

Ozone Amplifies Water Loss from Mature Trees in the Short Term But Decreases It in the Long Term

Elena Paoletti ^{1,*}, Nancy E. Grulke ² and Rainer Matyssek ³

¹ IRET-CNR, Via Madonna del Piano 10, Florence, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

² USDA WWETAC 3160 NE Third St, PO Box 490 3160 NE 3rd Street, Prineville, OR 97754, USA; nancy.grulke@usda.gov

³ Ecophysiology of Plants, WZW, Technical University of Munich, 85354 Freising, Germany; matyssek@wzw.tum.de

* Correspondence: elena.paoletti@cnr.it; Tel.: +39-0555225591

Received: 26 November 2019; Accepted: 27 December 2019; Published: 31 December 2019

Abstract: We measured whole-tree transpiration of mature *Fagus sylvatica* and *Picea abies* trees exposed to ambient and twice-ambient O₃ regimes (1xO₃ and 2xO₃ free-air fumigation). After eight years, mean daily total transpiration did not vary with the O₃ regime over the 31 days of our study, even though individual daily values increased with increasing daily O₃ peaks in both species. Although the environmental parameters were similar at 1xO₃ and 2xO₃, the main factors affecting daily transpiration were vapour pressure deficit in 2xO₃ spruce and O₃ peaks in beech. For a mechanistic explanation, we measured O₃-induced sluggish stomatal responses to variable light (sunflecks) by means of leaf-level gas exchange measurements only in the species where O₃ was a significant factor for transpiration, i.e., beech. Stomata were always slower in closing than in opening. The 2xO₃ stomata were slower in opening and mostly in closing than 1xO₃ stomata, so that O₃ uptake and water loss were amplified before a steady state was reached. Such delay in the stomatal reaction suggests caution when assessing stomatal conductance under O₃ pollution, because recording gas exchange at the time photosynthesis reached an equilibrium resulted in a significant overestimation of stomatal conductance when stomata were closing (ab. 90% at 1xO₃ and 250% at 2xO₃). Sun and shade leaves showed similar sluggish responses, thus suggesting that sluggishness may occur within the entire crown. The fact that total transpiration was similar at 1xO₃ and 2xO₃, however, suggests that the higher water loss due to stomatal sluggishness was offset by lower steady-state stomatal conductance at 2xO₃. In conclusion, O₃ exposure amplified short-term water loss from mature beech trees by slowing stomatal dynamics, while decreased long-term water loss because of lower steady-state stomatal conductance. Over the short term of this experiment, the two responses offset each other and no effect on total transpiration was observed.

Keywords: sunflecks; Kranzberg; stomatal sluggishness; transpiration; tropospheric ozone; water cycle

1. Introduction

Forests cover 30% of the world's land surface, generate half of the global net primary productivity, and play a significant role in regulating water supply [1]. Carbon assimilation and water use efficiency can be impaired by ground-level ozone (O₃), an air pollutant of strong concern for forests because of its phytotoxicity and widespread distribution [2–4]. Ozone effects on forest ecosystems include changes in carbon fixation and allocation, shifts in nutrient supply, biodiversity changes, and higher susceptibility to natural disturbances, i.e., insects, disease, fires, wind storms and drought [5–8]. Such changes have important implications for forest responses to climate change.

Scalar and conceptual uncertainties limit our understanding of the basic physiological mechanisms that underly responses of forests to O₃ [9,10]. The scalar uncertainties are due to the transfer of results from seedlings in controlled environments to mature forests in the field [11], while the conceptual uncertainties are due to contrasting results about tree water-use responses to ambient O₃ (e.g., [12]). A critical issue is thus to define stomatal responses to O₃ exposure in mature trees [13].

Stomatal responses to air pollutants are complex, depending on plant species, leaf and tree age, level and length of exposure, and vary in conjunction with other environmental stressors [14,15]. There is a general consensus that stomatal closure is a response to the O₃-induced damage to the photosynthetic machinery [5]. In parallel, however, stomatal control of transpiration may be reduced following O₃ exposure, leading to wider or narrower stomatal apertures and uncoupling of stomatal conductance and photosynthesis (with effects on instantaneous water-use efficiency, WUEi; [16–21] and allowing for O₃ influx at night [19,22–24]. The mechanism explaining delayed and/or slowed closing responses of stomata to fluctuating environmental stimuli under O₃ stress is termed stomatal sluggishness, defined as a longer time to respond to the ‘close’ signal and a slower rate of closing [13,15]. Paoletti [25] found that the dynamics of stomatal responses to light and water deficit slowed following controlled O₃ exposure of *Arbutus unedo* seedlings. Sluggish stomatal responses are usually observed when O₃ exposure is combined with water stress [17,25–27] or fluctuating light [25,28,29], because steady-state stomatal conductance measurements cannot reveal sluggishness [25]. Paoletti and Grulke [13] suggested that sluggish stomatal responses may be viewed basically as distinctly retarded stomatal movements under changing environmental conditions. In fact, stomatal conductance responds in general 10–100 times more slowly to changes in conditions than photosynthesis [30]. Ozone-induced ethylene emission has been suggested to affect the sluggish response by reducing stomatal sensitivity to abscisic acid (ABA) [31]. As a consequence of stomatal sluggishness, dynamic controls on tree water loss and stomatal O₃ uptake may be impaired [32].

All the above results on sluggishness, however, were obtained with seedlings or saplings under controlled conditions. Relatively high O₃ concentrations, potted plants, chamber effects, relatively short duration of most experiments, young tree age and low light are other controlled-condition factors that may affect upscaling of results from juvenile to mature trees [2]. In a *Pinus ponderosa* forest in California, Grulke et al. [33] reported the lack of stomatal closure at night in early summer which was greater in pole-sized than mature trees; thus there was greater total O₃ uptake in pole-sized trees as a result of the greater nocturnal uptake. In mature trees from a US mixed deciduous forest, McLaughlin et al. [9,34] examined hourly to seasonal patterns of environmental parameters, stem growth, and sap flow velocity, and concluded that ambient O₃ caused a periodic slowdown in seasonal growth patterns that was attributable in part to amplification of diurnal patterns of water loss in tree stems. This was supported by O₃-induced increases in average sap-flow rates over the growing season. Statistically significant increases in whole-tree canopy conductance, depletion of soil moisture in the rooting zone, and reduced late-season streamflow in forested watersheds were concurrently detected in response to increasing ambient O₃ levels. In mature *Populus* spp. trees protected or not by the antiozonant ethylenediurea and exposed to ambient O₃ in Italy, Giovannelli et al. [35] found evidence of stomatal sluggishness only in mornings in the summer when the O₃-injured trees increased sap flow so that the internal water resources were mobilized during periods of intense evaporative demand. Also, Sun et al. [36] found that stand sap flux of a mixed aspen-birch community in the Aspen O₃ FACE increased progressively during the summer relative to ambient air, suggesting increased stomatal opening. Conversely, mature beech trees under free-air fumigation within the stand canopy showed the enhanced O₃ regime to reduce crown transpiration and conductance in summer during late-morning through early-afternoon hours [24].

Lack of data on water-use responses of mature trees under enhanced O₃ exposure and on the leaf to tree-level integration are major limitations in understanding and modeling responses of forests to environmental changes [4,7,37]. To fill these gaps, we aimed at quantifying whole-tree transpiration and leaf-level variable-light (flecky, where sunflecks are rapid changes in light on leaf surfaces) gas exchange at Kranzberg Forest, where ambient and twice-ambient O₃ regimes had been applied to adult trees of *Fagus sylvatica* and *Picea abies* for 8 years [38,39]. Interactions between O₃ and

other environmental variables that could change transpiration by individual trees were quantified. To investigate the mechanisms of O₃ action, we postulated that O₃-induced sluggish stomatal responses to fluctuating environmental parameters, namely flecky light, increase short-term water loss from the foliage. Variable light may represent two-thirds of the incident light sums in forest canopies [40] with relevance for productivity. As light intensity may affect sluggishness [41] and light regimes within canopies affect leaf differentiation, we tested sun and shade leaves to explore whether sluggishness has the potential to occur across an entire tree crown.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Design and Environmental Data

The site was located at Kranzberger Forst near Freising, Germany (48°25' N, 11°39' E, elevation 485 m a.s.l.) in a mixed stand of 53 to 60-year-old Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) and European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*).

Exposure to above-ambient O₃ concentrations was conducted through an O₃ FACE employed within the forest canopy [42,43]. Entire crowns were exposed throughout eight growing seasons (2000–2007) to a twice-ambient O₃ regime (2xO₃) and compared to trees in unchanged ambient air (1xO₃) which served as controls. At 2xO₃, maximum O₃ concentrations were restricted to 150 ppb to prevent the risk of acute O₃ injury [42]. A total of eight O₃ analyzers were operated across the site, checked each day for consistency across zero lines and spans, and recalibrated as necessary (an average, once a month). Further details about stand and exposure are in [12,39].

In July 2007, four to five dominant trees of each species within each exposure regime were selected as described in [12,39], each individual is regarded as a replicated case study on its own [44]. 1xO₃ and 2xO₃ trees were in two separated groups. Air temperature, relative humidity of the air, photosynthetic photon flux density and O₃ levels were monitored at 24 m aboveground at top of the canopy (psychro- and baro-transmitter, ThiesClima, Goettingen, Germany; O₃ analyzer: ML8811, Monitor Labs, USA). Soil moisture was recorded at 20-cm depth. Environmental data were recorded as 10-min means (DL2e data logger, Delta-T-Devices, Burwell, Cambridge, U.K.). AOT40 was calculated as the sum of O₃ concentrations exceeding a threshold of 40 ppb during daylight hours (global radiation > 50 W m⁻²) [45] (CLRTAP, 2017).

During the 8th year of free-air fumigation, we carried out this experiment in July 2017 (1st to 31st). Descriptors of environmental conditions during the 31-day experiment are in Figure 1 and Table 1, and show no significant difference of environmental variables between the two O₃ regimes, while O₃ daily means, O₃ daily spikes and AOT40 (hourly values exceeding 40 ppb accumulated over the light hours of the experimental period) were significantly higher at 2xO₃. Soil moisture and the other environmental parameters were not limiting for gs of beech and spruce over the experiment.

Table 1. Mean daily environmental parameters (±Standard Error) did not differ between 1xO₃ and 2xO₃ (*t*-test, ns, *p* > 0.05, *n* = 31 days). Data are daily values for all parameters except photosynthetic photon flux density (diurnal) for July 2007.

Parameter	1xO ₃	2xO ₃	
Air temperature (°C)	18.33 ± 0.79	17.86 ± 0.80	ns
Relative humidity (%)	71.61 ± 2.34	71.03 ± 2.44	ns
Soil water content (%)	27.0 ± 1.0	27.0 ± 0.9	ns
Vapour pressure deficit (MPa)	7.87 ± 0.96	6.66 ± 0.91	ns
Photosynthetic photon flux density (μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	876 ± 52	876 ± 52	ns




Figure 1. Descriptors of ozone exposure at ambient (1xO₃) and twice-ambient ozone (2xO₃). Data are daily means of hourly concentrations and accumulated hourly values above 40 ppb (+SE) in July 2007. Different letters show significant differences between the ozone regimes (*t*-test or Mann–Whitney U test, $p < 0.001$, $n = 31$ days).

2.2. Assessment of Xylem Sap Flow and Calculation of Whole-Tree Transpiration

Sap flow was measured with 2-cm long continuously heated sap flow gauges according to Granier [46] at 0–2 cm sapwood depth. Sensors were inserted into the tree xylem at breast height (one sensor each at S and N-exposure) in beech and spruce trees. Additional sensors at 4 and 6 cm depth determined the variation in sap flow across the cross-sectional sapwood area of two trees per species. The sapwood area-related flow density at depths beyond 6 cm was linearly extrapolated towards the heartwood area on the basis of the assessments at 2, 4 and 6 cm depth. The proportional reductions in sap flow with increasing depths were used to express the sap flow density across the entire cross-sectional sapwood area in relation to the sensor readings at 2 cm depth. The relationship was adapted to all study trees in each species. The cross-sectional sapwood area was derived from increment cores of neighboring trees with DBH similar to that of the study trees. The product of sap flow density and cross-sectional sapwood area at breast height rendered whole-tree transpiration. Sap flow data were recorded as 10-min means, at the same intervals as environmental data. Results are shown for the 31-day experimental period.

2.3. Assessment of Stomatal Responses to Fleck Light

As O₃ resulted to be a significant factor affecting transpiration only in beech (Table 2), leaf-level gas exchange was measured at 8–13 CET only in this species, by means of an open-flow gas analyzer (Li-6400; Li-Cor, Inc., Lincoln NE, USA), recording data every 30 s. Twelve leaves (six sun leaves at top of the crown and 6 shade leaves at 2 m below the top) per beech tree, three trees per each of the two O₃ regimes, were selected for experimental manipulation of light. Leaves were free of visible injury on the 3rd to 5th position from the shoot tip. According to [25], light levels were alternated between 1500 and 100 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, waiting for stomatal adjustment after each light level. Full adjustment was established at two consecutive minutes of stable stomatal conductance (gs). Steady-state in practice means that gs showed no systematic decrease or increase ($\pm 2\%$) over a 2 min period. The treatment was repeated two times, under constant leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficit (< 2 kPa). Descriptors of gas exchange responses to light manipulation were calculated as average of the two cycles per leaf: maximum and minimum gs and photosynthesis (A); span of gs and A variation, as difference between maximum and minimum values; time gs and A reached equilibrium when stomata closed and opened; error in recording gs at the time A reached equilibrium, calculated as percent of steady-state gs; cumulated water loss and stomatal O₃ uptake, calculated as $\sum_{k=1}^n (V_k - V_{eq}) * 30\text{s}$ during closing, and as $\sum_{k=1}^n (V_{eq} - V_k) * 30\text{s}$ during opening, where V_k is the mean value of each 30-s interval and V_{eq} is the value at equilibrium. Water loss was calculated as mmol of transpired H₂O per leaf m². Assuming that O₃ concentrations inside the mesophyll approach zero [47], stomatal O₃ uptake was calculated by converting gs into stomatal conductance for O₃ and multiplying times the concurrent O₃ concentrations in ambient air [48].

2.4. Data Analysis

Data were checked for normal distribution (Kolmogorov–Smirnov D test). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to environmental and O₃ data, with the O₃ regime as a factor. Differences between regimes were tested by a t-test for normally distributed variables and by a non parametric Mann–Whitney U test for non-normally distributed variables, i.e., daily means of O₃ peaks and AOT40. A two-way ANOVA was applied to transpiration data, with O₃ regime (1xO₃ vs. 2xO₃) and tree species (beech vs. spruce) as factors. A two-way ANOVA was applied to data from fleck light responses, with O₃ regime (1xO₃ vs. 2xO₃) and leaf type (sun vs. shade) as factors. Linear correlations among all the variables were tested. The effects of O₃ on transpiration were tested between lines for collinearity [49]. Stepwise multiple regressions were applied to consider concurrent influences of the daily means of 10-min O₃ means (ozavg), O₃ peaks (ozmax), vapour pressure difference means (vpd_avg), VPD peaks (vpd_max), air temperature means (t_avg), air temperature peaks (t_max), photosynthetic photon flux density means (ppfd), and to identify the variables that most significantly influenced daily transpiration in beech and spruce in the two O₃ regimes. Tests of significance were made at a 95% confidence level. Data were processed using the STATISTICA 10.0 package for Windows (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Tree-Level Transpiration

Sap-flow measurements showed that daily total transpiration increased with increasing daily O₃ concentrations (both mean and max), in both species (Figure 2, Table 3). The correlation was not significant at 1xO₃ in beech, so that slope and intercept of the 1xO₃ and 2xO₃ correlation lines were not compared while they significantly differed in spruce (Figure 2). In spruce, transpiration was more sensitive to O₃ at 1xO₃ than at 2xO₃ as supported by a higher slope. To explain this surprising result, we focused on the environmental parameters, as other-than-O₃ factors may have affected this result. Although environmental parameters were similar at 1xO₃ and 2xO₃ (Table 1), most of the investigated variables (daily means of 10-min transpiration, O₃ means, O₃ peaks, VPD means, VPD peaks, air temperature means, air temperature peaks, photosynthetic flux density means) were inter-correlated, with the notable exception of (mean and peak) O₃ and beech transpiration at 1xO₃ (Table 3). A multiple linear stepwise regression was thus applied to quantify the relative contribution of each factor to transpiration. The main factors affecting transpiration were light (in both species and O₃ regimes) and VPD (in spruce) (Table 2). Ozone peaks affected transpiration (5–8% of the variance) only in beech.




Figure 2. Daily total transpiration (DTT) increased with increasing daily ozone peaks in mature trees of European beech and Norway spruce exposed to ambient (○ solid line) and twice-ambient O₃ regimes (■, dashed line) (July 2007). Each point is the average of 4–5 trees. Asterisks show the significance of the analysis: ***, $p < 0.001$; **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$; ns, $p \geq 0.05$.

Table 2. Summary of a stepwise multiple linear regression to quantify the effects of the daily 10-min means of O₃ concentrations (ozavg), O₃ peaks (ozmax), vapour pressure difference means (vpd_avg), VPD peaks (vpd_max), air temperature means (t_avg), air temperature peaks (t_max), photosynthetic photon flux density means (ppfd), on mean daily total transpiration in beech at spruce at different ozone regimes. Bold *p* values are significant at *p* < 0.05.

Regression	Variables	Multiple R ²	Variance Explained at Each Step, %	F	<i>p</i> -Level
1xO ₃ beech					
	ppfd	0.32	32	14.26	0.0007
	1xozmax	0.40	8	3.97	0.0556
	vpd_avg	0.43	3	1.69	0.2034
	t_avg	0.48	5	2.89	0.1000
	t_max	0.53	5	2.86	0.1024
1xO ₃ spruce					
	vpd_max	0.84	84	167.09	<0.0001
	ppfd	0.88	4	10.67	0.0027
	t_max	0.90	2	5.08	0.0319
	vpd_avg	0.91	1	3.45	0.0738
	t_avg	0.92	1	4.26	0.0487
2xO ₃ beech					
	ppfd	0.54	54	36.03	<0.0001
	2xozmax	0.62	5	3.84	0.0596
	2xozavg	0.57	3	1.90	0.1778
2xO ₃ spruce					
	ppfd	0.79	79	120.17	<0.0001
	t_max	0.85	6	11.74	0.0018
	vpd_avg	0.86	1	2.07	0.1611
	t_avg	0.88	2	3.04	0.0924
	vpd_max	0.91	3	8.30	0.0077

Table 3. Correlation coefficient (*r*) and level of significance (*p*) in the correlation matrix among daily values of total transpiration in beech (BTr) and spruce (STr) and 10-min means of O₃ concentrations (ozavg), O₃ peaks (ozmax), vapour pressure difference means (vpd_avg), vapour pressure deficit peaks (vpd_max), air temperature means (t_avg), air temperature peaks (t_max), photosynthetic photon flux density means (ppfd) at ambient (1x) and twice-ambient ozone (2x). Bold *p* values are significant at *p* < 0.05.

	1xBTr	1xSTr	1xOzavg	1xOzmax	2xBTr	2xSTr	2xozavg	2xOzmax	Vpd_Avg	Vpd_Max	t_Avg	t_Max	Ppfd
1xBTr	1.0000												
	<i>p</i> = ---												
1xSTr	<i>r</i> = 0.5951	1.0000											
	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = ---											
1xozavg	ns	<i>r</i> = 0.7631	<i>r</i> = 1.0000										
		<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = ---										
1xozmax	ns	<i>r</i> = 0.8052	<i>r</i> = 0.9153	<i>r</i> = 1.0000									
		<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = ---									
2xBTr	<i>r</i> = 0.8815	<i>r</i> = 0.7909	<i>r</i> = 0.5012	<i>r</i> = 0.4664	1.0000								
	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = 0.003	<i>p</i> = 0.006	<i>p</i> = ---								
2xSTr	<i>r</i> = 0.6790	<i>r</i> = 0.9743	<i>r</i> = 0.6916	<i>r</i> = 0.7399	<i>r</i> = 0.8132	1.0000							
	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = ---							
2xozavg	ns	<i>r</i> = 0.4383	<i>r</i> = 0.5850	<i>r</i> = 0.5000	<i>r</i> = 0.4719	<i>r</i> = 0.3502	1.0000						
		<i>p</i> = 0.011	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = 0.003	<i>p</i> = 0.006	<i>p</i> = 0.046	<i>p</i> = ---						
2xozmax	ns	<i>r</i> = 0.5874	<i>r</i> = 0.6790	<i>r</i> = 0.7152	<i>r</i> = 0.4270	<i>r</i> = 0.4919	<i>r</i> = 0.8724	1.0000					
		<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = 0.013	<i>p</i> = 0.004	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = ---					
vpd_avg	<i>r</i> = 0.4640	<i>r</i> = 0.9038	<i>r</i> = 0.8138	<i>r</i> = 0.8202	<i>r</i> = 0.6423	<i>r</i> = 0.8628	ns	<i>r</i> = 0.4613	1.0000				
	<i>p</i> = 0.007	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001		<i>p</i> = 0.007	<i>p</i> = ---				
vpd_max	<i>r</i> = 0.4433	<i>r</i> = 0.9184	<i>r</i> = 0.8199	<i>r</i> = 0.8689	<i>r</i> = 0.6450	<i>r</i> = 0.8648	<i>r</i> = 0.4627	<i>r</i> = 0.6013	<i>r</i> = 0.9503	1.0000			
	<i>p</i> = 0.010	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = 0.007	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = ---			
t_avg	ns	<i>r</i> = 0.8545	<i>r</i> = 0.8297	<i>r</i> = 0.8430	<i>r</i> = 0.5005	<i>r</i> = 0.7798	<i>r</i> = 0.4029	<i>r</i> = 0.5424	<i>r</i> = 0.8803	<i>r</i> = 0.8986	1.0000		
		<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = 0.020	<i>p</i> = 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = ---		
t_max	<i>r</i> = 0.3311	<i>r</i> = 0.9079	<i>r</i> = 0.8095	<i>r</i> = 0.8632	<i>r</i> = 0.5953	<i>r</i> = 0.8506	<i>r</i> = 0.4546	<i>r</i> = 0.6068	<i>r</i> = 0.8744	<i>r</i> = 0.9420	<i>r</i> = 0.9671	1.0000	
	<i>p</i> = 0.060	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = 0.008	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = ---	
ppfd	<i>r</i> = 0.5613	<i>r</i> = 0.8817	<i>r</i> = 0.7394	<i>r</i> = 0.7652	<i>r</i> = 0.7332	<i>r</i> = 0.8916	<i>r</i> = 0.4401	<i>r</i> = 0.5622	<i>r</i> = 0.8190	<i>r</i> = 0.8405	<i>r</i> = 0.7096	<i>r</i> = 0.7883	1.0000
	<i>p</i> = 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = 0.010	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> < 0.001	<i>p</i> = ---

When analyzing total transpiration with O_3 regime as a factor, the $2xO_3$ regime did not induce a significant reduction in transpiration (Figure 3). The reduction was in agreement with $2xO_3$ leaf-level steady-state measurements showing lower g_s than $1xO_3$ (Figure 4A).




Figure 3. Mean daily total transpiration ($\pm$ SE) calculated from sap flow measurements in beech and spruce trees. Each bar is the average of 4–5 trees in 31 days (July 2007). The inset shows the levels of significance from a two-way (tree species x O_3 regime) analysis of variance ($n = 31$ days).




Figure 4. Summary of stomatal and assimilatory responses to fleck light in beech ($\pm$ SE): (A) max and min stomatal conductance (g_s) and span of g_s variation (Δ); (B) time g_s and A reached equilibrium when stomata closed and opened (T); (C) max and min photosynthesis (A) and span of A variation (Δ); (D) error in measuring g_s at the time A reached equilibrium when stomata closed and opened

(error); (E) relative stomatal ozone uptake during opening and closing (uptake); (F) relative water loss during opening and closing (loss). The insets show the results of a two-way (O_3 regime $\times$ Sun/Shade leaf) ANOVA: ns, $p > 0.05$; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$. Each bar is the average of two cycles on six leaves ($n = 6$).

3.2. Leaf-Level Flecky Light Responses

At both O_3 regimes, beech stomata were slower in closing (T_{close} gs) than in opening (T_{open} gs), with no significant difference depending on the leaf light exposure level (i.e., sun vs. shade; Figure 4B). The $2xO_3$ stomata were slower in opening and—in particular—in closing than $1xO_3$ stomata, again with no significant difference between leaf light exposure level. As a result, cumulated stomatal O_3 uptake before stomata reached equilibrium was 1.7 (during opening) and 4.6 times (during closing) greater in $2xO_3$ leaves than in $1xO_3$ leaves, both for sun (+236% and +527%, respectively) and shade leaves (+109% and +272%, respectively) (Figure 4E), while O_3 concentrations were just 0.6 times greater at $2xO_3$ than that at $1xO_3$ (Figure 1). Cumulated water loss during stomatal adjustment was also greater at $2xO_3$ than at $1xO_3$, although the difference was significant only during stomata closing (Figure 4F). Shade and sun leaves showed similar responses, except for the maximum and minimum values of g_s and A , which were lower in shade leaves (Figure 4A,C). A number of unresponsive $2xO_3$ leaves were detected. After shutting down, the stomata of unresponsive leaves did not reopen regularly (Figure 5). Data from unresponsive leaves were discarded because a steady state was not reached. If g_s was recorded at the time A reached an equilibrium, an error was generated (Figures 4D and 6). This error was larger when measurements were carried out from high to low light (on average, +174%) than from low to high light (−6%). This error was much larger in $2xO_3$ leaves (+256% and −8% during closing and opening, respectively) than in $1xO_3$ leaves (+93% and −5%). The error during stomatal closure was higher in shade than sun leaves at $1xO_3$ (230% vs. 282%) and vice versa at $2xO_3$ (149% vs. 37%).




Figure 5. Examples of unresponsive $2xO_3$ beech leaves. Stomata closed regularly when the light was off (full arrows) but did not re-open when the light was on (dotted arrows).




Figure 6. Example of fleck light responses in sun and shade leaves of beech trees. When stomatal conductance (gs) achieved equilibrium, the light intensity was sharply decreased from 1500 to 100 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ until equilibrium, and then increased again. The treatment was repeated two times. Full symbols show the time equilibrium was reached, i.e., gs or A (photosynthesis) values were stable in the following two minutes. Full lines show examples of calculation of the time to open and to close stomata (in min). Dotted lines show examples of calculation of the error in recording gs at the time A reached equilibrium (as percent of steady-state gs). Slashed surfaces show examples of cumulated water loss and stomatal O₃ uptake when stomata closed (/) and opened (\).

4. Discussion

4.1. Responses of Tree-Level Transpiration

By regulating evapotranspiration, forests play a key role in the global hydrologic cycle [50] and how O₃ affects transpiration via stomata is thus critical to modeling efforts [13]. Drought stress has often been reported to mitigate the negative impacts of O₃ on young tree physiology and growth under controlled conditions [11,51–53]. However, empirical evidence from mature trees including physiological [33,35,54] and growth measurements [9,34] suggests that drought effects are exacerbated, not reduced, by the combination with elevated O₃, which would challenge forest capacity to buffer extreme hydrological events [36]. To investigate the reasons for this dichotomy, we measured whole-tree transpiration of mature beech and spruce trees that had been exposed for 8 years to ambient and twice-ambient O₃ regimes. Over the 31 days of our summer study, mean daily total transpiration did not vary with the O₃ regime, because the slight reductions from 1xO₃ to 2xO₃ (−11% in beech and −8% in spruce) were not significant, although a tendency was found ($p = 0.058$). Our current study conducted in 2007 contrasted with findings by Matyssek et al. [24] during the summer months of 2006, reporting on distinct decreases of crown-level transpiration and conductance around noon in beech under 2xO₃, suggesting a major role of environmental factors in regulating O₃ impacts.

When individual daily values were correlated with daily O_3 peaks, daily transpiration was found to increase with increasing daily values of O_3 in both species (but insignificant in beech at $1xO_3$). An analysis carried out with mean O_3 values and non-linear approaches gave very similar results (not shown). However, O_3 levels are known to co-vary with a number of environmental factors (e.g., VPD, SWC; [55] that may remarkably affect plant transpiration as confirmed in our experiment. A multiple linear regression demonstrated the role of O_3 in affecting transpiration in beech (5–8% of the total variance) but not in spruce. Such species-specific differential responses are typical of O_3 [56,57]. In particular, spruce is usually considered more O_3 -tolerant than beech [58] as it is the case for most conifers, characterized by lower gas exchange and O_3 uptake and higher antioxidant capacity than broadleaf trees. A meta-analysis by Li et al. [5] found that the plant functional type has a major role in affecting the growth, physiological, and biochemical responses of woody species to O_3 .

4.2. Steady-State and Dynamic Responses of Leaf-Level Gas Exchange (Sun/Shade)

It is well known that stomata close because of higher intercellular CO_2 concentration following O_3 attack to the photosynthetic membranes [5]. In our beech experiment, the responses of steady-state g_s and A to O_3 varied in tandem, suggesting coupling and decrease of transpiration and carbon assimilation, although effects on the latter were minor [59]. Several previous studies found an uncoupling of g_s and A under O_3 , in that A decreased and g_s did not vary [17–21,60]. The even higher decline of steady-state g_s (–44% and –19% in sun and shade leaves, respectively; in consistency with Matyssek et al. [24]) than of A (–21% and –11%) when comparing $2xO_3$ with $1xO_3$ leaves, is the result of the rather long time we waited before actual steady-state of g_s was reached: 15–17 min to open and 15–25 min to close at $1xO_3$ and 23–20 min to open and 29–29 min to close at $2xO_3$ in sun-shade leaves. Equilibrium of A , in fact, required shorter times, namely 13–14 min to open and 4–3 min to close at $1xO_3$ and 15–13 min to open and 2–2 min to close at $2xO_3$ in sun-shade leaves. These results are in agreement with Morison [30] that stomata require more time to reach equilibrium than A . Recording g_s when A reaches equilibrium, however, it is a common practice. In response to flecky light, this practice may generate significant overestimations of g_s when measurements are carried out during stomatal closing movement (ab. 90% at $1xO_3$), and especially when stomatal responses to enhanced O_3 exposure are investigated (ab. 250% at $2xO_3$). Such delay in stomatal responsiveness suggests caution when assessing g_s under O_3 pollution. Steady-state A is typically measured within 2–5 min from changing conditions [61,62], because longer measurement times would affect the steady-state activation of Rubisco and introduce errors [61]. Parameterizing g_s is a pillar of flux-based O_3 risk assessment for vegetation [63,64]. Therefore, we recommend separate recordings i.e., first for steady-state A and then for steady-state g_s , especially when leaves are exposed to O_3 .

Timespans of g_s equilibration confirmed a significant slowdown of the stomatal dynamics at elevated O_3 [25]. During such extended periods, stomata absorbed more O_3 (3.4 and 2.1 times to open and 6.3 and 3.6 times to close in sun and shade leaves, respectively) and lost more water (2.1 and 1.2 times to open and 6.4 and 1.5 times to close in sun and shade leaves, respectively) than the rapidly responding stomata in ambient O_3 ($1xO_3$). Thus, modeling uptake and effects of future O_3 pollution may result in underestimation if stomatal sluggishness is not considered [20]. The ecological consequences of these sluggish stomatal responses were estimated for carbon and water balance of deciduous temperate forests at global scale: enhanced O_3 was suggested to decrease instantaneous water use efficiency, i.e., the ratio of net CO_2 assimilation to transpiration, up to 20% when stomatal sluggishness is considered, and up to only 5% when absent [32]. Such an O_3 -induced decoupling of A and g_s implies that O_3 affects gross primary productivity more than transpiration [60]. We postulate that the extra loss of water during sluggish stomatal responses offset part of the reduction in transpiration due to lower steady-state g_s . The combination of the two contrasting stomatal phenomena translated into a modest 11% reduction of transpiration in beech at $2xO_3$ relative to $1xO_3$, while steady-state g_s decreased by 44% in sun leaves and 19% in shade leaves (cf. [24]).

Following Giovannelli [35], longer stomatal opening may increase sap flow and mobilizing the internal water resources in the stem, especially during summer periods with high evaporative demand. Stem water reserves (mainly from phloem and living bark along with capacities from the

xylem body) are able to support daily transpiration by 8 to 20% in mature trees [65,66]. This sluggish response supports the hypothesis that O₃ pollution amplifies water deficits in forest trees [33,34,54]. However, a means of buffering uncontrolled water loss by sluggish stomata leaves in premature shedding of severely injured leaves [67]. We did not assess leaf shedding in our study, although autumnal leaf shedding was accelerated annually to the variable extent under 2xO₃ throughout the eight-year experiment [42]. Additional buffering of water loss factor may be provided by unresponsive leaves that did not reopen their stomata after shutting down. Understanding the mechanisms of stomatal responsiveness under O₃ stress will help the upscaling of stomatal responses to O₃ from the leaf to the tree crown and regional level [48].

Gas exchange of shade leaves was less responsive to O₃ exposure than that of sun leaves (in this experiment, but see also [58]). In addition, sluggishness is enhanced at high light availability [41]. Nevertheless, our hypothesis that stomatal sluggishness occurred only in the peripheral crown needs to be rejected. Sluggish responses were similar between O₃ regimes and leaf types, and in the absence of significant interactions. We conclude that sluggishness may occur across an entire crown, independently on the leaf type.

5. Conclusions

Stomatal sluggishness occurred under O₃ stress across entire crowns, independent of leaf type. Hence, short-term water loss from mature beech trees was amplified by slowed stomatal dynamics, while long-term water loss was restricted because of decreased steady-state stomatal conductance. Over the short term of our observations, the two responses appeared to offset each other with a tendency of declining whole-tree transpiration. Whether stomatal sluggishness is a means for buffering gs decline and, hence, mobilizing internal water resources, or a collateral effect of O₃-perturbed hormonal relationships [31] remains an intriguing question.

The elevated O₃ concentrations simulated in our experiment (55 ppb as daily average) are similar to those predicted for large areas of the most polluted world regions by RCP8.5 [68]. Increased water use of mature forest trees under episodically high O₃ events may exacerbate the adverse effects of climatic changes on forest growth and hydrology. As climate change increases the risk of drought and flooding, hydrological consequences of contrasting stomatal O₃ responses should be evaluated in the longer term and for more species, as only beech transpiration was significantly affected by O₃ in this experiment.

Author Contributions: conceptualization, E.P., N.E.G., R.M.; methodology, E.P., N.E.G., R.M.; formal analysis, E.P., N.E.G.; data analysis, E.P., N.E.G., R.M.; writing—original draft preparation, E.P.; writing—review and editing, E.P., N.E.G., R.M.; project coordination R.M.; funding acquisition, R.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the CASIROZ project (“The carbon sink strength of beech in a changing environment: Experimental risk assessment of mitigation by chronic ozone impact”), funded through European Commission—Research Directorate General, Environment Programme, Natural Resources Management and Services (contract no. EVK2—2002—00165, Ecosystem Vulnerability), and by Integrated Research Center ‘SFB 607: Growth and parasite defense—competition for resources in economic plants from agronomy and forestry’, funded through Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). And The APC was funded by the LIFE project MOTTLES (LIFE15 ENV/IT/000183).

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge support by Angela J. Nunn in getting the field measurements of transpiration and meteorological parameters. Soil water content data are courtesy of L. Zimmermann; LWF, climate station ‘Kranzberger Forst’.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Bonan, G.B. Forests and climate change: Forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests. *Science* **2008**, *320*, 1444–1449.
2. Paoletti, E. Ozone impacts on forests. *Cab Rev. Perspect. Agric. Vet. Sci. Nutr. Nat. Resour.* **2007**, *2*, 13.

3. Mills, G.; Pleijel, H.; Malley, C.S.; Sinha, B.; Cooper, O.R.; Schultz, M.G.; Neufeld, H.S.; Simpson, D.; Sharps, K.; Feng, Z.; et al. Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Present-day tropospheric ozone distribution and trends relevant to vegetation. *Elem. Sci. Anthr.* **2018**, *6*, 47.
4. Matyssek, R.; Kozovits, A.R.; Wieser, G.; King, J.; Rennenberg, H. Woody-plant ecosystems under climate change and air pollution—response consistencies across zonobiomes? *Tree Physiol.* **2017**, *37*, 706–732.
5. Li, P.; Feng, Z.; Catalayud, V.; Yuan, X.; Xu, Y.; Paoletti, E. A meta-analysis on growth, physiological, and biochemical responses of woody species to ground-level ozone highlights the role of plant functional types. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2017**, *40*, 2369–2380.
6. Grulke, N.E.; Heath, R.L. Ozone effects on plants in natural ecosystems. *Plant Biol.* **2019**, *22*, 1435–8603.
7. Matyssek, R.; Kozovits, A.R.; Schnitzler, J.; Pretzsch, J.; Dieler, J.; Wieser, G. Forest trees under air pollution as a factor of climate change. In *Trees in a Changing Environment*; Tausz, M., Grulke, N., Eds.; Plant Ecophysiology; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2014; Volume 9, pp. 117–163.
8. Weigt, R.; Haeberle, K.-H.; Roetzer, T.; Matyssek, R. Whole-tree seasonal nitrogen uptake and partitioning in adult *Fagus sylvatica* L. and *Picea abies* L. [Karst.] trees exposed to elevated ground-level ozone. *Environ. Pollut.* **2015**, *196*, 511–517.
9. McLaughlin, S.B.; Nosal, M.; Wullschlegel, S.D.; Sun, G. Interactive effects of ozone and climate on tree growth and water use in a southern Appalachian forest in the USA. *New Phytol.* **2007**, *174*, 109–24.
10. Matyssek R, Wieser G, Fleischmann F, Grünhage L Ozone Research, quo vadis? Lessons from the free-air canopy fumigation experiment at Kranzberg Forest. In *Climate Change, Air Pollution and Global Challenges: Understanding and Perspectives from Forest Research*; Matyssek, R., Clarke, N., Cudlin, P., Mikkelsen, T.N., Tuovinen, J.-P., Wieser, G., Paoletti, E., Eds.; Developments in Environmental Science 13; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2013; pp. 103–129.
11. Kolb, T.E.; Matyssek, R. Limitations and perspectives about scaling ozone impact in trees. *Environ. Pollut.* **2001**, *115*, 373–393.
12. Löw, M.; Herbing, K.; Nunn, A.J.; Haberle, K.-H.; Leuchner, M.; Heerdt, C.; Werner, H.; Wipfler, P.; Pretzsch, H.; Tausz, M.; et al. Extraordinary drought of 2003 overrules ozone impact on adult beech trees (*Fagus sylvatica*). *Trees* **2006**, *20*, 539–548.
13. Paoletti, E.; Grulke, N.E. Does living in elevated CO₂ ameliorate tree response to ozone? A review on stomatal responses. *Environ. Pollut.* **2005**, *137*, 483–493.
14. McAinsh, M.R.; Evans, N.H.; Montgomery, L.T.; North, K.A. Calcium signalling in stomatal responses to pollutants. *New Phytol.* **2002**, *153*, 441–447.
15. Paoletti, E.; Grulke, N.E. Ozone exposure and stomatal sluggishness in different plant physiognomic classes. *Environ. Pollut.* **2010**, *158*, 2664–2671.
16. Matyssek, R.; Günthardt-Goerg, M.S.; Keller, T.; Scheidegger, C. Impairment of the gas exchange and structure in birch leaves (*Betula pendula*) caused by low ozone concentrations. *Trees* **1991**, *5*, 5–13.
17. Grulke, N.E. Physiological responses of ponderosa pine to gradients of environmental stressors. In *Oxidant Air Pollution Impacts in the Montane Forests of Southern California*; Miller, P.R., McBride, J.R., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: New York, NY, USA, 1999.
18. Paoletti, E.; Nali, C.; Lorenzini, G. Early responses to acute ozone in two *Fagus sylvatica* clones differing in xeromorphic adaptations: Photosynthetic and stomatal processes, membrane and epicuticular characteristics. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **2007**, *128*, 93–108.
19. Grulke, N.E.; Paoletti, E.; Heath, R.L. Chronic vs. short-term acute O₃ exposure effects on nocturnal transpiration in two Californian oaks. *Sci. World J.* **2007**, *7*, 134–140.
20. Grulke, N.E.; Paoletti, E.; Heath, R.L. Comparison of calculated and measured foliar O₃ flux in crop and forest species. *Environ. Pollut.* **2007**, *146*, 640–647.
21. Hoshika, Y.; Carriero, G.; Feng, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Paoletti, E. Determinants of stomatal sluggishness in ozone-exposed deciduous tree species. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2014**, *481*, 453–458.
22. Hoshika, Y.; De Carlo, A.; Baraldi, R.; Neri, L.; Carrari, E.; Agathokleous, E.; Zhang, L.; Fares, S.; Paoletti, E. Ozone-induced impairment of night-time stomatal closure in O₃-sensitive poplar clone is affected by nitrogen but not by phosphorus enrichment. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *692*, 713–722.
23. Matyssek, R.; Günthardt-Goerg, M.; Maurer, S.; Keller, T. Nighttime exposure to ozone reduces whole-plant production in *Betula pendula*. *Tree Physiol.* **1995**, *15*, 159–165.

24. Matyssek R, Baumgarten M, Hummel U, Häberle K-H, Kitao M, Wieser G Canopy-level stomatal narrowing in adult *Fagus sylvatica* under O₃ stress—means of preventing enhanced O₃ uptake under high O₃ exposure? *Environ. Pollut.* **2015**, *196*, 518–526.
25. Paoletti, E. Ozone slows stomatal response to light and leaf wounding in a Mediterranean evergreen broadleaf, *Arbutus unedo*. *Environ. Pollut.* **2005**, *134*, 439–445.
26. Patterson, M.T.; Rundel, P.W. Seasonal physiological responses of ozone stressed Jeffrey pine in Sequoia National Park, California. In *Effects of Air Pollution on Western Forests*; Olson, R.K., Lefohn, A., Eds.; Air and Waste Management Association: Pittsburgh, PA, USA, 1989.
27. Pearson, M.; Mansfield, T.A. Interacting effects of ozone and water stress on the stomatal resistance of beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.). *New Phytol.* **1993**, *123*, 351–358.
28. Keller, T.; Häsler, R. The influence of a fall fumigation with ozone on the stomatal behavior of spruce and fir. *Oecologia* **1984**, *64*, 284–286.
29. Reich, P.B.; Lassoie, J.P. Effects of low level ozone exposure on leaf diffusive conductance and water use efficiency caused by air pollutants. *Plant Cell Environ.* **1984**, *7*, 661–668.
30. Morison, J.I.L. Stomatal response to increased CO₂ concentration. *J. Exp. Bot.* **1998**, *49*, 443–452.
31. Wilkinson, S.; Davies, W. Drought, ozone, ABA and ethylene: New insights from cell to plant community. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2010**, *33*, 510–525.
32. Hoshika, Y.; Katata, G.; Deushi, M.; Watanabe, M.; Koike, T.; Paoletti, E. Ozone-induced stomatal sluggishness changes carbon and water balance of temperate deciduous forests. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *5*, 9871.
33. Grulke NE, Alonso R, Nguyen T, Cascio C, Dobrowolski, W. Stomata open at night in pole-sized and mature ponderosa pine: Implications for O₃ exposure metrics. *Tree Physiol.* **2004**, *24*, 1001–1010.
34. McLaughlin, S.B.; Wullschleger, S.D.; Sun, G.; Nosal, M. Interactive effects of ozone and climate on water use, soil moisture content and streamflow in a southern Appalachian forest in the USA. *New Phytol.* **2007**, *174*, 125–36.
35. Giovannelli, A.; Traversi, M.L.; Anichini, M.; Hoshika, Y.; Fares, S.; Paoletti, E. Effect of long-term vs. short-term ambient ozone exposure on radial stem growth, sap flux and xylem morphology of O₃-sensitive poplar trees. *Forests* **2019**, *10*, 396.
36. Sun, G.; McLaughlin, S.M.; Porter, J.H.M.; Uddling, J.; Mulholland, P.J.; Adams, M.B.; Pederson, N. Interactive influences of ozone and climate on streamflow of forested watersheds. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2012**, *18*, 3395–3409.
37. Hoshika, Y.; Watanabe, M.; Carrari, E.; Paoletti, E.; Koike, T. Ozone-induced stomatal sluggishness changes stomatal parameters of Jarvis-type model in white birch and deciduous oak. *Plant Biol.* **2018**, *20*, 20–28.
38. Matyssek, R.; Bahnweg, G.; Ceulemans, R.; Fabian, P.; Grill, D.; Hanke, D.E.; Kraigher, H.; Osswald, W.; Rennenberg, H.; Sandermann, H.; et al. Synopsis of the CASIROZ case study: Carbon sink strength of *Fagus sylvatica* L. in a changing environment: Experimental risk assessment of mitigation by chronic ozone impact. *Plant Biol.* **2007**, *9*, 163–180.
39. Matyssek, R.; Wieser, G.; Ceulemans, R.; Rennenberg, H.; Pretzsch, H.; Haberer, K.; Löw, M.; Nunn, J.J.; Werner, H.; Wipfler, P.; et al. Enhanced ozone strongly reduces carbon sink strength of adult beech (*Fagus sylvatica*)-Resume from the free-air fumigation study at Kranzberg Forest. *Environ. Pollut.* **2010**, *158*, 2527–2532.
40. Pearcy, F.W. Sunflecks and photosynthesis in plant canopies. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **1990**, *41*, 421–453.
41. Hoshika, Y.; De Marco, A.; Materassi, A.; Paoletti, E. Light intensity affects ozone-induced stomatal sluggishness in snapbean. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **2016**, *227*, 419–425.
42. Nunn, A.J.; Reiter, I.M.; Häberle, K.H.; Werner, H.; Langebartels, C.; Sandermann, H.; Heerdt, C.; Fabian, P.; Matyssek, R. “Free-Air” ozone canopy fumigation in an old-growth mixed forest: Concept and observations in beech. *Phyton* **2002**, *42*, 105–119.
43. Werner, H.; Fabian, P. Free-air fumigation of mature trees. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2002**, *9*, 117–121.
44. Matyssek, R. Ozone sensitivity of adult forest trees. *Plant Biol.* **2007**, *9*, 163–356.
45. CLRTAP. Mapping Critical Levels for Vegetation, Chapter III of manual on methodologies and criteria for modelling and mapping critical loads and levels and air pollution effects, risks and trends. UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution. 2017. Available online: <http://icpvegetation.ceh.ac.uk/> (accessed on 18 October 2017).

46. Granier, A. Evaluation of transpiration in a Douglas-fir stand by means of sap flow measurements. *Tree Physiol.* **1987**, *3*, 309–320.
47. Laisk, A.; Kull, O.; Moldau, H. Ozone concentration in leaf intercellular air spaces is close to zero. *Plant Physiol.* **1989**, *90*, 1163–1167.
48. Wieser, G.; Matyssek, R.; Then, C.; Cieslik, S.; Paoletti, E.; Ceulemans, R. Upscaling ozone flux in forests from leaf to landscape. *Ital. J. Agron.* **2008**, *1*, 35–41.
49. Draper, N.; Smith, H. *Applied Regression Analysis*; John Wiley and Sons Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1981; p. 209.
50. Jung, M.; Reichstein, M.; Ciais, P.; Seneviratne, S.I.; Sheffield, J.; Goulden, M.L.; Bonan, G.; Cescatti, A.; Chen, J.; de Jeu, R.; et al. Recent Deceleration Glob. *Land Evapotranspiration Due Moisture Supply Limit*. *Nat.* **1981**, *467*, 951–954.
51. Pääkkönen, E.; Vahala, J.; Pohjola, M.; Holopainen, T.; Karenlampi, L. Physiological, stomatal and ultrastructural ozone responses in birch (*Betula pendula* Roth.) are modified by water stress. *Plant Cell Environ.* **1998**, *21*, 671–684.
52. Li, L.; Manning, W.J.; Tong, L.; Wang, X.K. Chronic drought stress reduced but not protected Shantung maple (*Acer truncatum* Bunge.) from adverse effects of ozone O₃ on growth and physiology in the suburb of Beijing. *China. Environ. Pollut.* **2015**, *201*, 34–41.
53. Gao, F.; Catalayud, V.; Paoletti, E.; Hoshika, Y.; Feng, Z. Water stress mitigates the negative effects of ozone on photosynthesis and biomass in poplar plants. *Environ. Pollut.* **2007**, *230*, 268–279.
54. Grulke, N.E.; Preisler, H.K.; Rose, C.; Kirsch, J.; Balduman, L. O₃ uptake and drought stress effects on carbon acquisition of ponderosa pine in natural stands. *New Phytol.* **2002**, *154*, 621–631.
55. Paoletti, E.; De Marco, A.; Anav, A.; Gasparini, P.; Pompei, E. Five-year volume growth of European beech does not respond to ozone pollution in Italy. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2018**, *25*, 8233–8239.
56. Hoshika, Y.; Moura, B.B.; Paoletti, E. Ozone risk assessment in three oak species as affected by soil water availability. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2018**, *25*, 8125–8136.
57. Calatayud, V.; Cerveró, J.; Sanz, M.J. Foliar, physiological and growth responses of four maple species exposed to ozone. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **2007**, *185*, 239–254.
58. Nunn, A.J.; Reiter, I.M.; Haberle, K.-H.; Langebartels, C.; Bahnweg, G.; Pretzsch, H.; Sandermann, H.; Matyssek, R. Response patterns in adult forest trees to chronic ozone stress: Identification of variations and consistencies. *Environ. Pollut.* **2005**, *136*, 365–369.
59. Kitao, M.; Löw, M.; Heerdt, C.; Grams, T.E.E.; Häberle, K.H.; Matyssek, R. Effects of chronic elevated ozone exposure on gas exchange responses of adult beech trees (*Fagus sylvatica*) as related to the within-canopy light gradient. *Environ. Pollut.* **2009**, *157*, 537–544.
60. Lombardozzi, D.; Sparks, J.P.; Bonan, G.; Levis, S. Ozone exposure causes a decoupling of conductance and photosynthesis: Implications for the Ball-Berry stomatal conductance model. *Oecologia* **2012**, *169*, 651–659.
61. Long, S.P.; Bernacchi, C.J. Gas exchange measurements, what can they tell us about the underlying limitations to photosynthesis? *Proced. Sources Error. J. Exp. Bot.* **2003**, *54*, 2393–2401.
62. Niinemets, Ü.; Cescatti, A.; Rodeghiero, M.; Tosens, T. Leaf internal diffusion conductance limits photosynthesis more strongly in older leaves of Mediterranean evergreen broad-leaved species. *Plantcell Environ.* **2005**, *28*, 1552–1566.
63. Matyssek, R.; Sandermann, H.; Wieser, G.; Booker, F.; Cieslik, S.; Musselman, R.; Ernst, D. The challenge of making ozone risk assessment for forest trees more mechanistic. *Environ. Pollut.* **2008**, *156*, 567–582.
64. Zhang, L.; Hoshika, Y.; Carrari, E.; Badea, O.; Paoletti, E. Ozone risk assessment is affected by nutrient availability: Evidence from a simulation experiment under free air controlled exposure (FACE). *Environ. Pollut.* **2018**, *328*, 812–822.
65. Cermák, J.; Kucera, J.; Bauerle, W.L.; Phillips, N.; Hinckley, T.M. Tree water storage and its diurnal dynamics related to sap flow and changes in stem volume in old-growth Douglas-fir trees. *Tree Physiol.* **2007**, *27*, 181–198.
66. Schulze, E.-D.; Cermak, J.; Matyssek, R.; Penka, M.; Zimmermann, R.; Vasicek, F.; Gries, W.; Kucera, J. Canopy transpiration and water fluxes in the xylem of the trunk of *Larix* and *Picea* trees—a comparison of xylem flow, porometer and cuvette measurements. *Oecologia* **1985**, *66*, 475–483.
67. Hoshika, Y.; Omasa, K.; Paoletti, E. Whole-tree water use efficiency is decreased by ambient ozone and not affected by O₃-induced stomatal sluggishness. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e39270.

68. Sicard, P.; Anav, A.; De Marco, A.; Paoletti, E. Projected global tropospheric ozone impacts on vegetation under different emission and climate scenarios. *Atm. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *17*, 12177–12196.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).