (Guo, Wang, Xue, Zhao, & Yang, 2016; Kusano, 1911; Sung, Jung, Yang, Lee, & Harrington, 1995), while *A. calvescens*, *A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica*, *A. nabsnona* and *A. sinapina* are used to cultivate *Polyporus umbellatus* (Kikuchi & Yamaji, 2010; Xing, Men, & Guo, 2017). Both *G. elata* and *P. umbellatus* are important components of traditional medicine in Asia. However, productivity and cultivation success in *G. elata* and *P. umbellatus* vary depending on the associated *Armillaria* species (Baumgartner et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2016; Kikuchi & Yamaji, 2010; Kusano, 1911; Sung, Jung, Moon, & Kim, 1996).

The genera *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* typically have the following characteristics: yellow-brown coloured pileus, stipe with or without annulus, white spore print, black rhizomorph and nutritional status (saprotrophic to parasitic) (Volk & Burdall, 1995; Watling, Kile, & Burdall, 1991). At present, approximately 39 species of *Armillaria* (Brazee, Ortiz-Santana, Banik, & Lindner, 2012; Lima, Asai, & Capelari, 2008; Pildain, Coetzee, Wingfield, Wingfield, & Rajchenberg, 2010; Volk & Burdall, 1995; Watling et al., 1991) and two species of *Desarmillaria* (Koch, Wilson, Séné, Henkel, & Aime, 2017) are recognized worldwide. However, identification based on morphology alone is often unreliable because of a high degree of similarity between some *Armillaria* species (*A. gemina*-*A. ostoyae* and *A. cepistipes*-*A. gallica*) (Antonín, Tomšovský, Sedláč, Májek, & Jankovský, 2009; Bérubé & Dessureault, 1989). In addition, the characteristics of basidiomata can vary depending on environmental conditions (Swift, 1972). Furthermore, identification of biological species based on mating also has limitations (Klopfenstein et al., 2017).

In recent decades, the development of DNA sequence-based analysis has improved the precision of species identification for *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria*. The ribosomal RNA gene family (rDNA),

including the internal transcribed spacers 1 and 2 with the 5.8S (ITS) and the intergenic spacer 1 (IGS1), has been useful in distinguishing some *Armillaria* species (Anderson & Stasovski, 1992; Chillali et al., 1998; Coetzee et al., 2000; Dunne, Glen, Tommerup, Shearer, & Hardy, 2002; Hasegawa, Ota, Hattori, & Kikuchi, 2010; Keča, Bodles, Woodward, Karadžić, & Bojović, 2006; Keča & Solheim, 2010; Kim, Klopfenstein, Hanna, & McDonald, 2006; Lima et al., 2008; Tsykun, Rigling, & Prospero, 2013); however, some species cannot be distinguished based on the rDNA regions. For example, *A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica*, *A. nabsnona* and *A. sinapina* cannot be reliably discriminated on the basis of ITS and/or IGS sequences (Brazee, Hulvey, & Wick, 2011; Kim et al., 2006; Tsykun et al., 2013), nor can *A. borealis*, *A. gemina* and *A. ostoyae* (Hanna, Klopfenstein, Kim, McDonald, & Moore, 2007; Keča & Solheim, 2010; Kim et al., 2006; Pérez-Sierra, Guillaumin, Spooner, & Bridge, 2004). Of late, the translation elongation factor-1 alpha (*tef1*) region has successfully been used to distinguish *Armillaria* species that ITS or IGS could not separate (Antonín et al., 2009; Brazee et al., 2011; Coetzee, Wingfield, Zhao, van Coller, & Wingfield, 2015; Elías-Román et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2016; Hasegawa et al., 2010; Klopfenstein et al., 2017; Maphosa, Wingfield, Coetzee, Mwenje, & Wingfield, 2006; Mulholland et al., 2012; Ota, Kim, Neda, Klopfenstein, & Hasegawa, 2011; Ross-Davis, Hanna, Kim, & Klopfenstein, 2012; Tsykun et al., 2013). On the basis of a recent multilocus phylogenetic study that included *tef1*, the taxonomy of some *Armillaria* species was revised in species that lack an annulus on the stipe. As a result, *A. ectypa* and *A. tabescens* were assigned to the newly described genus, *Desarmillaria* (Koch et al., 2017).

The spatial distribution of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* has proven difficult to determine. *Armillaria* root disease can sometimes be detected at a site by symptoms, such as chlorotic foliage, crown die-back and/or resinosis; however, these symptoms are often difficult to distinguish from other forest diseases (Morrison et al., 1991). A more accurate assessment of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* distribution can be obtained by surveys for the presence of basidiomata, mycelial fans on attacked trees or rhizomorphs associated with the roots and soil (Morrison et al., 1991). However, *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* basidiomata occur only sporadically, mostly in late summer to autumn, and surveys for mycelial fans and rhizomorphs are labour and time intensive and require considerable expertise. In an alternative way, DNA sequence-based methods can detect *Armillaria* directly from soil samples. PCR amplification of environmental DNA (eDNA) with taxa-specific primers has been used to detect and monitor specific fungal species (Bridge & Spooner, 2001; Dauch, Watson, & Jabaji-Hare, 2003; Shukunami et al., 2016; Zambounis, Paplomatas, & Tsaftaris, 2007). Because DNA sequence-based methods can detect *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* in soils without extensive surveys, this approach provides more accurate information regarding the distribution and diversity of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* species.

Since *A. mellea* was first reported in Korea in 1940 (Kaburagi, 1940), four *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species have been identified in South Korea: *A. tabescens* s.l. (currently *D. tabescens*) (Lee & Cho, 1977), *A. gallica*, *A. ostoyae* (Sung, Yang, Lee, & Harrington, 1994)

and *A. cepistipes* (Lee, Choi, Kim, & Lee, 2016). Most previous studies were based on macro-morphological, and more recently either ITS- or IGS-based identification (Lee & Cho, 1977; Lee et al., 2016; Oh, Lee, Cheong, & Yoo, 2012; Sung, Yang, Kim, & Harrington, 1997; Sung et al., 1994), and the distribution of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* in South Korea remains unclear. Therefore, accurate identification of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species by DNA sequence-based method is useful and necessary to evaluate the diversity and spatial distribution of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* in South Korea.

In this study, the main objectives were to (i) evaluate the taxonomic status of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species in South Korea using fungal barcode sequence (ITS) and a protein-coding gene (*tef1*) and (ii) determine the distribution of each *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species using basidioma collection information and molecular detection from eDNA.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Collection of *Armillaria* specimens

A total of 60 *Armillaria* basidioma specimens and isolates were obtained from six South Korean organizations (Seoul National University Fungal Collection, Korea Mushroom Reserve Bank, National Institute of Forest Science, Korea National Arboretum, Gangwon National University, and National Institute of Biological Resources). These specimens were collected across a wide range of South Korea from 2011 to 2016 and were originally identified as four species: *A. gallica*, *A. mellea*, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens* (as *A. tabescens*). Detailed specimen and collection information are provided in Table 1.

2.2 | DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing

Using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction protocol, genomic DNA was extracted from tissue and mycelium (Rogers & Bendich, 1994). The ITS region was amplified using the primer set ITS1F and ITS4B (Gardes & Bruns, 1993). The partial *tef1* region was amplified using the primer set EF595F and EF1160R (Kausrud & Schumacher, 2001). PCR amplifications were performed as described in a previous study (Hasegawa et al., 2010). PCR products were visualized on a 1% agarose gel and purified using the Expin™ PCR Purification Kit (GeneAll Biotechnology, Seoul, South Korea). DNA sequencing was performed at Macrogen (Seoul, South Korea) using an ABI PRISM 3730XL Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA).

2.3 | Sequence analysis

We used MEGA v. 7 to assemble, proofread and edit DNA sequences (Kumar, Stecher, & Tamura, 2016). The sequences obtained in this study were compared to reference sequences from GenBank. Multiple alignments were performed using the default

settings of MAFFT v. 7 (Katoh & Standley, 2013). DNA alignments were checked by eye, and ambiguously aligned positions were manually adjusted. Sequences of three closely related species in the Physalacriaceae, *Guyanagaster necrorhizus* (GenBank accession number for *tef1*: KU289109, KU289110, ITS: KU170949, KU170950), *Oudemansiella cubensis* (GenBank accession number for *tef1*: KU170945, ITS: KU170955) and *Strobilurus esculentus* (GenBank accession number for *tef1*: KF530581, ITS: KF530549) were used as outgroups, based on a previous study (Koch et al., 2017).

A neighbour-joining tree (NJ) was constructed using MEGA v. 7 with the Kimura 2-parameter model (Kimura, 1980). Bootstrap analysis was performed with 1000 replications. In addition, Mr. Bayes version 3.2 was used to determine phylogenies based on Bayesian inference (BI), for 10 million generations, under the Jukes-Cantor model (Ronquist et al., 2012). Trees were sampled every 5,000 generations, and the initial burn-in phase set to 2.5 million generations. Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses were performed using RAxML (Stamatakis, 2006) with the GTR+G model of evolution and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Trees were viewed in MEGA v. 7 and FigTree v. 1.43 (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>).

2.4 | Morphological observation

Representative specimens used to compare macro- and microscopic features were selected for each species that was identified based on molecular analysis. Macroscopic features of basidiomata were obtained from field data and photographs (in cases with loaned specimens). For observation of microscopic features, slide preparations were made from dried tissue mounted in 3% KOH and stained with Congo red solution and viewed using a light microscope (Nikon 80i; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Basidia and basidiospore size were measured from 10 basidia and 20 basidiospores each and organized into box-plot with R 3.3.2 (R Development Core Team 2014).

2.5 | *Armillaria* detection from nationwide eDNA using an *Armillaria* specific primer

For *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* detection, 76 eDNA samples deposited at Seoul National University Fungal Collection (SFC) were used. eDNA was extracted from soil samples collected across five sites in 2013 and 33 sites in 2014 using MoBio PowerSoil kit (Table S1). Each site included eDNA from deciduous and coniferous forests. To determine *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* diversity, we designed an *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* genera-specific forward primer in ITS region. Although the ITS region lacks resolution for identification of some *Armillaria* species, it is useful for distinguishing several *Armillaria* species (Chillali et al., 1998; Dunne et al., 2002; Hasegawa et al., 2010; Keča & Solheim, 2010; Keča et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2006; Klopfenstein et al., 2017; Tsykun et al., 2013). Because *Armillaria/Desarmillaria*-specific primers in *tef1* were unavailable, an *Armillaria/Desarmillaria*-specific forward primer, Armil F1 (5'-TTGGTAGTTRRGTYGGAATAC-3') in the ITS2

TABLE 1 Collection and associated information of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* specimens used in this study

Final ID	Collection No. ^a	Original ID	Collection Locality	Latitude	Longitude	GenBank Accession Number	
						tef1	ITS
<i>A. cepistipes</i>	KFRI1616	<i>A. mellea</i>	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	N 38.049280	E 128.425259	MG544785	MG543860
<i>A. cepistipes</i>	KMRB 15072104	<i>A. gallica</i>	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	N 38.049280	E 128.425259	MG544810	MG543885
<i>A. cepistipes</i>	SFC20160927-11	<i>A. cepistipes</i>	Ulleung-gun, Gyeongsangbuk-do	N 37.520238	E 130.860045	MG544826	MG543901
<i>A. cepistipes</i>	SFC20160927-39	<i>A. cepistipes</i>	Ulleung-gun, Gyeongsangbuk-do	N 37.520238	E 130.860045	MG544827	MG543902
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	Ame10	<i>A. mellea</i>	Gurye-gun, Jeollanam-do	N 35.202495	E 127.462653	MG544774	MG543850
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	F20140902KCM31	<i>A. mellea</i>	Pyeongchang-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.637373	E 128.553090	MG544778	MG543853
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	JS140903-39	<i>A. mellea</i>	Boeun-gun, Chungcheongbuk-do	N 36.489457	E 127.729483	MG544781	MG543856
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	KFRI3116	<i>A. mellea</i>	Pyeongchang-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.370474	E 128.389977	MG544795	MG543870
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	SFC20130917-33	<i>A. gallica</i>	Yecheon-gun, Gyeongsangbuk-do	N 36.723590	E 128.376820	MG544804	MG543879
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	SFC20140911-22	<i>A. tabescens</i>	Jinan-gun, Jeollabuk-do	N 35.822157	E 127.542886	MG544809	MG543884
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	SFC20150903-40	<i>Armillaria</i> sp.	Gurye-gun, Jeollanam-do	N 35.241103	E 127.487979	MG544815	MG543890
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	SFC20150904-19	<i>A. tabescens</i>	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	N 38.042916	E 128.391330	MG544816	MG543891
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	KMRB 15090828	<i>A. tabescens</i>	Uiwang-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.411858	E 127.034986	MG544817	MG543892
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	SFC20150917-16	<i>A. mellea</i>	Guri-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.622086	E 127.132425	MG544821	MG543896
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	SFC20160312-06	<i>A. gallica</i>	Goyang-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.681560	E 126.769111	MG544824	MG543899
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 1	TPML20120914-60	<i>A. mellea</i>	Mt. Jeombong, Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	N 38.049280	E 128.425259	MG544829	MG543904
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 2	KA14-1647	<i>A. mellea</i>	Pocheon-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.752129	E 127.163461	MG544784	MG543859
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 2	KFRI3123	<i>A. mellea</i>	Gunwi-gun, Gyeongsangbuk-do	N 36.016944	E 128.695000	MG544796	MG543871
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 2	SFC20150902-102	<i>Armillaria</i> sp.	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.997137	E 128.225613	MG544814	MG543889
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 2	KMRB 15091805	<i>A. mellea</i>	Jongno-gu, Seoul	N 37.572932	E 126.994352	MG544823	MG543898
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 2	TPML20120913-34	<i>A. mellea</i>	Muju-gun, Jeollabuk-do	N 35.860556	E 127.746389	MG544828	MG543903
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 3	Ame7	<i>A. mellea</i>	Seogwipo-si, Jeju-do	N 33.254121	E 126.560076	MG544777	MG543852
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 3	KA13-1170	<i>A. mellea</i>	Pocheon-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.752129	E 127.163461	MG544783	MG543858

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Final ID	Collection No. ^a	Original ID	Collection Locality	Latitude	Longitude	GenBank Accession Number	
						tef1	ITS
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 3	KFRI2393	<i>A. mellea</i>	Mungyeong-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do	N 36.586148	E 128.186797	MG544794	MG543869
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 3	SFC20120919-53	<i>A. tabescens</i>	Gongju-si, Chungcheongnam-do	N 36.536911	E 127.075351	MG544800	MG543875
<i>A. gallica</i> clade 3	KMRB 15091412	<i>A. mellea</i>	Guri-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.622086	E 127.132425	MG544818	MG543893
<i>A. nabsnona</i>	Juk14411	<i>A. mellea</i>	Jeju-si, Jeju-do	N 33.491405	E 126.594943	MG544782	MG543857
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	Ame5	<i>A. mellea</i>	Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.263573	E 127.028601	MG544776	MG543851
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	F20140912KCM03	<i>A. mellea</i>	Pyeongchang-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.637373	E 128.553090	MG544779	MG543854
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	F20150917KCM08	<i>A. mellea</i>	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	N 38.049280	E 128.425259	MG544780	MG543855
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	KFRI1956	<i>A. mellea</i>	Hongcheon-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.678984	E 128.050328	MG544786	MG543861
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	KFRI1957	<i>A. mellea</i>	Hongcheon-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.678984	E 128.050328	MG544787	MG543862
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	KFRI1959	<i>A. mellea</i>	Hongcheon-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.678984	E 128.050328	MG544788	MG543863
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	KFRI2301	<i>A. mellea</i>	Pyeongchang-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.500891	E 128.458016	MG544789	MG543864
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	KFRI2302	<i>A. mellea</i>	Pyeongchang-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.500891	E 128.458016	MG544790	MG543865
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	KFRI2303	<i>A. mellea</i>	Pyeongchang-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.500891	E 128.458016	MG544791	MG543866
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	KFRI2304	<i>A. mellea</i>	Pyeongchang-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.500891	E 128.458016	MG544792	MG543867
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	KFRI2317	<i>A. mellea</i>	Pyeongchang-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.500891	E 128.458016	MG544793	MG543868
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	SFC20121010-10	<i>A. mellea</i>	Seosan-si, Chungcheongnam-do	N 36.756262	E 126.602820	MG544802	MG543877
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	SFC20130928-03	<i>A. ostoaye</i>	Sangju-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do	N 36.421158	E 128.109651	MG544805	MG543880
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	SFC20130928-09	<i>A. gallica</i>	Sangju-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do	N 36.421158	E 128.109651	MG544806	MG543881
<i>A. ostoaye</i>	SFC20130928-15	<i>A. ostoaye</i>	Sangju-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do	N 36.438889	E 128.096667	MG544807	MG543882

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Final ID	Collection No. ^a	Original ID	Collection Locality	Latitude	Longitude	GenBank Accession Number	
						tef1	ITS
A. ostoyae	KMRB 15072116	A. mellea	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	N 38.049280	E 128.425259	MG544811	MG543886
A. ostoyae	TPML20120926-67	A. mellea	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	N 38.049280	E 128.425259	MG544830	MG543905
A. ostoyae	TPML20130926-03	A. mellea	Hongcheon-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.696952	E 127.888683	MG544831	MG543906
A. ostoyae	TPML20130926-38	A. mellea	Hongcheon-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.696952	E 127.888683	MG544832	MG543907
A. ostoyae	TPML20130926-74	A. mellea	Hongcheon-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.696952	E 127.888683	MG544833	MG543908
A. ostoyae	TPML20130926-84	A. mellea	Hongcheon-gun, Gangwon-do	N 37.696952	E 127.888683	MG544834	MG543909
D. tabescens	SFC20120722-04	A. tabescens	Gimpo-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.604692	E 126.723577	MG544797	MG543872
D. tabescens	SFC20120807-11	A. tabescens	Seogwipo-si, Jeju-do	N 33.279914	E 126.720706	MG544798	MG543873
D. tabescens	SFC20120915-07	A. tabescens	Gwanak-Gu, Seoul	N 37.462618	E 126.938325	MG544799	MG543874
D. tabescens	SFC20121005-07	A. tabescens	Gwanak-Gu, Seoul	N 37.462618	E 126.938325	MG544801	MG543876
D. tabescens	SFC20130807-38	A. tabescens	Yecheon-gun, Gyeongsangbuk-do	N 36.685069	E 128.594858	MG544803	MG543878
D. tabescens	SFC20140626-02	A. tabescens	Guri-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.611560	E 127.139341	MG544808	MG543883
D. tabescens	KMRB 15081103	A. tabescens	Guri-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.622086	E 127.132425	MG544812	MG543887
D. tabescens	SFC20150812-01	A. tabescens	Jongno-gu, Seoul	N 37.574583	E 126.994143	MG544813	MG543888
D. tabescens	KMRB 15091701	A. tabescens	Guri-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.622086	E 127.132425	MG544819	MG543894
D. tabescens	KMRB 15091706	A. tabescens	Guri-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.622086	E 127.132425	MG544820	MG543895
D. tabescens	KMRB 15091737	A. tabescens	Guri-si, Gyeonggi-do	N 37.622086	E 127.132425	MG544822	MG543897
D. tabescens	SFC20160922-05	A. tabescens	Ongjin-gun, Incheon	N 37.262253	E 126.475562	MG544825	MG543900

Note. ^aSeoul National University Fungal Collection (SFC), Korea Mushroom Reserve Bank (KMRB), National Institute of Forest Science (Ame, KFRI), Korea National Arboretum (KA), Kangwon National University (TPML), National Institute of Biological Resources (JS, F, Juk).

region was designed and tested by PCR amplification with DNA obtained from *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species as well as DNA from the family Physalacriaceae, which includes *Cylindrobasidium*, *Cyptotrama*, *Flammulina*, *Hymenopellis*, *Oudemansiella*, *Strobilurus* and *Xerula*.

Two-step PCR amplification was performed using eDNA samples. First PCR was performed with ITS1F and ITS4B primers. Nested PCR was performed using Armil F1 and ITS4 primer (White, Bruns, Lee, & Taylor, 1990). If any amplicons were detected, they were sequenced using same primer set. In cases where the sequence profile was mixed or unclear, PCR products were cloned using a commercial TA cloning kit (Topcloner TA kit; Enzymomics). In a subsequent manner, PCR products were sequenced, and sequences were examined by comparison to the nucleotide database at GenBank using BLAST with 99% identity.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Sequence analysis

PCR amplification of the ITS and *tef1* regions each yielded a single strong band of approximately 600 bp and 450 bp, respectively. In total, 60 ITS and *tef1* sequences from all basidiomata samples were obtained. ITS sequence analysis separated the *Armillaria* specimens into three groups: *A. gallica* group, *A. ostoyae* group and *D. tabescens*. The *A. gallica* group included *A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica* and *A. nabsnona*. The *A. ostoyae* group included *A. ostoyae*, *A. borealis* and *A. gemina* (Figure 1). Members within each of these two groups could not be distinguished on the basis of ITS sequences. Specimens of *D. tabescens* were contained in a basal clade that was clearly separated from the other two groups.

All *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species formed separate clades in the phylogenetic analysis of the partial *tef1* sequences. Within the Gallica superclade, the *A. cepistipes* and *A. gallica* clades were well supported in the NJ, ML and BI analyses, while the *A. nabsnona* branch was well supported only in the NJ analysis. These results strongly suggest that these three species exist in South Korea. In addition, *A. gallica* sequences were polyphyletic and separated into four distinct clades. We refer to these five lineages as *A. gallica* clade 1 (*A. gallica* c1), *A. gallica* c2, *A. gallica* c3, European *A. gallica* and North American *A. gallica* clade. *Armillaria gallica* c1 included *A. gallica* from Japan and South Korea, *A. gallica* c2 included *A. gallica* from China and South Korea and *A. gallica* c3 included *A. gallica* from South Korea (Figure 2). Furthermore, *tef1* sequences within the Solidipes/Ostoyae superclade grouped into a separate clade that was identified as *A. ostoyae*.

From 76 eDNA samples, 35 samples amplified with the *Armillaria/Desarmillaria*-specific primer. We detected the *A. gallica* group, *A. mellea*, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens* directly from 31 eDNA samples with *Armillaria/Desarmillaria*-specific primers. PCR products from four eDNA samples with heterogeneous ITS sequences were cloned and representative clones were sequenced, which indicated that the ITS sequences from these eDNA samples all belonged to *D. tabescens* (Table S1). Because of the low resolution

in the ITS region, sequences within the *A. gallica* group could not be distinguished at the species level; however, the *A. mellea* clade comprised only *A. mellea*, which supports the existence of *A. mellea* in South Korea. In total, six species and three lineages of *A. gallica* group were identified as occurring in South Korea based on ITS and *tef1* sequence analyses from both specimens and eDNA: *A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica* c1, *A. gallica* c2, *A. gallica* c3, *A. mellea*, *A. nabsnona*, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens*.

3.2 | Morphological observations

Armillaria ostoyae and *D. tabescens* were distinguished, based on the macroscopic features of their stipes; *A. ostoyae* had a darker stipe colour than other species; and *D. tabescens* did not have an annulus on the stipe. However, *A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica* and *A. nabsnona* could not be distinguished on the basis of macroscopic morphological features. Microscopic characteristics, such as morphology of basidia and basidiospores, were not definitive for distinguishing species within the Gallica superclade (Figure 3). Basidia length was generally different among species, but size ranges overlapped between some species (Figure 3a). Length of basidia ranged between 35 and 45 µm for most species, but basidia of *A. ostoyae* was relatively longer (40–55 µm) than others. Basidiospore size overlapped considerably with little distinction among species. Most basidiospores were ellipsoid, and length was within 7–10 µm (Figure 3b), while width was within 4.5–6.5 µm.

3.3 | Distribution of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* species in South Korea

Spatial distribution of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* in South Korea was determined from combined data based on basidioma collections and eDNA sequencing (Figure 4). Based on basidioma collections, *A. gallica* c1 had a wide distribution in South Korea, while *A. gallica* c2 and c3 were collected from a more restricted area (Figure 4b–d). In addition, *A. cepistipes* was only found in north-eastern South Korea (Gangwon province) and on Ulleung Island (Figure 4a), and *A. nabsnona* was found on Jeju Island (Figure 4f). Basidiomata of *A. ostoyae* were collected from the central area of South Korea (Figure 4g), while basidiomata of *D. tabescens* were found within a widely distributed area of South Korea, except in the north-eastern area (Gangwon province) (Figure 4h). In an interesting manner, a basidioma specimen of *A. mellea* was not found.

We detected the *A. gallica* group, *A. mellea*, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens* from the eDNA analysis. The *A. gallica* group was detected only in Gangwon province and northern Gyeongsangbuk-do (Figure 4a–d, f), and *A. mellea* was detected from eDNA on Jeju Island (Figure 4e). Because the *A. gallica* group could not be identified at the species level, it is probable that it contains multiple species or lineages within the Gallica superclade (i.e., *A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica* c1, *A. gallica* c2, *A. gallica* c3 and *A. nabsnona*). Detection of *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens* in eDNA showed a similar distribution pattern as that found using the basidioma specimens (Figure 4g, h).




FIGURE 1 Phylogenetic trees based on neighbour-joining (NJ) analysis of the ITS region in *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* species worldwide. Branch support values are shown as percentages of bootstrap support (NJ), maximum likelihood (ML) bootstrap values and Bayesian posterior probabilities. Percentage of bootstrap support (1,000 replicates) greater than 50%, ML bootstrap values over 90 and Bayesian posterior probabilities over 95 are shown above tree branches. The scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Taxonomic status of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* in South Korea

The genera *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* are found in soil, tree roots and dead wood, as basidiomata, mycelial fans and rhizomorphs. However, *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* can be difficult to identify at the species level because some species share similar morphological characteristics. Some species, such as *A. ostoyae*, can be distinguished from other *Armillaria* species in South Korea by the slightly longer basidia (Figure 3a) and dark colour of stipe. In addition, *D. tabescens* is distinguishable by the lack of annulus on its stipe; however, it is unknown if exannulate *D. ectypa* also occurs in South Korea.

Microscopic features (basidia and basidiospores) of our samples were similar to previous species descriptions, except for *A. ostoyae* (Antonín et al., 2009; Lee & Cho, 1977; Sung et al., 1994; Volk & Burdsall, 1995); basidiospores of *A. ostoyae* in our collection were slightly larger than those of previous reports in South Korea (Sung et al., 1994). In addition, neither the size nor shape of basidiospores was useful for identification purposes, as shapes are similar within the genus, and the size range of basidiospores from different species frequently overlapped. Basidia tended to exhibit different sizes among species, but it was difficult to base identification solely on this character, because the basidia sizes frequently overlapped with another species. Similar morphology between some species was previously reported for some *Armillaria* species (Bérubé & Dessureault, 1989). These results demonstrate that *Armillaria* identification in South Korea cannot be based solely on microscopic features (Figure 3).

For species that share similar morphology, sequence-based analysis can facilitate species-level identification. Consistent with previous studies (Chillali et al., 1998; Dunne et al., 2002; Hasegawa et al., 2010; Keča & Solheim, 2010; Keča et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2006; Tsykun et al., 2013), the ITS marker is useful to identify *A. mellea* and *D. tabescens* in South Korea. In addition, most *Armillaria* species can be distinguished on the basis of *tef1* sequences (Elías-Román et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2016; Hasegawa et al., 2010; Klopfenstein et al., 2017; Maphosa et al., 2006; Ross-Davis et al., 2012; Tsykun et al., 2013). Using ITS and *tef1* sequences, previous reports of five *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species (*A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica*, *A. mellea*, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens*) in South Korea were all confirmed (Maphosa et al., 2006; Oh et al., 2012; Seok et al., 2013; Sung et al., 1994, 1997). The detection of *A. nabsnana* was a new report for South Korea.

Armillaria specimens originally identified as *A. gallica*, *A. mellea*, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens* (as *A. tabescens*) were validated by ITS

and *tef1* sequences analysis (Table 1). Although *A. mellea* was frequently reported in South Korea (Maphosa et al., 2006; Oh et al., 2012; Seok et al., 2013; Sung et al., 1994, 1997), in this study, specimens previously identified as *A. mellea* were assigned to other *Armillaria* species (Table 1); however, *A. mellea* was detected from eDNA samples. These results demonstrate that morphological characters are insufficient for accurate identification of all *Armillaria* spp. in South Korea.

Previous studies suggest that *A. ostoyae* comprised two distinct clades, and the clade containing North American isolates was provisionally assigned to *A. solidipes* based on phylogenetic analysis (Guo et al., 2016). Similar to that, *A. gallica* is polyphyletic and probably comprises cryptic species (Antonín et al., 2009; Elías-Román et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2016; Keča, Klopfenstein, Kim, Solheim, & Woodward, 2015; Klopfenstein et al., 2017; Qin, Zhao, & Korhonen, 2007). The current study showed similar results, with *A. gallica* clearly separated into five lineages: *A. gallica* c1-c3, European, and North American *A. gallica* clade. South Korean *Armillaria* samples were placed into *A. gallica* c1-c3 (Figure 2). This suggests that distinct clades of *A. gallica* could represent different species. However, further studies, such as mating tests, phylogenetic analyses of multiple loci and/or other approaches, are needed to determine whether the *A. gallica* lineage in South Korea comprises multiple cryptic species.

4.2 | Distribution of *Armillaria* species in South Korea

Our inferences on the distribution of all *Armillaria* species in South Korea are limited by the small number of specimens that were observed. However, this study does provide preliminary distribution data based on available specimens and eDNA from soil samples. The *Armillaria/Desarmillaria*-specific ITS primer was useful for selective detection of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species in soil even in the absence of basidioma. In an interesting manner, *A. mellea* was not found among the basidioma specimens and only found in eDNA samples. This might be due to rarity, restricted habitat or sparse/sporadic fruiting during surveys for *A. mellea* in South Korea. In our study, *A. gallica* c1, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens* had a wide distribution in South Korea, while *A. cepistipes*, *A. gallica* c2, *A. gallica* c3, *A. mellea* and *A. nabsnana* were found in more restricted areas. Differences in distribution of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species are likely related to the abiotic and biotic environment, such as climate, soil, microbial communities, or vegetation and host species. Further studies with more detailed sampling are needed to better understand the relationship among environmental factors and *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species.

Armillaria/Desarmillaria can spread vegetatively via growth of rhizomorphs and/or mycelia (Baumgartner et al., 2011); however,




FIGURE 2 Phylogenetic trees based on neighbour-joining (NJ) analysis of the partial translation elongation factor-1 α region in *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* species worldwide. Branch support values are shown as percentages of bootstrap support (NJ), maximum likelihood (ML) bootstrap values and Bayesian posterior probabilities. Percentage of bootstrap support (1,000 replicates) greater than 50%, ML bootstrap values over 90 and Bayesian posterior probabilities over 95 are shown above tree branches. The scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site




FIGURE 3 Comparison of microscopic feature of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* in Korea. (a) Basidia length. (b) Basidiospore length. A: *A. cepistipes*, B: *A. gallica* clade 1, C: *A. gallica* clade 2, D: *A. gallica* clade 3, E: *A. nabsnona*, F: *A. ostoyae*, G: *D. tabescens*




FIGURE 4 Spatial distribution of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* in South Korea from fruiting bodies and eDNA. Fruiting body samples (closed circles), eDNA samples (closed triangles) and eDNA samples identified as *A. gallica* group (open triangles)

individual trees were not inspected for rhizomorphs and mycelial fans. Thus, it is likely that the distributions of species in these genera were underestimated because some localized infections and infestations likely escaped detection in areas where basidiomata were not found. In situations where *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species did not form extensive rhizomorph networks, the probability of detection in soil via eDNA would likely decrease. For example, growth pattern of rhizomorphs varies among *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species, and some species are less likely to form rhizomorphs (Heinzlmann, Prospero, & Rigling, 2017; Labbé et al., 2017; Mihail, Bruhn, & Leininger, 2002; Morrison, 2004; Redfern & Filip, 1991; Rishbeth, 1985). Nevertheless, *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* can be detected from eDNA if it is present in the soil as basidiospores, mycelia or rhizomorphs.

Root diseases caused by *A. mellea*, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens* cause significant damage to woody plants globally (Baumgartner et al., 2011; Hood, Redfern, & Kile, 1991; Williams-Woodward, 2013). In our study, *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens* were widely distributed in South Korea. However, severe forest damage has not been reported in South Korea despite reports of *Armillaria* root disease caused by *A. ostoyae* in Gangwon province and other regions (Nam, Choi, Ko, & Lee, 2016). Reports of minor to mild damage in South Korean forests might be due to the presence of fewer susceptible tree species in these locations (e.g., Hood et al., 1991; Morrison & Mallett, 1996), a high ratio of adapted, mixed forests in South Korea or lack of close inspection; however, *Armillaria* root disease can also cause reduced forest growth in the absence of readily observable symptoms (Cruickshank et al., 2011; Morrison, Pellow, Norris, & Nemec, 2000). Both *A. ostoyae* and *D. tabescens* represent potential threats to South Korean forests, and these threats could be exacerbated by climate change (Klopfenstein, Kim, Hanna, Richardson, & Lundquist, 2009; Sturrock et al., 2011). For this reason, continued study and monitoring of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* are warranted in South Korea.

In conclusion, we detected six species of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* (*A. ostoyae*, *A. mellea*, *A. gallica*, *A. nabsnona*, *A. cepistipes* and *D. tabescens*), and three lineages of *A. gallica* in South Korea based on basidiomata (using ITS and *tef1* sequence analysis) and eDNA from soil samples (using *Armillaria/Desarmillaria*-specific ITS primer). *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* identification could not be confirmed using morphological features alone. Identification was validated by *tef1* sequence analysis, which found three clades that may represent cryptic species in the *A. gallica* complex. As characteristics of *A. gallica* may differ according to clade, further investigation is required to confirm the cryptic species occurring within this taxon and to determine their respective roles in *Armillaria* root disease and in symbioses with *G. elata* and *P. umbellatus*. The distribution of *Armillaria* species in South Korea was estimated using basidiomata and eDNA extracted from soil samples. Detecting *Armillaria* species with eDNA has its limitations in that small soil samples may not reflect the site and closely related species cannot always be differentiated. However, our data show that eDNA can provide a rapid detection method for monitoring and managing *Armillaria* root disease, while also contributing to cultivation of

G. elata and *P. umbellatus*. The development of *Armillaria/Desarmillaria* species-specific primers facilitates more extensive sampling of basidiomata, soil, mycelial fans and rhizomorphs for systematic approaches in future studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by Korea Mushroom Resource Bank (KMRB), Bio & Medical Technology Development Program of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (No. 0427-20170005). Supplemental funding was provided by this project is supported by USDA Forest Service, State and Private Forestry, Forest Health Protection—Special Technology Development Program; Western Wildlands Environmental Threat Assessment Center (WWETAC).

ORCID

Ned B. Klopfenstein  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9776-3973>

Young Woon Lim  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2864-3449>

REFERENCES

- Anderson, J. B., & Stasovski, E. (1992). Molecular phylogeny of northern hemisphere species of *Armillaria*. *Mycologia*, 84(4), 505–516. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3760315>
- Antonín, V., Tomšovský, M., Sedlák, P., Májek, T., & Jankovský, L. (2009). Morphological and molecular characterization of the *Armillaria cepistipes*–*A. gallica* complex in the Czech Republic and Slovakia. *Mycological Progress*, 8(3), 259–271. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-009-0597-1>
- Baumgartner, K. (2004). Root collar excavation for postinfection control of *Armillaria* root disease of grapevine. *Plant Disease*, 88(11), 1235–1240. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.2004.88.11.1235>
- Baumgartner, K., Coetzee, M., & Hoffmeister, D. (2011). Secrets of the subterranean pathosystem of *Armillaria*. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 12(6), 515–534. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2010.00693.x>
- Bérubé, J. A., & Dessureault, M. (1989). Morphological studies of the *A. mellea* complex: Two new species, *A. gemina* and *A. calvescens*. *Mycologia*, 81(2), 216–225. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3759703>
- Brazee, N. J., Hulvey, J. P., & Wick, R. L. (2011). Evaluation of partial *tef1*, *rpb2*, and nLSU sequences for identification of isolates representing *Armillaria calvescens* and *Armillaria gallica* from northeastern North America. *Fungal Biology*, 115, 741–749. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2011.05.008>
- Brazee, N. J., Ortiz-Santana, B., Banik, M. T., & Lindner, D. L. (2012). *Armillaria altimontana*, a new species from the western interior of North America. *Mycologia*, 104(5), 1200–1205. <https://doi.org/10.3852/11-409>
- Brazee, N. J., & Wick, R. L. (2009). *Armillaria* species distribution on symptomatic hosts in northern hardwood and mixed oak forests in western Massachusetts. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 258, 1605–1612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.07.016>
- Bridge, P., & Spooner, B. (2001). Soil fungi: Diversity and detection. *Plant and Soil*, 232(1–2), 147–154. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010346305799>
- Bruhn, J. N., Wetteroff, J. J. Jr, Mihail, J. D., Kabrick, J. M., & Pickens, J. B. (2000). Distribution of *Armillaria* species in upland Ozark Mountain forests with respect to site, overstory species composition and oak decline. *European Journal of Forest Pathology*, 30, 43–60. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0329.2000.00185.x>

- Burdsall, H. H., & Volk, T. J. (1993). The state of taxonomy of the genus *Armillaria*. *McIlvainea*, 11(1), 4–12.
- Chapman, B., Xiao, G., & Myers, S. (2004). Early results from field trials using *Hypholoma fasciculare* to reduce *Armillaria ostoyae* root disease. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 82(7), 962–969. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b04-078>
- Chillali, M., Idder-Ighili, H., Guillaumin, J. J., Mohammed, C., Escarmant, B. L., & Botton, B. (1998). Variation in the ITS and IGS regions of ribosomal DNA among the biological species of European *Armillaria*. *Mycological Research*, 102(5), 533–540. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756297005315>
- Coetzee, M. P. A., Wingfield, B. D., Harrington, T. C., Dalevi, D., Coutinho, T. A., & Wingfield, M. J. (2000). Geographical diversity of *Armillaria mellea* ss based on phylogenetic analysis. *Mycologia*, 92(1), 105–113. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3761454>
- Coetzee, M. P. A., Wingfield, B. D., Zhao, J., van Coller, S. J., & Wingfield, M. J. (2015). Phylogenetic relationships among biological species of *Armillaria* from China. *Mycoscience*, 56, 530–541. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.myc.2015.05.001>
- Cruickshank, M. G., Morrison, D. J., & Lalumière, A. (2011). Site, plot, and individual tree yield reduction of interior Douglas-fir associated with non-lethal infection by *Armillaria* root disease in southern British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 261, 297–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.10.023>
- Dauch, A. L., Watson, A. K., & Jabaji-Hare, S. H. (2003). Detection of the biocontrol agent *Colletotrichum coccodes* (183088) from the target weed velvetleaf and from soil by strain-specific PCR markers. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 55(1), 51–64. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012\(03\)00116-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012(03)00116-7)
- Dunne, C. P., Glen, M., Tommerup, I. C., Shearer, B. L., & Hardy, G. S. J. (2002). Sequence variation in the rDNA ITS of Australian *Armillaria* species and intra-specific variation in *A. luteobubalina*. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 31(3), 241–251. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AP02015>
- Elías-Román, R. D., Guzmán-Plazola, R. A., Klopfenstein, N. B., Alvarado-Rosales, D., Calderón-Zavala, G., Mora-Aguilera, J. A., ... García-Espinosa, R. (2013). Incidence and phylogenetic analyses of *Armillaria* spp. associated with root disease in peach orchards in the State of Mexico, Mexico. *Forest Pathology*, 43, 390–401.
- Gardes, M., & Bruns, T. D. (1993). ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes-application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Molecular Ecology*, 2(2), 113–118. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.1993.tb00005.x>
- Gregory, S. C. (1985). The use of potato tubers in pathogenicity studies of *Armillaria* isolates. *Plant Pathology*, 34(1), 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.1985.tb02758.x>
- Guo, T., Wang, H. C., Xue, W. Q., Zhao, J., & Yang, Z. L. (2016). Phylogenetic Analyses of *Armillaria* reveal at least 15 phylogenetic lineages in China, seven of which are associated with cultivated *Gastrodia elata*. *PLoS ONE*, 11(5), e0154794. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154794>
- Hagle, S. K., & Shaw, C. G. III (1991). Avoiding and reducing losses from *Armillaria* root disease. In C. G. Shaw III & G. A. Kile, (Eds.), *Armillaria root disease. Agricultural handbook no. 691*, (pp. 157–173), Washington DC: USDA Forest Service.
- Hanna, J. W., Klopfenstein, N. B., Kim, M.-S., McDonald, G. I., & Moore, J. (2007). Phylogeographic patterns of *Armillaria ostoyae* in the western United States. *Forest Pathology*, 37, 192–216. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0329.2007.00497.x>
- Hasegawa, E., Ota, Y., Hattori, T., & Kikuchi, T. (2010). Sequence-based identification of Japanese *Armillaria* species using the elongation factor-1 alpha gene. *Mycologia*, 102(4), 898–910. <https://doi.org/10.3852/09-238>
- Heinzelmann, R., Prospero, S., & Rigling, D. (2017). Virulence and stump colonization ability of *Armillaria borealis* on Norway spruce seedlings in comparison to sympatric *Armillaria* species. *Plant Disease*, 101(3), 470–479. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-06-16-0933-RE>
- Hood, I. A., Redfern, D. B., & Kile, G. A. (1991). *Armillaria* in planted hosts. In C. G. Shaw III & G. A. Kile, (Eds.), *Armillaria root disease. Agricultural handbook no. 691*, (pp. 122–150), Washington DC: USDA Forest Service.
- Kaburagi, K., (1940). Practical forestry handbook for Korea and Manchuria, (pp. 354–364). Yokendo: Korea Forest Experiment Station
- Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30, 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>
- Kausarud, H., & Schumacher, T. (2001). Outcrossing or inbreeding: DNA markers provide evidence for type of reproductive mode in *Phellinus nigrolimitatus* (Basidiomycota). *Mycological Research*, 105(6), 676–683. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756201004191>
- Keča, N., Bodles, W. J. A., Woodward, S., Karadžić, D., & Bojović, S. (2006). Molecular-based identification and phylogeny of *Armillaria* species from Serbia and Montenegro. *Forest Pathology*, 36(1), 41–57.
- Keča, N., Klopfenstein, N. B., Kim, M.-S., Solheim, H., & Woodward, S. (2015). Initial characterization of an unidentified *Armillaria* isolate from Serbia using LSU-IGS1 and TEF-1- α genes. *Forest Pathology*, 45, 120–126.
- Keča, N., & Solheim, H. (2010). Ecology and distribution of *Armillaria* species in Norway. *Forest Pathology*, 41, 120–132.
- Kikuchi, G., & Yamaji, H. (2010). Identification of *Armillaria* species associated with *Polyporus umbellatus* using ITS sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA. *Mycoscience*, 51(5), 366–372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10267-010-0053-8>
- Kim, M.-S., Klopfenstein, N. B., Hanna, J. W., & McDonald, G. I. (2006). Characterization of North American *Armillaria* species: Genetic relationships determined by ribosomal DNA sequences and AFLP markers. *Forest Pathology*, 36, 145–164. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0329.2006.00441.x>
- Kimura, M. (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 16(2), 111–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581>
- Klopfenstein, N. B., Kim, M.-S., Hanna, J. W., Richardson, B. A., & Lundquist, J. W. (2009). Approaches to predicting potential impacts of climate change on forest disease: An example with *Armillaria* root disease. Research Paper RMRS-RP-76. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, CO, USA. 10 p.
- Klopfenstein, N. B., Stewart, J. E., Ota, Y., Hanna, J. W., Richardson, B. A., Ross-Davis, A. L., ... Alvarado-Rosales, D. (2017). Insights into the phylogeny of Northern Hemisphere *Armillaria*: Neighbor-net and Bayesian analyses of translation elongation factor 1- α gene sequences. *Mycologia*, 109(1), 75–91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.2017.1286572>
- Koch, R. A., Wilson, A. W., Séné, O., Henkel, T. W., & Aime, M. C. (2017). Resolved phylogeny and biogeography of the root pathogen *Armillaria* and its gasteroid relative, *Guyanagaster*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 17(1), 33. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-017-0877-3>
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 33(7), 1870–1874. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054>
- Kusano, S. (1911). *Gastrodia elata* and its symbiotic association with *Armillaria mellea*. *Journal of the College of Agriculture Tokyo*, 4(1), 1–68.
- Labbé, F., Lung-Escarmant, B., Fievet, V., Soularue, J. P., Laurent, C., Robin, C., & Dutech, C. (2017). Variation in traits associated with parasitism and saprotrophism in a fungal root-rot pathogen invading intensive pine plantations. *Fungal Ecology*, 26, 99–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2017.01.001>

- Lee, J. Y., & Cho, D. H. (1977). Notes on Korean Higher Fungi (II). *The Korean Journal of Mycology*, 5(2), 17–20.
- Lee, J. S., Choi, S. Y., Kim, C., & Lee, H. B. (2016). Twelve undescribed species of Macrofungi from Korea. *The Korean Journal of Mycology*, 44(4), 233–239.
- Lima, M. L., Asai, T., & Capelari, M. (2008). *Armillaria paulensis*: A new South American species. *Mycological Research*, 112(9), 1122–1128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mycres.2008.03.006>
- Lung-Escarmant, B., & Guyon, D. (2004). Temporal and spatial dynamics of primary and secondary infection by *Armillaria ostoyae* in a *Pinus pinaster* plantation. *Phytopathology*, 94(2), 125–131. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2004.94.2.125>
- Maphosa, L., Wingfield, B. D., Coetzee, M. P. A., Mwenje, E., & Wingfield, M. J. (2006). Phylogenetic relationships among *Armillaria* species inferred from partial elongation factor 1- α DNA sequence data. *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 35, 513–520. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AP06056>
- Mihail, J. D., Bruhn, J. N., & Leininger, T. D. (2002). The effects of moisture and oxygen availability on rhizomorph generation by *Armillaria tabescens* in comparison with *A. gallica* and *A. mellea*. *Mycological Research*, 106(6), 697–704. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756202005920>
- Morrison, D. J. (2004). Rhizomorph growth habit, saprophytic ability and virulence of 15 *Armillaria* species. *Forest Pathology*, 34(1), 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0329.2003.00345.x>
- Morrison, D., & Mallett, K. (1996). Silvicultural management of *Armillaria* root disease in western Canadian forests. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*, 18(2), 194–199. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07060669609500645>
- Morrison, D. J., Pellow, K. W., Norris, D. J., & Nemec, A. F. (2000). Visible versus actual incidence of *Armillaria* root disease in juvenile coniferous stands in the southern interior of British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 30(3), 405–414. <https://doi.org/10.1139/x99-222>
- Morrison, D. J., Williams, R. E., & Whitney, R. D. (1991). Infection, disease development, diagnosis and detection. In C. G. Shaw III & G. A. Kile, (Eds.), *Armillaria root disease. Agricultural handbook no. 691*, (pp. 62–75), Washington DC: USDA Forest Service.
- Mulholland, V., MacAskill, G. A., Laue, B. E., Steele, H., Kenyon, D., & Green, S. (2012). Development and verification of a diagnostic assay based on EF-1 α for the identification of *Armillaria* species in Northern Europe. *Forest Pathology*, 42, 229–238. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0329.2011.00747.x>
- Nam, Y. W., Choi, W. I., Ko, S. H., & Lee, S. G. (2016). Forest pest outbreak investigation and forecast report (2011–2015), National Institute of Forest Service, 66–71.
- Oh, J. A., Lee, C. J., Cheong, J. C., & Yoo, Y. B. (2012). Phylogenetic relationships of *Armillaria* spp. on the basis of ITS region sequences. *The Korea Society of Mushroom. Science*, 10(3), 143–149.
- Ota, Y., Kim, M.-S., Neda, H., Klopfenstein, N. B., & Hasegawa, E. (2011). The phylogenetic position of an *Armillaria* species from Amami-Oshima a subtropical island of Japan based on elongation factor and ITS sequence. *Mycoscience*, 52, 53–58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10267-010-0066-3>
- Pérez-Sierra, A., Guillaumin, J. J., Spooner, B. M., & Bridge, P. D. (2004). Characterization of *Armillaria heimii* from Africa. *Plant Pathology*, 53, 220–230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0032-0862.2004.00999.x>
- Pildain, M. B., Coetzee, M. P., Wingfield, B. D., Wingfield, M. J., & Rajchenberg, M. (2010). Taxonomy of *Armillaria* in the Patagonian forests of Argentina. *Mycologia*, 102(2), 392–403. <https://doi.org/10.3852/09-105>
- Qin, G. F., Zhao, J., & Korhonen, K. (2007). A study on intersterility groups of *Armillaria* in China. *Mycologia*, 99(3), 430–441. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2007.11832568>
- R Development Core Team (2014). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing* (p. 2013). Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Raziq, F., & Fox, R. T. V. (2005). Combinations of fungal antagonists for biological control of *Armillaria* root rot of strawberry plants. *Biological Agriculture and Horticulture*, 23, 45–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01448765.2005.9755307>
- Redfern, D. B., & Filip, G. M. (1991). Inoculum and infection. In C. G. Shaw III & G. A. Kile (Eds.), *Armillaria root disease. Agricultural handbook no. 691*, (pp. 48–61), Washington DC: USDA Forest Service.
- Rishbeth, J. (1982). Species of *Armillaria* in southern England. *Plant Pathology*, 31(1), 9–17. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.1982.tb02806.x>
- Rishbeth, J. (1985). Infection cycle of *Armillaria* and host response. *Forest Pathology*, 15(5–6), 332–341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0329.1985.tb01108.x>
- Rogers, S. O., & Bendich, A. J. (1994). Extraction of total cellular DNA from plants, algae and fungi. In S. B. Gelvin & R. A. Schilperoort (Eds.), *Plant molecular biology manual* (pp. 1–8). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., Van Der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., ... Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology*, 61, 539–542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029>
- Ross-Davis, A. L., Hanna, J. W., Kim, M. S., & Klopfenstein, N. B. (2012). Advances toward DNA-based identification and phylogeny of North American *Armillaria* species using elongation factor-1 α gene. *Mycoscience*, 53, 161–165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10267-011-0148-X>
- Seok, S. J., Lim, Y. W., Ka, K. H., Han, S. K., Hur, J. S., Hong, S. G., ... Kim, C. M. (2013). *List of mushrooms in Korea* (pp. 46–47). Seoul, Korea: The Korean Society of Mycology.
- Shukunami, R., Iwamoto, Y., Sugiura, S., Ikeda, K., Nakayashiki, H., & Ikeda, K. (2016). Field method to monitor the mycoparasitic fungus *Coniothyrium minitans*. *Journal of General Plant Pathology*, 82(1), 51–56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-015-0633-8>
- Stamatakis, A. (2006). RAXML-VI-HPC: Maximum likelihoodbased phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, 22, 2688–2690. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446>
- Sturrock, R. N., Frankel, S. J., Brown, A. V., Hennon, P. E., Kliejunas, J. T., Lewis, K. J., ... Woods, A. J. (2011). Climate change and forest diseases. *Plant Pathology*, 60, 133–149. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02406.x>
- Sung, J. M., Jung, B. S., Moon, H. W., & Kim, S. H. (1996). Studies on collection and spawn manufacture of *Armillaria* spp. for production of *Gastrodia* tuber. *The Korean Journal of Mycology*, 24(2), 127–134.
- Sung, J. M., Jung, B. S., Yang, K. J., Lee, H. K., & Harrington, T. C. (1995). Production of *Gastrodia elata* tuber using *Armillaria* spp. *The Korean Journal of Mycology*, 23(1), 61–70.
- Sung, J. M., Yang, K. J., Kim, S. H., & Harrington, T. (1997). Taxonomic study of Korean *Armillaria* species based on biological characteristics and DNA analyses. *The Korean Journal of Mycology*, 25(1), 46–67.
- Sung, J. M., Yang, K. J., Lee, H. K., & Harrington, T. C. (1994). Studies on Korean species of *Armillaria*. *Korean Journal of Plant Pathology*, 10(4), 261–269.
- Swift, M. J. (1972). The ecology of *Armillaria mellea* (Vahl ex Fries) in indigenous and exotic woodlands of Rhodesia. *Forestry*, 45, 67–86. <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/45.1.67>
- Tsykun, T., Rigling, D., & Prospero, S. (2013). A new multilocus approach for reliable identification of *Armillaria* species. *Mycologia*, 105(4), 1059–1076. <https://doi.org/10.3852/12-209>
- Volk, T. J., & Burdsall, H. H. Jr (1995). *A nomenclatural study of Armillaria and Armillariella species (Basidiomycotina, Tricholomataceae)* (p. 121). Oslo, Norway: FungiFlora A/S: Synopsis Fungorum.
- Watling, R., Kile, G. A., & Burdsall, H. H. (1991). Nomenclature, taxonomy and identification of *Armillaria*. In C. G. Shaw III & G. A. Kile, (Eds.), *Armillaria root disease. Agricultural handbook no. 691*, (pp. 1–9), Washington DC: USDA Forest Service.
- White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S. J. W. T., & Taylor, J. L. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for

- phylogenetics. In M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfand, J. J. Sninsky & T. J. White (Eds.), *PCR protocols: A guide to methods and applications* (pp. 315–322). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Williams-Woodward, J. L. (2013). 2011 Georgia Plant Disease Loss Estimates. Cooperative Extension Service, University of Georgia, College of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences, Annual Publication 102-4. <https://secure.caes.uga.edu/extension/publications/files/pdf>
- Xing, X., Men, J., & Guo, S. (2017). Phylogenetic constraints on *Polyporus umbellatus*-*Armillaria* associations. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 4226. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04578-9>
- Zambounis, A. G., Paplomatas, E., & Tsiftaris, A. S. (2007). Intergenic spacer-RFLP analysis and direct quantification of Australian *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* isolates from soil and infected cotton tissues. *Plant Disease*, 91(12), 1564–1573. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-91-12-1564>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Park KH, Oh S-Y, Park MS, et al. Re-evaluation of *Armillaria* and *Desarmillaria* in South Korea based on ITS/*tef1* sequences and morphological characteristics. *For Path*. 2018;e12447. <https://doi.org/10.1111/efp.12447>