

OVERVIEW

HOW WE CAN LEARN MORE ABOUT THE CERULEAN WARBLER (*DENDROICA CERULEA*)

PAUL B. HAMEL,^{1,4} DEANNA K. DAWSON,² AND PATRICK D. KEYSER³

¹U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Center for Bottomland Hardwoods Research,
P.O. Box 227, Stoneville, Mississippi 38776, USA;

²U.S. Geological Survey, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, 12100 Beech Forest Road, Laurel,
Maryland 20708, USA; and

³MeadWestvaco Corporation, Forestry Division, Appalachian Region, P.O. Box 577, Rupert, West Virginia 25984, USA

A SENSE OF urgency attends the study of species of concern, like the Cerulean Warbler (*Dendroica cerulea*). Sharpened by Robbins et al. (1992) and Hamel (1992), such concern prompted the U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to commission a status assessment of the Cerulean Warbler (Hamel 2000a). Shortly after the status review was published, a petition (Ruley 2000) was delivered to the USFWS urging that the species be listed as “threatened” under the Endangered Species Act of 1973. The account of the Cerulean Warbler in the *Birds of North America* series also appeared that year (Hamel 2000b). Substantial attention is currently focused on the species, and the Cerulean Warbler Technical Group (CWTG) was formed in 2002 (see Appendix).

This overview consists of two parts. The first, prepared primarily by P.B.H., attempts to summarize current knowledge and suggest productive avenues to pursue in our efforts to understand the biology and conserve populations of Cerulean Warblers. The second, written by D.K.D. and P.D.K., is a summary of the structure and priorities of the CWTG, an organization that can spur and facilitate research and conservation action directed at this species and serve as a model for conservation of other forest birds (Appendix). Further information on Cerulean Warblers and activities of the Cerulean Warbler Technical Group can be found on the CWTG website (see Acknowledgments).

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED ABOUT CERULEAN WARBLERS?

Breeding season population trends and distribution. --Rangewide declines in populations of

Cerulean Warblers on the breeding grounds, estimated from the North American Breeding Bird Survey (BBS), established the species as worthy of conservation attention. Link and Sauer (2002) used Cerulean Warbler BBS data as a vehicle to describe a hierarchical method to analyze population trend data, establishing in the process an annual population decline of 3.04% during 1966–2000. The BBS data (Sauer et al. 2003) also showed that, since the late 1960s, the documented range of Cerulean Warblers has shifted to the northeast (Fig. 1). That assertion results from the following analysis. In each year of the BBS, the center of the breeding range was calculated to be the abundance-weighted centroid of latitude and longitude of routes on which the species was recorded, adjusted for routes not run that year. Multivariate analysis of variance-of-range centroid latitude and longitude in the first 10 years, middle 16 years, and last 10 years of the BBS indicated a highly significant effect (for latitude: $F = 18.05$, $df = 2$ and 33 , $P < 0.0001$, $R^2 = 0.52$; for longitude: $F = 38.4$, $df = 2$ and 33 , $P < 0.0001$, $R^2 = 0.70$). Results further indicated that the centroid of longitude has moved -1” to the east in each of the three periods tested, whereas the centroid of latitude has moved -0.5” northward, principally between the early and middle periods tested. Two factors that warrant consideration in explaining that apparent range shift are land-use and climate changes, either short- or long-term.

Field techniques. -Cerulean Warblers are very difficult to study in the field, regardless of terrain, because of their canopy nesting and foraging habits. Fortunately, we have learned some important techniques that facilitate study of the species. For example, surveying for birds can be done effectively along streams from a canoe (Robbins et al. 1998). We have determined that

⁴E-mail: phamel@fs.fed.us




FIG. 1. Northeastward movement of the center of the breeding range of Cerulean Warbler, 1966–2001, as measured by the Breeding Bird Survey (BBS), 1966–2001. Points represent abundance-weighted centroids of latitude and longitude of BBS routes, adjusted on an annual basis **to** account for routes that were *not* run in that year.

density affects their detectability on point counts (Jones et al. 2000). We have learned that Cerulean Warbler males will respond to carved wooden decoys and can be captured in either elevated or ground nets. We have made progress on the challenging task of finding **nests** (Barg 2002). We have made a solid start on study of their vocalizations (Woodward 1997); however, more of the call notes should be documented. We have developed primers that can be used with both nuclear and mitochondrial DNA to evaluate the genetic structure of local as well as rangewide populations (Veit 1999). We have developed stable isotope signatures of breeding populations (Cirvan 2003). Contributions of **the** group at Queen's University have been the genesis of much new information, not least of which is the first attempt

at estimating the demographic status of a population (Jones et al. 2004). Finally, we have learned **that** these high-profile birds of conservation concern can be surveyed by the "citizen science" model pioneered in the Cerulean Warbler Atlas Project (CEWAP; Rosenberg et al. 2002).

These wonderful accomplishments offer hope that the remaining challenges will also be met. We still lack an effective way to capture females. We cannot yet reliably locate, capture, and study fledglings and recently independent young birds. We have only minimal understanding of plumage variability and of gender-specific diagnostic features useful for distinguishing more than two age classes.

Ecology. — We have made enormous strides in understanding Cerulean Warbler ecology,

thanks to the work of multiple study teams in various parts of the range. We have learned, importantly, that the birds use upland habitats (particularly ridgetops) at least as frequently as bottomland habitats (Rosenberg et al. 2002, Weakland and Wood 2002, Bosworth and Wood 2003, Nicholson 2003). We have learned that vegetation structure at the microsite scale is critical to the birds (Jones and Robertson 2001), and we are making progress toward quantifying it explicitly (Jones et al. 2001, Barg 2002, I? Hamel unpubl. data).

Genetic study of population structure (Veit 1999) showed that the Cerulean Warbler population is properly considered a single genetic population (Veit 1999). Dispersal of distances representing more than the radius of the entire breeding range has occurred within a single generation (Veit 1999). Analysis of stable isotopes of the plumage has further suggested that individuals may make substantial movements between breeding seasons (Girvan 2003). Those findings have enormous importance for conservation of the species, for they imply that a single population of birds is the target of conservation, and that adult birds are capable of considerable dispersal.

We have learned that Cerulean Warblers may respond to disturbance in different ways in different parts of the breeding range. Study sites in southern Arkansas and in Ontario experienced severe modification to vegetative structure as a result of winter ice storms. The Ontario population, by all accounts a large one, responded to the storm by a one-year reduction in reproduction in the breeding season following the storm (Jones et al. 2001), whereas the Arkansas population declined to the point of disappearance from the study area (P. Hamel unpubl. obs.). Response of a third population, in the Cumberland Mountains of Tennessee, to an unprecedented tornadic episode is unreported.

The bold attempt to estimate demographic parameters by Jones et al. (2004) is a significant achievement. They concluded that survival and productivity as measured in the Ontario population may be insufficient to maintain its numbers. However, a definitive assessment will require additional effort at that and other sites to separate losses to mortality from losses to emigration. The work of Girvan (2003) demonstrated that emigration by adults occurs. Nevertheless, if the true survival rate of the Ontario study popula-

tion is insufficient for the population to maintain itself, the estimated time to extinction is uncomfortably short (table 2 in Jones et al. 2004). Those results are disturbing, because it has been my hope that the Ontario population (Rosenberg et al. 2002) is in fact serving as a source population for Cerulean Warblers. Especially sobering is the absence in their population of **any nest** parasitism by Brown-headed Cowbirds (*Molothrus ater*). In the western part of the breeding range, and portions of the range where landscapes include substantial proportions of agriculture, Cerulean Warbler populations experience measurable parasitism (Hamel 1998).

Management.-Habitat loss is assumed to be the primary factor driving the decline of Cerulean Warbler populations. One landscape-scale study examined distribution of the Cerulean Warbler in the Lower Mississippi Alluvial Valley (LMAV) and showed a positive association between occurrence of the birds and forest cover within 4-8 km, and a strong negative association to proportion of agricultural land at such distances (Hamel et al. 1998). In West Virginia, abundance and territory density had a positive association with forest cover in the landscape and a negative association with large-scale edge created by mining activities (Weakland and Wood 2002, Bosworth and Wood 2003). Population growth in the northeastern portion of the range has been attributed to natural regeneration of forest on abandoned agricultural lands (Oliarnyk and Robertson 1996). Positive response of the birds to habitat management has been documented by D. A. Buehler (pers. comm.) in Tennessee and anecdotally by F. Thompson III (pers. comm.) in Missouri. That suggests that management activity, such as silviculture, can create or improve habitat for Cerulean Warblers (Hunter et al. 2001). Two papers discuss habitat management for the species in bottomlands (Hamel 2004) and in upland forests (Hamel et al. 2004). Monitoring Cerulean Warbler response to experimental manipulation of habitats is an important way for us to identify which elements of vegetation structure are important to the birds and how to produce them on the landscape.

Limitations to our knowledge. -We lack vital information on the behavior and population ecology of Cerulean Warblers. Details of the mating system and settling patterns of the sexes have been studied in several areas, but small numbers of birds (and inability to capture females

reliably) has retarded progress in understanding dispersion, local movements, and dispersal of individuals. Similarly, the difficulty of locating nests and, especially, of observing their contents has constrained our knowledge of incubation and nest life to a single study (Oliarnyk and Robertson 1996). Published demographic information on the species is confined to that work, its successor (Jones et al. 2004), and Nicholson (2003). None of us has been able to study juvenile survivorship, postbreeding dispersal, or other features of the postbreeding, molting, or premigratory periods. The species' association with canopy gaps and with particular plant species (Gabbe et al. 2002) are continuing issues awaiting resolution.

However, most glaring is our ignorance of Cerulean Warbler distribution and ecology during the nonbreeding season (Hamel 2000a, b). Only two studies from the South American wintering grounds have been published to date, from one Venezuelan study site (Jones et al. 2000, 2002). Unpublished information on the nonbreeding biology has been presented to the CWTG and to its subcommittee, El Grupo Cerúleo, and a presentation was made at the VII Neotropical Ornithological Congress. Interestingly, those few contributions have clarified some of the assertions made by Robbins et al. (1992). We now have evidence to suggest that the South American range is shrinking from south to north, that the elevational range of the species is probably wider than the 500–1,500 m band previously considered, and that the species is not restricted to primary forest. Far more work needs to be done on the nonbreeding biology of the birds, including an assessment of habitat-specific fitness (Rappole et al. 1989, Marra et al. 1993).

HOW HAS THIS BEEN ACCOMPLISHED?

Prior to Robbins et al. (1992), two isolated field studies of Cerulean Warblers were underway, in southern Illinois and in Tennessee. Since then, Cerulean Warbler research has been conducted in the LMAV (since 1992), in Ontario (since 1994), and in the Cumberland Mountains of Tennessee (through the 1990s). More recently, studies have begun in the Arkansas Ozarks, in southern Indiana, in West Virginia, in Pennsylvania, and in New York. In addition, the CEWAP (Rosenberg et al. 2002), an effort to determine the species'

population status and habitat requirements, was launched in 1997. These are efforts of independent teams, each with knowledge of each other's work, but without coordination or central financing. A replicated experimental breeding-season study proposed by the CWTG would constitute a coordinated, large-scale effort to address information needs. Those efforts, and establishment of the CWTG, have arisen from the recognition that detailed information on Cerulean Warblers is required to better understand their biology and to translate that understanding into specific management and conservation actions that can benefit this and other forest-breeding songbirds.

HOW DOES THIS FIT INTO THE BROADER PICTURE OF BIRD CONSERVATION IN GENERAL?

Despite the recent gains in our knowledge of Cerulean Warbler ecology, the reasons for their population declines remain unclear. Demographic studies across the range of the species, during both the breeding and nonbreeding periods, are necessary to identify the stage or season of the annual cycle where problems may lie. That information is critical to focusing effective conservation efforts on behalf of the species. The work of Jones et al. (2004) is a very solid step in that direction.

The CWTG may further serve as an example for concentrating and coordinating research, monitoring populations, and implementing conservation of forest bird species (see Appendix). The underlying philosophy of this and similar *ad hoc* conservation groups, like the Louisiana Black Bear (*Ursus americanus luteolus*) Conservation Committee, is twofold, summarized colloquially as (1) drawing circles to include and (2) leaving agendas at the door.

What we hope to do next is to initiate additional, detailed demographic studies on the breeding grounds as identified by the CWTG and on the nonbreeding grounds as identified by El Grupo Cerúleo, and studies of the distribution and movements of the birds through Central America in migration, especially in spring. We can implement this work in such a way that conservation and management implications are reasonably clear. Our land managers can then put management-relevant findings into action on the ground so that more habitat is produced that is suitable for increasing and sustaining numbers of Cerulean Warblers. The

costs of these activities will be substantial but probably lower and more productive than expensive litigation. Jones et al. (2004) provide us a signal that, although we do not exactly know what time it is, we can have reasonable confidence that the clock is ticking.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

No work like this is the result of a single person's effort, though, of course, the errors belong to the (senior) author. P.B.H. owes a huge debt to W. Smith; W. Webber; J. Capp; M. Hill; the members of El Grupo Cerúleo, especially D. Mehlman; and to M. Stroeh, M. Staten, C. Hunter, C. Robbins, S. Lewis, M. Robbins, staff of the Warner Park Nature Center in Nashville, Tennessee; D. Dawson; K. Smith; B. Cooper; D. Buehler; and C. Nicholson. Clearly, without the work of R. Robertson, J. Jones, and their colleagues, as well as I? Keyser and the Coordinating Committee of the Cerulean Warbler Technical Group, there would be much less to write about anyway. The overview has been substantially improved by the reviews of D. Mehlman, D. King, S. Stoleson, I? Bohall Wood, D. Buehler, J. Jones, R. Robertson, M. Warren, and especially D. Dawson. Gracias, mis amigos.

The Appendix benefited from the comments of D. Buehler, B. Wigley, and S. Stoleson. Further information on Cerulean Warblers and activities of the Cerulean Warbler Technical Group can be found on the CWTG website (<http://www.cerwarbler.org>).

LITERATURE CITED

- BARG, J. J. 2002. Small-scale biological phenomena in a Neotropical migrant songbird: Space use, habitat use, and behaviour within territories of male Cerulean Warblers. M.S. thesis, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.
- BOSWORTH, S. B., AND P. B. WOOD. 2003. Cerulean Warbler relative abundance and frequency of occurrence relative to large-scale edge. Final Project Report to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Quick Response, Hadley, Massachusetts.
- GABBE, A. P., S. K. ROBINSON, AND J. D. BRAWN. 2002. Tree-species preferences of foraging insectivorous birds: Implications for floodplain forest restoration. *Conservation Biology* 16:462-470.
- GIRVAN, M. K. 2003. Examining dispersal and migratory connectivity in Cerulean Warblers (*Dendroica cerulea*) using stable isotope analysis. M.S. thesis, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.
- HAMEL, I? B. 1992. Cerulean Warbler, *Dendroica cerulea*. Pages 385-400 in *Migratory Nongame Birds of Management Concern in the Northeast* (K. J. Schneider and D. M. Pence, Eds.). U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Newton Comer, Massachusetts.
- HAMEL, I? B. 1998. Landscape and habitat distribution of the Cerulean Warbler *Dendroica cerulea* in extensively fragmented Mississippi alluvial valley, USA. *Ostrich* 69:286.
- HAMEL, I? B. 2000a. Cerulean Warbler Status Assessment. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- HAMEL, I? B. 2000b. Cerulean Warbler (*Dendroica cerulea*). In *Birds of North America*, no. 511 (A. Poole and F. Gill, Eds.). Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia.
- HAMEL, I? B. 2004. Suggestions for a silvicultural prescription for Cerulean Warblers in the lower Mississippi alluvial valley. In press in *Bird Conservation Implementation and Integration in the Americas* (C. J. Ralph and T. D. Rich, Eds.). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service General Technical Report PSW-I 91.
- HAMEL, P. B., R. J. COOPER, AND W. I? SMITH. 1998. The uncertain future for Cerulean Warblers in the Mississippi alluvial valley. Pages 95-108 in *Proceedings of the Delta Conference*, 13-16 August 1996, Memphis, Tennessee. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Madison, Mississippi.
- HAMEL, I? B., K. V. ROSENBERG, AND D. A. BUEHLER. 2004. Is management for Golden-winged Warblers and Cerulean Warblers compatible? In press in *Bird Conservation Implementation and Integration in the Americas* (C. J. Ralph and T. D. Rich, Eds.). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service General Technical Report PSW-191.
- HUNTER, W. C., D. A. BUEHLER, R. A. CANTERBURY, J. L. CONFER, AND I? B. HAMEL. 2001. Conservation of disturbance-dependent birds in eastern North America. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 29: 440-455.
- JONES, J., J. J. BARG, T. S. SILLETT, M. L. VEIT, AND R. J. ROBERTSON. 2004. Minimum estimates of survival and population growth for Cerulean Warblers (*Dendroica cerulea*) breeding in Ontario, Canada. *Auk* 121:15-22.
- JONES, J., R. D. DEBRUYN, J. J. BARG, AND R. J. ROBERTSON. 2001. Assessing the effects of natural disturbance on a Neotropical migrant songbird. *Ecology* 82:2628-2635.
- JONES, J., W. J. MCLEISH, AND R. J. ROBERTSON. 2000. Density influences census technique accuracy for Cerulean Warblers in eastern Ontario. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 71:46-56.
- JONES, J., I? RAMONI-PERAZZI, E. H. CARRUTHERS, AND R. J. ROBERTSON. 2000. Sociality and foraging

- behavior of the Cerulean Warbler in Venezuelan shade coffee plantations. *Condor* 102:958–962.
- JONES, J., I? RAMONI-PERAZZI, E. H. CARRUTHERS, AND R. J. ROBERTSON. 2002. Species composition of bird communities in shade coffee plantations in the Venezuelan Andes. *Ornitologia Neotropical* 13:397–412.
- JONES, J., AND R. J. ROBERTSON. 2001. Territory and nest-site selection of Cerulean Warblers in eastern Ontario. *Auk* 118:727–735.
- LINK, W. A., AND J. R. SAUER. 2002. A hierarchical analysis of population change with application to Cerulean Warblers. *Ecology* 83:2832–2840.
- MARRA, P. I?, T. W. SHERRY, AND R. T. HOLMES. 1993. Territorial exclusion by a long-distance migrant warbler in Jamaica: A removal experiment with American Redstarts (*Setophaga ruticilla*). *Auk* 110:565–572.
- NICHOLSON, C. P. 2003. Ecology of the Cerulean Warbler in the Cumberland Mountains of east Tennessee. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- OLIARNYK, C. J., AND R. J. ROBERTSON. 1996. Breeding behavior and reproductive success of Cerulean Warblers in southeastern Ontario. *Wilson Bulletin* 108:673–684.
- RAPPOLE, J. H., M. A. RAMOS, AND K. WINKER. 1989. Movements and mortality in Wood Thrushes wintering in southern Veracruz. *Auk* 106:402410.
- ROBBINS, C. S., J. W. FITZPATRICK, AND P. B. HAMEL. 1992. A warbler in trouble: *Dendroica cerulea*. Pages 549–562 in *Ecology and Conservation of Neotropical Migrant Landbirds* (J. M. Hagan III and D. W. Johnston, Eds.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.
- ROBBINS, M. B., B. R. BARBER, AND K. ZYSKOWSKI. 1998. Census of Cerulean Warblers along the upper Current River, with comments on the status of other riparian species. *Bluebird* 65:10–16.
- ROSENBERG, K. V., S. E. BARKER, AND R. W. ROHRBAUGH. 2002. An Atlas of Cerulean Warbler Populations-Final Report to USFWS: 1997–2000 Breeding Seasons. Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York.
- RULEY, D. A. 2000. Petition under the Endangered Species Act to List the Cerulean Warbler, *Dendroica cerulea*, as a Threatened Species. Southern Environmental Law Center, Asheville, North Carolina.
- SAUER, J. R., J. E. HINES, AND J. FALLON. 2003. The North American Breeding Bird Survey, Results and Analysis 1966–2002. Version 2003.1, U.S. Geological Survey, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, Laurel, Maryland.
- VEIT, M. L. 1999. A study of population genetic structure and gene flow in Cerulean Warblers (*Dendroica cerulea*) and the implications for conservation. M.S. thesis, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.
- WEAKLAND, C. A., AND P. B. WOOD. 2002. Cerulean Warbler (*Dendroica cerulea*) microhabitat and landscape-level habitat characteristics in southern West Virginia in relation to mountaintop mining/valley fills. Final Project Report to U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, Species At Risk Program.
- WOODWARD, R. L. 1997. Characterization and significance of song variation in the Cerulean Warbler (*Dendroica cerulea*). M.S. thesis, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.

APPENDIX

HISTORY OF THE CERULEAN WARBLER TECHNICAL GROUP

In an effort to take a proactive approach to Cerulean Warbler conservation, Patrick Keyser, wildlife biologist for MeadWestvaco's Appalachian Region, convened a meeting in Knoxville, Tennessee in June 2001, to discuss and prioritize Cerulean Warbler research and conservation needs. Participating were 28 biologists, managers, and scientists from the forest-products industry, federal and state agencies, nongovernmental organizations, and academia. This group agreed to function as the Cerulean Warbler Technical Group (CWTG). Members of the Coordinating Committee of the CWTG were David Buehler, Jimmy Bullock, Deanna Dawson (*Co-Chairperson*), Dean Demarest, Troy Ettel, Paul Hamel, Carol Hardy, Chuck Hunter, Pat Kcyscr, (*Co-Chairperson*), Ken Rosenberg, Amy Salveter, Tom Will, and Petra Wood.

The basic premise of the group was to develop

a broad-based, technically sound approach to conservation of the Cerulean Warbler, preempting the contentious and unproductive approach that could otherwise result if the species is listed. The effort was loosely modeled after the very successful Louisiana Black Bear Conservation Committee, formed in the early 1990s for much the same purpose. Too often in the past, endangered species conservation issues and listing actions have been characterized by controversy, misinformation, mistrust, and gridlock. By seizing the initiative and bringing a number of key stakeholders and technical experts together, the CWTG seeks to keep the focus on identifying meaningful conservation solutions through sound science, clear communication, and trust.

In December 2002, the CWTG met again at the National Conservation Training Center (NCTC) in Shepherdstown, West Virginia, at a workshop sponsored by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and USFWS. Invitations to participate were extended to others knowledgeable or interested in Cerulean Warblers, broadening the representation from state

agencies in particular, while maintaining a balance of participants from a wide variety of organizations. In addition, several biologists from Colombia, Ecuador, and Venezuela were brought to the workshop, broadening the scope of the group beyond the breeding grounds to consider issues relevant to conservation of Cerulean Warblers on the wintering grounds. In total, 65 people participated in the workshop.

The main purpose of the workshop was to develop a proactive, broad-based, and cohesive strategy for Cerulean Warbler conservation. The workshop opened with a day of short presentations, designed to provide information and generate discussion, but thereafter participants worked primarily in smaller groups to discuss and re-evaluate the information and conservation needs prioritized in Knoxville and to develop action plans to address them. Goals formulated at the workshop, and progress toward accomplishing them, are summarized below.

The Breeding Season Research Group developed a research design involving multiple study areas across the breeding range, with replicate sites within study areas, and a common set of data collected across study areas. In the core of the breeding range, manipulative experiments would be implemented to address Cerulean Warbler response to forest management, but study areas elsewhere could address other land-use or landscape issues. That approach would increase knowledge of Cerulean Warbler demography and ecology across the breeding range and provide insights to the key factors that limit populations and to forest management prescriptions that could benefit the species. In spring 2003, the project was endorsed by the Northeast and Southeast working groups of Partners in Flight as the highest research priority for forest songbird conservation. Planning is underway to implement this effort during the 2004 field season.

Priorities for the Breeding Season Surveys and Monitoring Group are to more completely map Cerulean Warbler distribution, to improve regional and global estimates of population size and trend, and to integrate inventory and monitoring efforts with predictive modeling. Planned actions include filling gaps in coverage in the Cerulean Warbler Atlas Project (CEWAP) by implementing new surveys on national and private forestlands, monitoring Cerulean Warbler populations at hot-spots or at sites with historical data, working to improve the North American Breeding Bird Survey for Cerulean Warbler in particular and for conservation applications in general, and developing a strategy to model Cerulean Warbler distribution and abundance within regions and range-wide. Already, the major forest-products companies and coal producers in the mid-Appalachians have come together in partnership with the National Council for Air and Stream Improvement (NCASI) and the Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology (CLO) to evaluate Cerulean Warbler

status on what could be 100,000 ha of habitat that have not previously been surveyed. During 2003, the partners surveyed 303 points on private lands, finding Cerulean Warblers at -28% of them. In addition, surveys targeted at Cerulean Warblers were conducted in 2003 by biologists on several national forests, and on national wildlife refuges and state lands in New York and Pennsylvania.

The Breeding Season Conservation Group plans to develop a vision and goals for long-term sustainability of Cerulean Warblers within the context of integrated ecosystem conservation, to facilitate establishment of an Appalachian bird conservation partnership, and to develop habitat conservation and management recommendations for Cerulean Warbler that can be incorporated into management plans for public and private forestlands within their range. Meaningful and promising discussions have already begun on development of a multistate conservation agreement that addresses Cerulean Warbler, based on the State Conservation Agreement model developed by the USFWS and the International Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies (IAFWA). To date, eight states have expressed interest in pursuing this strategy.

The Non-Breeding Season Group, El Grupo Ceruleo, promotes a multispecies approach to habitat conservation on the wintering grounds, which includes other at-risk species that co-occur with Cerulean Warblers. Other goals are to develop a network of observers and a database to compile documented observations of Cerulean Warblers and better define their winter range, to conduct a regional analysis of habitats and other available information to assess threats and conservation coverage, to develop and encourage field research on Cerulean Warbler winter ecology and response to land-use changes, and to identify and encourage opportunities for outreach and education to communicate awareness of nonbreeding-ground and migratory bird issues, thereby promoting linkages between countries. In March 2003, this group met in Ecuador with biologists from throughout northern South America to discuss conservation of the Cerulean Warbler, other Neotropical migratory birds, and resident bird species of concern. They have already made substantial progress toward achieving several goals, including compilation of existing information on Cerulean Warbler on its wintering grounds, and development of a Geographic Information System database that will allow for assessment and monitoring of Cerulean Warbler habitats. The U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service (USFS) and The Nature Conservancy (TNC) recently joined together to provide funding for South American biologists to conduct new research on Cerulean Warblers, starting in winter 2003 and 2004.

The foundation was laid at the NCTC workshop to continue coordinated and collaborative actions on behalf of the species. Committees were formed to

further the tasks identified by the work groups, and a steering committee was appointed to spur action and chart future activities and directions. To publicize and build support for their mission, CWTG members have presented goals and strategies for Cerulean Warbler conservation at several forums, including meetings of the North American Bird Conservation Initiative's National Steering Committee, the Northeast and Southeast Working Groups of Partners in Flight, and the Migratory Bird Committee of IAFWA.

The CWTG is energized and seeking opportunities to further its work through new partnerships. To date, this effort has drawn participation and cooperation from USGS; USFWS; Canadian Wildlife Service; National Park Service; USFS; Tennessee Valley Authority; state wildlife agencies from Kentucky, Maryland, Missouri, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and West Virginia; IAFWA; NCASI; American Forest and Paper Association; MeadWestvaco Corporation; Weyerhaeuser Company; Plum Creek Timber Company; Anderson-Tully Company; International Paper Company; Wildlife Management Institute; Partners in Flight; National Fish and Wildlife Foundation; American Bird Conservancy; TNC;

CLO; Birdlife International; Instituto von Humboldt; Fundación Jocotoco; and a variety of universities, including University of Tennessee, University of Georgia, West Virginia University, University of Maryland, Ball State University, Ohio State University, Queens University, Dartmouth College, Universidad de los Andes, and Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Clearly, this is an effort that not only spans the eastern United States, but crosses international borders as well.

The Cerulean Warbler Technical Group is moving forward on the premise that the most successful conservation efforts are those that bring together broad partnerships and leave personal agendas outside the door. This partnership is founded on the combined perspectives and resources of industry, state and federal government, nongovernmental organizations, and universities, in the United States as well as in Canada and South America. The CWTG is dynamic, strong, and effective, and has already made significant achievements, promising much for the conservation of Cerulean Warblers and of forest songbirds in general.