

LANDFIRE Biophysical Setting Model

Biophysical Setting 2911490

Western Great Plains Shortgrass Prairie

- ☐ This BPS is lumped with:
☐ This BPS is split into multiple models:

General Information

Contributors (also see the Comments field)

Date 10/18/2006

Modeler 1 Daniel Milchunas Daniel.Milchunas@ColoS tate.edu **Reviewer** Eldon Rash erash@fs.fed.us
Modeler 2 David Augustine David.Augustine@ARS.U SDA.gov **Reviewer**
Modeler 3 Harvey Sprock many **Reviewer**
and many more

<u>Vegetation Type</u>	<u>Dominant Species</u>	<u>Map Zone</u>	<u>Model Zone</u>
Upland	BOGR2	29	☐ Alaska
Grassland/Herbaceous	BUDA		☐ California
<u>General Model Sources</u>	PASM		☐ Northern Plains
☒ Literature	NAVI4		☐ N-Cent.Rockies
☒ Local Data	ATCA2		☒ Pacific Northwest
☒ Expert Estimate	KRLA2		☐ South Central
	HECO26		☐ Southeast
			☐ S. Appalachians
			☐ Southwest

Geographic Range

In MZ29, occurs in 331Fn and 331Fr. In the south end of MZ29 it occurs in a transition zone between MZs 29 and 31. Maybe in 331Fb, but very unlikely, also maybe 331Ff. It would occur in SD but below MZ30. Truly, MZ33 is where shortgrass is starting to occur. It might finger up north of the MZ33 line, but a very small area. This would only occur in the southern portions of MZ29. This doesn't occur in MZ30. Perhaps this occurs in eastern WY?

The range of this system is essentially limited to the Central Shortgrass Prairie and Southern Shortgrass Prairie ecogregions, although it may be peripheral in a few other ecoregions such as the Central Mixedgrass Prairie, Northern Great Plains Dry Steppe and Osage Plains/Flint Hills Prairie (Comer et al. 2003).

This occurs in the southern Great Plains from northeastern to southeastern CO and south through western OK, eastern and northeastern NM, and the west Texas Panhandle. Historically, some stages of this type might have been less extensive than currently. This system probably didn't occur much throughout KS historically. But in southeastern CO and the eastern 1/3 of CO, southwestern KS, and in southeastern WY, it did occur. It does not/did not occur in the center of NM, as that would be a desert grassland type. (However, it does occur on the western facing piedmonts of the central mountain chains of NM in the northern Rio Grande corridor.) Precipitation, grazing and decadal fluctuations could have changed the historic distribution, and this is most likely to have occurred along the ecotone with the mixed grass

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

prairie (Lauenroth et al. 1994).

Some feel that this type does not occur/extend into the westernmost areas of NM and the south/southwest corner of MZ27 such as portions of of ECOMAP subsections 315Ad western half, 315Ab, M313Bd, M313Bb, M331Fh, 321Ad, M313Bf or M313Bg (Laurenroth and Milchunas 1991), which would be drier and desert grassland types. However, modelers from NM state that this type does occur and is dominant historically and currently throughout most of MZ27, except for the southern portions of subsections 315Ad and Ab.

Shortgrass occurs mostly west of KS border (although it also occurs in western KS)- see precipitation gradients. However, west of KS there is a mix of more productive shortgrass prairie and mixedgrass. West of I-25 border, it is drier shortgrass. Some shortgrass however, is in southwest corner of KS. (Mixedgrass is in the northern portion of KS and NE.) There are north-south bands (isoclines) of productivity of shortgrass vegetation, corresponding to increased precipitation going east due to the rainshadow of the Rocky Mountains. See Lauenroth and Milchunas (1991).

The northern boundary is near the CO-WY border at the 41°N latitude, and extends south to latitude 32°N in western TX (Laurenroth and Milchunas 1991).

Biophysical Site Description

This system occurs primarily on flat to rolling uplands with loamy, ustic soils ranging from sandy to clayey. In NM, it is more aridic than ustic. This type typically occurs on loamy to clayey uplands (moderate to fine textures).

In NM and CO, elevations range from 1500-2000m. In KS, elevations can be 1000m.

Shortgrass prairie occurs dependent on precipitation gradients - long-term precipitation patterns and north-south bands or isoclines of productivity of shortgrass vegetation, corresponding to increased precipitation going east due to the rainshadow of the Rocky Mountains.

Mean annual precipitation is approximately 300-500mm (Lauenroth and Milchunas 1991) (ranges from 8-14in and might go up to 16-18in in MZ27 NM in the northeast), but there is a gradient into the mixedgrass prairie at the higher end, and there is a band against the Rocky Mountains that occurs in the approximate 350-375 mm split, between drier versus wetter area; as you go east, it becomes wetter with higher precipitation and you move out of the shortgrass system. In rainshadow, probably lower end of 10in in MZ33.

Most precipitation occurs in the summer months.

The windiest areas of the US occur in the shortgrass steppe (Lauenroth and Milchunas 1991).

Vegetation Description

For MZ29, very infrequent. South of the 37 degree parallel, dominance of warm season grasses - shortgrass, blue grama, buffalograss (MZs 27 and 33) and galleta grass. Above 37 parallel, C3 cool season grasses=mixedgrass, and C4 warm/short and warm/tall in NE sandhills.

Historically, vegetation was dominated by shortgrass, and the subdominants were midgrasses and a small amount of shrubs on the fringes. Dominant species include blue grama, western wheatgrass, needlegrasses (needle-and-thread grass more associated with sandier sites), buffalograss (historically,

****Fire Regime Groups are:** I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

buffalograss present, but not much; currently it's prevalent though in CO and northern NM), with intermingled forbs. Shrubs included four-wing saltbush, winterfat, with lesser amounts of rabbitbrush, broom snakeweed, fringed sage, and also plains prickly pear, in NM - walking stick cholla, yucca glauca, ring muhly, mat muhly, and sandsage which would occur on soils with coarser texture control section in NM. (Today, however, very low diversity - mostly buffalograss in CO, blue grama in NM, cactus and snakeweed - which has increased its range since historic.) Also - *Sphaeralcea coccinea* is a common forb in NM. Currently, there is widespread low-statured mesquite, although historically was present but not as prominent.

For the dominant species list, it covers mostly MZ33-CO. For MZ27-NM, order should be:

BOGR2
PASM
SPCR
ATCA2
KRLA2
HECO26
BUDA
ARFI2

Disturbance Description

This type likely occurred in MZ29 before Euro-American settlement because of heavy grazing in places by bison.

Large-scale processes such as climate, fire and grazing influence this system. The often dry, semi-arid climate conditions can decrease the fuel load and thus the relative fire frequency within the system. However, historically, fires that did occur were often very expansive.

There is debate as to the FRI for this shortgrass system. Because of the lack of long-lived trees, and trees that do exist are in relatively productive sites, there is absolutely no way to reconstruct a reliable historic fire return interval. All estimates of historic fire return intervals must be based on those for surrounding vegetation types that do have means for reconstruction, and then extrapolating based on differences in primary production and herbivore removal of fuel loads. Therefore, there is no means to directly obtain the estimate, and the range is varied. It depends on many factors - portions will be drier, and portions will vary in frequency over time and there will be decadal variation. There is a wide variability of FRI across this system, based on precipitation and fuel.

One camp feels that the FRI was historically approximately 25-35yrs (Harvey Sprock, Terri Schulz, Rich Sterry, Este Muldavin, et al. pers comm). Bison grazing created patchy fuel and therefore small fires at times. So return interval to one spot was longer than expected - ie: a fire can burn somewhere on the landscape often, but it may not necessarily return to the same spot for 25-50yrs or more (Chris Pague, Terri Schulz and Harvey Sprock, pers comm).

However, another camp feels it was shorter. It is thought that some of the differences and suggestions for a longer FRI could come from present range management applications. It is thought that the range of FRI in shortgrass for MZs 27 and 33 is between 5-20yrs, dependent on the precipitation gradient east to west (David Augustine, USFS, pers comm). Some feel, however, that five years is too short, as that is more similar to a tallgrass system (multiple MZs 27 and 33 reviewers).

****Fire Regime Groups are:** I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

An arbitrary precipitation gradient between drier versus wetter somewhere around approximately 350-375 mm annual precipitation delineates a change in fuel and fire behavior across the west to east gradient in precipitation/aboveground primary productivity. While there is no precise line, we may make a general rule-of-thumb that prescribed burns below 375mm are generally more difficult to burn. Farther east, with a higher precipitation, it is easier to burn. At generally around 470mm, fire easily burns through the landscape (David Augustine, USFS, pers comm), especially where some growing season deferment occurs.

Some feel that in the western portion of MZs 27 and 33, the FRI would be a little longer, in the 15-20yr range, whereas in the eastern portion, it would be shorter, in the 5-10yr range. It is a gradient. It is thought that the areas intergrading with mixedgrass would be even shorter as one goes further east into western KS - approximately five years (David Augustine, USFS, pers comm).

Also - both lightning-induced fire and spring fires set by Native Americans are recognized as important pre-European components of the fire regime (Williams 2003). The rates of lightning ignitions are high in both the wet and drier areas of the shortgrass. The shortgrass prairie also probably burned more frequently with Native Americans (Williams 2003).

Some studies from other systems have inferred a short historical fire return interval for the shortgrass (David Augustine, USFS, pers comm). The FRI should be somewhere between the frequency from mixedgrass prairie to desert grassland. A review of the role of fire in desert grasslands indicated that the natural frequency of fire was probably on the order of every 7-10yrs (McPherson 1995). Even though this is a shortgrass system we're describing, production in the shortgrass is higher, and so FRI should be similar, even though historical grazing would have affected the FRI in portions of the shortgrass more than others (David Augustine, USFS, pers comm). Studies on mixedgrass prairies indicate variable fire return intervals that typically range from 3-5yrs (Bragg and Hulbert 1976, Bragg 1986, Umbanhowar et al. 1996). Given rainfall on the shortgrass prairie that is intermediate between desert grasslands and mixed-grass prairie, historic fire frequency may have been between these estimates, ie, on the order of 5-10yrs (David Augustine, USFS, pers comm). There are also good arguments for shortgrass having a higher FRI either than desert or mixedgrass, primarily because 1) the shortgrass region gets more dry lightning storms (higher ignition probability) than mixedgrass and has more times of the year when fuel are dry and "ignitable" than mixedgrass. The eastern 2/3 of MZs 27 and 33, shortgrass mapzones, also has much more continuous fuel than the desert grasslands, hence greater probability of large fires than the desert grasslands (David Augustine, USFS, pers comm). This is contested by others.

A counter-argument does not feel that shortgrass should be in between the desert grassland and mixedgrass intervals and should rather be longer. Both of those systems are more productive and less variable in terms of precipitation and therefore production compared to shortgrass. This argument states that sandhills and bluestem (the references listed above re: short FRIs in mixedgrass) are very productive special areas within the mixedgrass, not really mixedgrass and not the drier mixedgrass. Also note that in Zak et al. (1994), the productivity for desert grasslands is actually greater than that for shortgrass. This could be due to a variety of factors, some of which being timing, event size and longer growing season, or even methodology. Also, evidence from the Sevilleta suggests the desert grasslands may burn more readily than shortgrass, but they may not be as resilient (Este Muldavin, pers comm).

Augustine (pers comm.) cites evidence of large fires historically as evidence of the shorter interval. Older examples include from Wright and Bailey (1982): "In the semiarid areas, big prairie fires in the past usually occurred during drought years that followed one to three years of above average precipitation, because of the abundant and continuous fuel. Consequently, wildfires traveled for many kms when the

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

winds and air temperatures were high and relative humidity was low. An example is an account of a fire (Haley 1929) that started in the fall of 1885 in the Arkansas River country of western KS. It jumped the Cimarron River, burned across the North Plains of Texas, and did not stop until it reached the rugged Canadian River Breaks, a distance of 282km (175miles). About 0.4 million ha (1.0 million acres) of the XIT Ranch alone burned in Texas."

However, some feel that there is little reason to believe that fires swept the shortgrass so often (5-10yrs) due to high variability. There may be a discrepancy about FRI and the occurrence or records of some large fires. Some large fires occurred and that probably is not rare. However, there is scant to no evidence in much of the shortgrass prairie that large fires are frequent in the same locations. Even the evidence stated above only reports on some fires -- all in different locations. Really small fires might also have been common, but rarely occurred in the spot that another fire struck. Patchiness, lack of probability and lack of opportunity were all players. We do not know how often fires occurred in the same location. We do not even know exactly how bison grazed the landscape or how indigenous people used fire in the shortgrass. Most of the myths of these practices are myths indeed. In 15yrs in CO there have been few repeat fires in shortgrass, ie, at the same place. This may indicate that the return interval is long while fire itself is not particularly rare. This would match the rainfall pattern as well, ie, rainfall is not easily predictable (Chris Pague, TNC, pers comm.).

Some feel that the ecological reasons for a shorter interval might not be evident until near the mixedgrass.

Overlap in agreement between the long versus short-interval perspectives probably occurs in the eastern edge of the shortgrass zone (ie, Baca County and east as well as northeast CO). There are likely to be more consistent fuel -- and probably a shorter FRI. There are also probably more dry strikes in shortgrass (but not consistently more fuel). The Palmer Divide might also have more fuel in most years.

However, there is also other evidence for a shorter interval in northeast NM. Ford and Johnson (2006) found that a six-year dormant-season fire (ie, burned once in six years) as a fire treatment shows the potential for increased site production relative to 'reference condition' unburned grassland, which might imply that shortgrass might have had a similarly short return interval. However, there is a question as to whether or not this would be similar to historic conditions, considering the prevalence of heavy grazers presettlement.

It was also thought that the FRI in MZs 27 and 33 should be similar to that for shortgrass in MZ34, which is approximately 10-15yrs. The FRI for shortgrass in Rapid Assessment model R3PGRs, which covered this same area, was 10yrs FRI. The FRI for southern plains grassland in the FRCC model PGRA4 was approximately 10yrs varying due to effects stated in this MZs 27 and 33 descriptions. The FRI for the original model from the MZ27 NM modelers was 15yrs FRI. In terms of having consistency across mapzones and between mapzones, and between all sources of information, and weighing all factors and resources, the regional lead (RL) chose a similar interval of approximately 20-25yrs to account for the west to east gradient for these mapzones and the confounding evidence and opinions. All modelers/reviewers informed.

Note that changing the FRI from 22yrs to 15yrs or 10yrs only slightly altered percentages in each of the successional stages to where approximately five percent more was in A and five percent less was in C. Also, FRG 2 is consistent.

Note that large fires might be currently rare in some areas due to several factors, including aggressive

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

suppression action, fuel reduction due to continuous grazing being more uniform across the landscape, heavy stocking, presence of roads and discontinuous land ownership (checkerboard effect). In recent years, the combined extent of prescribed and wildland fires on the Grasslands has varied annually but has been approximately 0.5-2% of the total area, or a fire return interval of >50yrs (Coarse filter analysis of habitat conditions on the grasslands).

Return interval for fire could be extended (longer return interval) by continuous ungulate grazing. Fire return intervals are now occurring more infrequently - over 50yrs (Harvey Sprock, Terri Schulz, Rich Sterry, pers comm).

The short grasses that dominate this system are extremely drought and grazing-tolerant. These species evolved with drought and large herbivores and, because of their stature, are relatively resistant to overgrazing. The shortgrass system adapted evolutionarily with historical heavy grazing (Milchunas et al. 1988). The return intervals for grazing varied. There were probably areas distant from water sources that were not grazed as heavily as those near water. However, the shortgrass steppe is probably the system with the highest intensity of grazing than other systems historically (Milchunas 2006, Lauenroth et al. 1994).

Black-tailed prairie dogs (BTPD) are an ecologically important component of the grazing regime in shortgrass prairie and would have occurred extensively. (Prairie dogs were less important both historically and currently in sandhage prairie, canyonlands and riparian habitats due to edaphic and topographic limitations on burrow construction). There were some very large towns, but there were also areas without any towns. Quantitative historical estimates of BTPD abundance are difficult to obtain, but the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service estimated that about 160 million hectares (395 million acres) of potential habitat historically existed in the US, and about 20% was occupied at any one time (Gober 2000). (Coarse filter analysis of habitat conditions on the grasslands). Shortgrass has most of the suitable soil types for prairie dogs. In general, they need loamy or clay soil.

In historic times, there was frequent and broad-scale grazing by bison, elk, deer and pronghorn antelope. Through the growing season, bison might have been there for relatively short periods in some years; however they might have been there longer in other years. There were also resident herds of bison in areas of CO historically. These areas would also have been populated by bison in sufficient numbers to support populations of wolves. Bamforth (1987) suggested that bison herds under relatively undisturbed conditions (<1846) most often ranged in size from several hundred to several thousand.

Shaw and Lee (1997) reviewed diaries of European travels in the southern Great Plains from 1806 to 1857. Organized by historical period and biome type, the authors suggest populations of three major large herbivores—bison, elk and pronghorn—changed in the first half of the nineteenth century; bison were most numerous on the shortgrass prairie prior to 1821, pronghorn were most abundant on the shortgrass prairie between 1806 and 1820, again in the 1850s.

In drier areas in the western portion of MZs 27 and 33, distance from water was probably a factor in grazing gradients. Individual herds were probably tied to river drainages and migrations from those drainages.

The dry half of the Great Plains has high inter-annual rainfall variability, so historically, the population declined faster in dry years. This resulted in a time lag or temporal variability, in which density could be reduced greatly. Bison historically moved nomadically in response to vegetation changes associated with rainfall, fire and prairie dog colonies. The time lag for return movements provided deferment during the

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

re-growth period for which according to both historic and archeological records may have ranged from 1-8yrs (Malainey and Sherriff 1996 and others).

If there was a series of droughts followed by a wetter year, there would have been little grazing pressure, which would then result in a higher severity or frequency of fire. Drought and grazing were probably most important disturbances historically and greatly influenced fire frequency and extent. This is a drought tolerant system. However, extended drought (over 3-4yrs) will reduce cover.

Historic variability in bison grazing appears to have been on the temporal and spatial scales of years and tens to hundreds of square miles, while current variability in livestock grazing is at scales of weeks to months and acres to several square miles (David Augustine, USFS, comm).

Insects were also a natural disturbance agent on the landscape - grasshoppers, range caterpillars and Mormon crickets.

Note that we are also not modeling the white grub disturbance interaction, which could be an important disturbance. It can cause a shift in stages and could cause a large impact. It combined with drought could be highly impacting and could cause a similar impact as prairie dogs. However, it was not modeled.

A healthy shortgrass prairie system should support prairie dog complexes, viable populations of pronghorn, endemic grassland birds and other Great Plains mammals.

However, currently in areas, there is overgrazing and continuous grazing, creating more areas heavily dominated by shortgrass (in areas where there might have been more mixedgrass) and increasing fire intervals (less fire).

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

In MZ29, this type might only occur due to overgrazing. Maybe it became more widespread for a while after Euro-American settlement resulted in more concentrated grazing.

The distinction between this system and the Northwestern Great Plains Mixedgrass Prairie is unclear and the two should be better described, but here's how this system is thought of in WY... This Shortgrass Prairie system is strongly dominated by the sod-forming grasses, *Bouteloua gracilis* and *Buchloe dactyloides*; other species are minor players. Those two species are major species in the geographic region described in the NatureServe document because that is where summer thunderstorms provide a large proportion of the annual precipitation. And, yes, it was created by heavy grazing. Frederic Clements and John Weaver recognized it as an example of their concept of the grazing disclimax. The prevalence of *Buchloe dactyloides* distinguishes it from heavily grazed examples of the NW Great Plains Mixedgrass Prairie, which are dominated by *Bouteloua gracilis* but lack *Buchloe* (and which occurs outside of the area where summer thunderstorms are so important). And *Buchloe* is a major species only in very southeastern WY. That's why the Shortgrass Prairie system is present only in the southern end of MZ29. Maybe this system is restricted to MZ33 farther south, but its presence in MZ29 seems likely (George Jones, pers comm).

This system is similar to Kuchler's (1964) "Bouteloua-Buchloe" vegetation type except at the northern border (Lauenroth and Milchunas 1991).

This system occurs in the area corresponding to Kuchler's Plains Grassland PNVG and the RA's R3PGRs

****Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.**

Shortgrass Prairie and FRCC PGRA4 Southern Plains Grassland.

This system could be confused with mixedgrass prairie. Production is less in shortgrass versus mixedgrass prairie. They can be distinguished - higher occurrence of blue grama - thus shortgrass. If have more mixedgrasses, should be considered mixedgrass prairie. If have 50% or more midgrasses, would probably be mixedgrass.

Shortgrass occurs mostly west of the KS border; however, west of KS there is a mix of more productive shortgrass prairie and mixedgrass. Some shortgrass however, is in the southeast corner of KS. Mixedgrass is in the northern portion of KS and NE. There is a gradient into mixedgrass. West of approximately the I-25 border in CO, there is drier shortgrass. These boundaries are relevant to fuel loading. On the eastern border of MZ27, it also grades into mixedgrass prairie of small remaining quantities near TX (those areas of TX not in agriculture).

This system should not be confused with the desert grassland and plains mesa types occurring in the southern skewing west/southwest corners of MZ27. See RA's depiction of the plains mesa and desert grassland types versus this shortgrass type. See RA PNVGs and Kuchler types and Laurenroth and Milchunas (1991) for historic potential. The desert grassland types have more tobosa and galleta grasses. Consider BpS 1122 Gyp, 1504 Bottomland Swale/Tobosa Flats, 1503 Loamy Plains, 1147 Foothill/Piedmont.

Some (John Tunberg, NRCS et al, Este Muldavin, pers comm), however, state that shortgrass occurs in all sections/subsections of MZ27 in NM.

In MZ27 in NM, in the west end near Las Vegas, it grades into pinyon-juniper (PJ) and ponderosa pine, as it does in CO near Trinidad.

This system could be adjacent to Foothill/Piedmont Grassland. It is also adjacent to desert grasslands in the south - sand dune/mesquite dunelands in the south and east. It is also adjacent to tobosa plains in the south and gyp hills in the east end of MZ27, NM east of Estancia. On the eastern edge of MZ27 in NM, it is adjacent to playas scattered throughout (closed depressional wetland systems).

Some (Harvey Sprock, Terri Schulz, Rich Sterry et al., pers comm) feel that there is more shortgrass now than historically in areas at the ecotone with mixedgrass prairie - due to management practices today. Shortgrass prairie has expanded currently due to continuous grazing. Central Mixedgrass Prairie has been greatly reduced currently due to agricultural conversion. In CO, some believe that historically had lower producing mixedgrass, but now it is shortgrass (Harvey Sprock, Terri Schulz, Rich Sterry et al., pers comm) and that even some of the shortgrass prairie today that would have existed historically, is departed. Much is continuously grazed.

In contrast, Milchunas et al. (1998) considers the shortgrass to be climatically determined, with large herbivores and aridity being convergent selection pressures. Grazing and climatic cycles do, however, result in shifts in the location of the mixedgrass-shortgrass ecotone (Lauenroth et al. 1994). Research on short duration grazing shows no difference with continuous grazing on plant community composition (Derner and Hart submitted). Long rest periods would be necessary to increase heterogeneity (Fuhlendorf and Engle 2001).

Currently, fire suppression and certain grazing patterns in the region have likely decreased the fire

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

frequency from historical regimes, and it is unlikely that these processes could occur at a natural scale today.

A large part of the range for this system (especially in the east and near rivers) has been converted to agriculture. Areas of the central and western range have been impacted by the unsuccessful attempts to develop dryland cultivation during the Dust Bowl of the 1930s.

There is also much residential development in this system.

Currently, there are some non-natives - cheatgrass, kochia, but not a big invasive problem.

Some mesquite hummocks might also occur currently in MZ27 NM more than historically. Currently, there might be more widespread low-statured mesquite, although historically, it might have been present but not as prominent. If mesquite is over three feet high, it's a different BpS. There might be more mesquite, cholla and prickly pear currently - which is uncharacteristic. There is little data on this, however.

There is also some encroachment of juniper into these grasslands currently in MZ27 NM. If there is over 10% juniper canopy cover in grasslands, that would be uncharacteristic.

In MZ27 in SE CO, this system might have been former prairie chicken habitat.

There are conflicting views about what this landscape looked like historically versus currently.

One viewpoint states that currently, today, most of the landscape is in class B. The departure in this system would be in the lack of the classes A and C on the landscape today (Daniel Milchunas, CSU and David Augustine, USFS, pers comm). This is because cattle have been evenly distributed throughout the landscape. Historically, there were a mix of heavily grazed, heavily disturbed areas, moderately grazed areas more distant from water, and lightly grazed areas even more distant from water, during low population cycles of bison, or where bison had not returned recently. Management today, together with water improvements on the range, results in a relatively greater amount of the middle class. Management today is also removing prairie dogs and fire. Therefore, historically, there were more disturbed areas (class A) and undisturbed areas (class C).

Another view, however (Harvey Sprock, Terri Schulz, Rich Sterry et al., pers comm), states that currently, continuous, heavy grazing practices have turned class B stage more into class A, the sod portion - which didn't happen often historically. This opposing view also states that there is not much of the class B, historic climax plant community, today. This opposing view also states that the sod class class A, that would have been a very small, localized condition historically. However, today, it would be very prevalent. Historically, the landscape would have just had small areas of continuous grazing or migration corridors.

Another similar viewpoint states that the go-back-cropland would be in class A, and today there are extensive areas of abandoned dust bowl cropland that now have blue grama sod with low cover and productivity. The surface soil horizon is eroded by wind and is no longer apparent. Bedrock is exposed in some areas (John Tunberg, Rex Pieper, Clarence Chavez, pers comm). This viewpoint also states that most would be in the sod class today.

Grazers, combined with prairie dogs and fire, would allow the native bison grazers to beat up an area.

****Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.**

That stage no longer exists today, which is in part why some of those shortgrass prairie grassland birds are in such significant decline today (Herkert 1994, Knopf 1994, Peterjohn and Sauer 1999).

Note that there is a difference in cover amounts between southern NM MZ27 and northern NM MZ27; however, the model and probabilities are the same. Also note some species order differences between southern and central shortgrass.

Native Uncharacteristic Conditions

If grass is over 1/2 meter, it would be uncharacteristic because it would be in a different BpS.

Scale Description

This is a matrix community - small to large patches. Disturbances can also occur within a matrix - small to large, huge patches. Driving variable is climate (drought, low rainfall, etc), grazing and to a lesser extent fire.

This type probably occurs in very small patches, smaller than a few acres in MZs 29 and 30.

Issues/Problems

This system was originally modeled with two models - one for CO MZs 27 and 33 and one for NM southern version of MZ27. Even though there are monsoonal and climatic differences/factors - differences in geography, moisture and function between the two areas, those factors were easily textually represented. Therefore, the southern and central versions were combined into a more all-encompassing model for all of MZs 27 and 33 which includes southern and central shortgrass. Cover will be different between the two (state line - NM vs CO Raton Pass, Mesa de Maya) and this is described textually in the successional class descriptions. Note that there is a difference in cover amounts between southern NM MZ27 and northern NM MZ27; however, the model and probabilities are the same. Also note some species order differences between southern and central shortgrass.

There is some disagreement about historical versus current manifestation of this system.

Also - Instead of calling the classes early, mid and late, which do not actually apply in shortgrass prairie and the different stages that we are describing, we are calling all of the stages "mid-development." Succession in a grassland system does not abide by typical definitions as in a forested community. The stages of the grassland are created and/or maintained by disturbances or lack thereof.

Also - Please note that the covers in the dropdown boxes in this system are arbitrary and are only being used for LANDFIRE mapping purposes. Please note that this system should be distinguished on-the-ground by biomass and not cover. These covers do not reflect reality on-the-ground.

Comments

This model for MZ29 was adopted from a draft model from MZs 27 and 33 created by Daniel Milchunas, David Augustine, Harvey Sprock, Terri Schulz, Rich Sterry, Dan Nosal, Keith Schulz, Rex Pieper, John Tunberg, Clarence Chavez and Lee Elliott and reviewed by Steve Kettler, Este Muldavin, Keith Schulz and Paulette Ford. See MZ27 and MZ33 for further evolution comments. MZs 27 and 33 model changed after MZ29 model was delivered. Therefore, regional lead made the MZs 27 and 33 changes to the MZ29 model. Reviewer for MZ29 notified.

The model for MZ33 in CO was based on MZ28 created by Galen Green, Wayne Robbie and Anne

****Fire Regime Groups are:** I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

Bradley and reviewed by Vic Ecklund and Chuck Kostecka.

This model for MZ28 was based on the Rapid Assessment model R3PGRS, which was reviewed by anonymous. Minor edits made on 5/6/2005 by Mike Babler.

The Rapid Assessment model was originally based on the FRCC model PGRA6. Review suggest combining all plains grasslands. Because of species composition differences, and class differences, 1147 and 1149 were not combined.

Vegetation Classes															
Class A	20 %	<u>Indicator Species and Canopy Position</u>	<u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>												
Mid Development 1 Open		BOGR2	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Min</th> <th>Max</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Cover</td> <td>0 %</td> <td>20 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height</td> <td>Herb 0m</td> <td>Herb 0.5m</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tree Size Class</td> <td colspan="2">None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Min	Max	Cover	0 %	20 %	Height	Herb 0m	Herb 0.5m	Tree Size Class	None	
	Min	Max													
Cover	0 %	20 %													
Height	Herb 0m	Herb 0.5m													
Tree Size Class	None														
<u>Upper Layer Lifeform</u>		Upper													
☒ Herbaceous		BUDA													
☐ Shrub		Upper													
☐ Tree	<u>Fuel Model</u>	ARIST													
	1	Upper													
		VUOC													
<u>Description</u>		Upper													
			☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Please note that the covers in the dropdown boxes in this system are arbitrary and are only being used for LANDFIRE mapping purposes. Please note that this system should be distinguished on-the-ground by biomass and not cover, since the cover in class A actually ranges from a low, mosaic-bare-ground cover to a high sod-cover, which includes litter too. Due to mapping constraints and past issues with mapping herbaceous cover and resultant errors, this class A is being set at 0-20% even though it was originally suggested to be 0-70%. Either way, these covers do not reflect reality on-the-ground. Remote sensing will show part of this stage as litter plus veg. Also - the NM draft older version had a cover of 0-20% for the prairie-dog-type-stage and the sod class with a cover of 41-50%.												

Instead of calling the classes early, mid and late, which do not actually apply in shortgrass prairie and the different stages that we are describing, we are calling all of the stages "mid-development." Succession in a grassland system does not abide by typical definitions as in a forested community. The stages of the grassland are created and/or maintained by disturbances or lack thereof.

Class A is the low biomass (0-1in based on the Robel pole density / visual obstruction method), heavy disturbance dependent community. It combines two types of communities. One consists of the high cover blue grama buffalograss sod that looks like a golf course (high cover in patches). The other is the low cover bare soil, Aristida and forb stage, which could have taller grasses than the sod, but they are spaced apart due to bare soil between. See biomass in Milchunas et al. (1994) and Milchunas and Lauenroth (1989) and basal

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

cover for sod class by point frame in Milchunas et al. (1989).

Please note that this system should be distinguished on-the-ground by biomass and not cover, since the cover in class A actually ranges from a low, mosaic-bare-ground cover to a high sod-cover, which includes litter too. Due to mapping constraints, we are defining dropdown boxes on cover; however this stage could go up to 70% cover, including litter, with very low biomass. Basal cover for high cover sod is approximately 45% or higher if including litter. Basal cover for low cover prairie dog area is approximately 20-25% cover. On-the-ground, this class should be distinguished by biomass.

There are relatively few cool season grasses in this stage. There is always blue grama in this stage, as in the others. Cactus is present (and could even be a dominant in the class A sod depending on soil type). Aristida is present, which increases with prairie dog colonies, as are the annual grasses - sixweeks fescue, red three-awn, ragweed and annual forbs. [Currently, you would see non-native annuals in this class such as cheatgrass and kochia - only in the high biomass type. Annuals and exotics are actually less abundant in the sod type than any other class (Milchunas et al. 1989; Milchunas and Lauenroth 1989; Milchunas et al. 1988); the landscape might also have non-native bindweed on prairie dog towns today, but not historically.] On loamier or sandier sites, there is sand dropseed. For the southern NM version, other indicator species are lemonweed, showy goldeneye and verbena.

Original draft model indicator species for the prairie dog stage also included ARPUL, AMPS and SPCR. Original indicator species for the sod stage also included OPPO.

There are low intensity fires in the low biomass high cover sod and relatively rare fire in the low biomass low cover bare soil. Fires are spotty through here and not as frequent as in other stages. They do not cause a change in stages.

This stage is produced by heavy grazing, and long-term prairie dog colonies which will maintain this stage long-term. This stage can also be maintained by heavy continuous grazing if the area is near water. Also – if an area is burned and grazed, the high cover version of this stage will be reached if not continuously grazed.

Grazing that gives adequate plant recovery periods occurs in this stage.

If there is no fire and no prairie dog or heavy grazing maintaining this stage, then in approximately 5-10yrs, this stage will transition to the class B stage. This was modeled as "alternate succession" occurring as a probability of 0.1, for modeling purposes.

Drought occurs.

It is thought that there should be approximately 20-30% of this stage historically, based on historical prairie dog communities combined with bison grazing (Gober 2000, David Augustine, USFS, pers comm). However, the viewpoint which created this model feels that there is very little of this stage on the landscape today. Prairie dog plague today would also not allow this class to be maintained for long today.

Another opposing viewpoint feels that the sod portion of this class would have been a very small, localized condition historically and that today it would be very prevalent. This view states that historically, there would just have been small areas of continuous grazing or migration corridors.

This stage would also include buffalo wallows (Harvey Sprock, Terri Schulz, Rich Sterry et al., pers comm).

****Fire Regime Groups are:** I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

(Today, it might be go-back-cropland.) It is also thought however, that today there are extensive areas of abandoned dust bowl cropland that now have blue grama sod with low cover and productivity. The surface soil horizon is eroded by wind and is no longer apparent. Bedrock or subsoil/parent material is exposed in some areas (Harvey Sprock, Terri Schulz, Rich Sterry et al., pers comm). This view is questioned, however, by others.

Class A was originally modeled in the draft model as the prairie dog stage lasting 20yrs, as it would take a long time to move out of this stage due to the prairie dog communities. Class C was originally modeled as the sod class.

Please note that the covers in the dropdown boxes in this system are arbitrary and are only being used for LANDFIRE mapping purposes. Please note that this system should be distinguished on-the-ground by biomass and not cover, since the cover in class A actually ranges from a low, mosaic-bare-ground cover to a high sod-cover, which includes litter too. Due to mapping constraints and past issues with mapping herbaceous cover and resultant errors, this class A is being set at 0-20% even though it was originally suggested to be 0-70%. Either way, these covers do not reflect reality on-the-ground. Remote sensing will show part of this stage as litter plus vegetation.

Note that the NM southern version had a canopy closure of 0-20% for the prairie-dog-type stage class A and 41-50% for the sod class.

Class B 60 %		<u>Indicator Species and Canopy Position</u>	<u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>																
Mid Development 2 Closed		BOGR2																	
<u>Upper Layer Lifeform</u>		Upper																	
☒ Herbaceous		PASM																	
☐ Shrub		Upper																	
☐ Tree	<u>Fuel Model</u>	BUDA																	
	1	Upper																	
<u>Description</u>		STIPA																	
		Upper																	
			<table> <tr> <th colspan="2"></th><th><i>Min</i></th><th><i>Max</i></th></tr> <tr> <td><i>Cover</i></td><td></td><td>21 %</td><td>80 %</td></tr> <tr> <td><i>Height</i></td><td></td><td>Herb 0m</td><td>Herb 0.5m</td></tr> <tr> <td><i>Tree Size Class</i></td><td></td><td colspan="2">None</td></tr> </table>			<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Cover</i>		21 %	80 %	<i>Height</i>		Herb 0m	Herb 0.5m	<i>Tree Size Class</i>		None	
		<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>																
<i>Cover</i>		21 %	80 %																
<i>Height</i>		Herb 0m	Herb 0.5m																
<i>Tree Size Class</i>		None																	
			☒ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.																
			Scattered shrubs may be present (up to 15%, maybe up to one meter) - four wing saltbush and winterfat. There might be scattered cholla in MZ27, east of Colorado Springs. Once cholla gets thick, shifts to another BpS. Note that the draft NM southern version of the Historic Climax Plant Community (HCPC) class had a cover of 21-40%.																

Instead of calling the classes early, mid and late, which do not actually apply in shortgrass prairie and the different stages that we are describing, we are calling all of the stages “mid-development.” Succession in a grassland system does not abide by typical definitions as in a forested community. The stages of the grassland are created and/or maintained by disturbances or lack thereof.

Class B is the mid biomass (2-4in based on the Robel pole density / visual obstruction method), mid cover stage. See biomass in Milchunas et al. (1994) and Milchunas and Lauenroth (1989).

This stage again consists of blue grama. Cactus is often present and could even be the second dominant depending on soil type. There are less needle and thread and western wheatgrass than in class C. This also includes the “historic climax plant community” with blue grama, buffalograss, and western wheatgrass,

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

galleta grass, green needle grass (not in NM much), fringed sage, New Mexico feather grass in the south. Historically, there would have been more midgrasses (Harvey Sprock, et al., pers comm). In NM, there would be scatterings of black grama and vine mesquite on heavier soils.

Fire does occur in this stage. If there is 1-2yrs of no grazing or 4-10yrs of no fire, then 4-10yrs post-fire, this class would transition to the high biomass class C stage. This was modeled as "alternate succession" occurring as a probability of 0.05, for modeling purposes.

Prairie dogs could occur in this stage. If they do, the long-term prairie dog grazing causes a transition to class A.

Proper grazing that allows adequate plant recovery periods occurs but does not cause a transition. With heavy grazing, this class could transition to class A.

Drought was modeled with a probability of every 40yrs and causes no transition.

The current modelers (Augustine, et al) feel that currently, most of the landscape is in class B. However, another viewpoint feels that there probably is not much of class B on the landscape today.

Please note that the covers in the dropdown boxes in this system are arbitrary and are only being used for LANDFIRE mapping purposes. Please note that this system should be distinguished on-the-ground by biomass and not cover. Due to mapping constraints and past issues with mapping herbaceous cover and resultant errors, this class B is being set at 21-80% even though it was originally suggested to be 61-80%. Either way, these covers do not reflect reality on-the-ground.

Class C	20 %	<u>Indicator Species and Canopy Position</u>	<u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>												
Mid Development 3 Closed		BOGR2	<table><tr><td></td><td><i>Min</i></td><td><i>Max</i></td></tr><tr><td><i>Cover</i></td><td>81 %</td><td>100 %</td></tr><tr><td><i>Height</i></td><td>Herb 0m</td><td>Herb 0.5m</td></tr><tr><td><i>Tree Size Class</i></td><td colspan="2">None</td></tr></table>		<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Cover</i>	81 %	100 %	<i>Height</i>	Herb 0m	Herb 0.5m	<i>Tree Size Class</i>	None	
	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>													
<i>Cover</i>	81 %	100 %													
<i>Height</i>	Herb 0m	Herb 0.5m													
<i>Tree Size Class</i>	None														
<u>Upper Layer Lifeform</u>		HECOC8													
☒ Herbaceous		Upper	☒ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.												
☐ Shrub		PASM													
☐ Tree		Upper	Scattered shrubs may be present - snakeweed and prickly pear cactus												
	<u>Fuel Model</u>	STIPA													
	2	Upper													

Description

Instead of calling the classes early, mid and late, which do not actually apply in shortgrass prairie and the different stages that we are describing, we are calling all of the stages "mid-development." Succession in a grassland system does not abide by typical definitions as in a forested community. The stages of the grassland are created and/or maintained by disturbances or lack thereof.

Class C is the high biomass (4in+ based on the Robel pole density / visual obstruction method), high cover stage. See biomass in Milchunas et al. (1994) and Milchunas and Lauenroth (1989) and basal cover in Milchunas et al. (1989).

The same grasses are present as the previous. However, there are also more C3 perennial cool season grasses. (However, some have questioned the increase in cool-season grasses with succession as being speculative.

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

There are definite edaphic differences. Gravelly sites in NM often support *H. neomexicana* even under intense grazing regimes.) Blue grama is still present and dominant. Needle-and-thread, galleta grass and also western wheatgrass are more prominent. Note also that more annuals and exotics occur in the ungrazed class than in the heavily grazed sod class (Milchunas et al. 1989; Milchunas et al. 1992).

This stage is arrived at through lack of fire and grazing, although while already in this stage, fire would be more likely to occur due to the increased biomass.

Fire does occur in this stage. If there is fire and then grazing, this will over time transition to class B, and with long-term heavy grazing to class A. Fire alone may not cause a transition, but can especially on coarser textured soils and also when fire occurs with heavy grazing. Regular grazing can just move the class to class B.

Prairie dogs are unlikely to occur in this class, but when they do, they will occur as a patch within the matrix and will cause a transition.

Drought occurs.

As per the current modelers (Augstine, et al), it is thought that there should be approximately 10-20% of this stage historically. However, there might be very little of this stage on the landscape today, although some feel that there might be a large amount of it on the landscape today in NM.

Please note that the covers in the dropdown boxes in this system are arbitrary and are only being used for LANDFIRE mapping purposes. Please note that this system should be distinguished on-the-ground by biomass and not cover. These covers do not reflect reality on-the-ground.

Class D	0 %	<u>Indicator Species and Canopy Position</u>	<u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>												
[Not Used]	[Not Used]		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Min</th> <th>Max</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Cover</td> <td>%</td> <td>%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tree Size Class</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Min	Max	Cover	%	%	Height			Tree Size Class		
	Min	Max													
Cover	%	%													
Height															
Tree Size Class															
<u>Upper Layer Lifeform</u>															
☐ Herbaceous															
☐ Shrub															
☐ Tree	<u>Fuel Model</u>		☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.												

Description

Class E	0 %	<u>Indicator Species and Canopy Position</u>	<u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>												
[Not Used]	[Not Used]		<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Min</th> <th>Max</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Cover</td> <td>%</td> <td>%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tree Size Class</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Min	Max	Cover	%	%	Height			Tree Size Class		
	Min	Max													
Cover	%	%													
Height															
Tree Size Class															
<u>Upper Layer Lifeform</u>															
☐ Herbaceous															
☐ Shrub															
☐ Tree	<u>Fuel Model</u>		☐ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.												

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

Description

Disturbances

Fire Regime Group**: II	Fire Intervals	<i>Avg FI</i>	<i>Min FI</i>	<i>Max FI</i>	<i>Probability</i>	<i>Percent of All Fires</i>
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg 0 Min 0 Max 0	Replacement	22			0.04545	100
	Mixed					
	Surface					
	All Fires	22			0.04547	

Sources of Fire Regime Data
☒ Literature
☒ Local Data
☒ Expert Estimate

Additional Disturbances Modeled
☐ Insects/Disease ☒ Native Grazing ☒ Other (optional 1) prairie dogs
☒ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Competition ☒ Other (optional 2) heavy grazing and fire

Fire Intervals (FI):
Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class.

References

- Bamforth, D.B. 1987. Historical documents and bison ecology on the Great Plains (USA). *Plains Anthro.* 32: 1-16.
- Bragg, T.B. and L.C. Hulbert. 1976. Woody plant invasion of unburned Kansas bluestem prairie. *J. Range Management* 29: 19-23.
- Bragg, T.B. 1986. Fire history of a North American sandhills prairie. *Int. Congr. Ecol.* 4: 99.
- Brown, J.K. and J. Kapler-Smith, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42. vol 2. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 pp.
- Comer, P., S. Menard, M. Tuffly, K. Kindscher, R. Rondeau, G. Jones, G. Steinuaer and D. Ode. 2003. Upland and Wetland Ecological Systems in Colorado, Wyoming, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas. Report and map (10 hectare minimum map unit) to the National Gap Analysis Program. Dept. of Interior USGS. NatureServe.
- Derner, J.D. and R.H. Hart. 2006. Livestock and vegetation responses to rotational grazing in shortgrass steppe. Submitted to *Great Plains Naturalist*.
- Derner, J.D. and R.H. Hart. 2006. Grazing-induced modifications to peak standing crop in northern mixed-grass prairie. Submitted to *J. Range Management*.
- Dick-Peddie, W.A. 1993. New Mexico vegetation, past, present and future. Albuquerque, NM: Univ. New Mexico Press. Xxxii, 244 pp.
- Ford, P.L. 1999. Response of buffalograss (*Buchloe dactyloides*) and blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis*) to fire. *Great Plains Research* 9: 261-276.

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

- Ford, P.L. and G.V. Johnson. 2006. Effects of dormant- vs. growing-season fire in shortgrass steppe: Biological soil crust and perennial grass responses. *Journal of Arid Environments* 67: 1–14.
- Fuhlendorf, S.D. and D.M. Engle. 2001. Restoring heterogeneity on rangelands: ecosystem management based on evolutionary grazing patterns. *BioScience* 51: 625–632.
- Gober, P. 2000. 12-month administrative finding, black-tailed prairie dog. *Federal Register* 65: 5476-5488.
- Herkert, J.R. 1994. The effects of habitat fragmentation on midwestern grassland bird communities. *Ecological Applications* 4: 461-471.
- Howard, J.L. 1995. *Buchloe dactyloides*. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/> [2005, May 4].
- Knopf, F.L. 1994. Avian assemblages on altered grasslands. *Studies in Avian Biology* 15: 247-257.
- Kuchler, A.W. 1964. Potential Natural Vegetation of the Conterminous United States. Spec. Publ. 36, American Geographical Society, New York, 116 pp.
- Lauenroth, W.K. and Milchunas, D.G. 1991. The shortgrass steppe. Pages 183-226 in: R.T. Coupland, ed. *Ecosystems of the World 8A: Natural grasslands, introduction and Western Hemisphere*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Lauenroth, W.K., D.G. Milchunas, J.L. Dodd, R.H. Hart, R.K. Heitschmidt and L.R. Rittenhouse. 1994. Effects of grazing on ecosystems of the Great Plains. In: M. Vavra, W.A. Laycock and R.D. Pieper, eds. *Ecological implications of livestock herbivory in the west*. Denver, CO: Society for Range Management.
- Malainey, M.E. and B.L. Sherriff. 1996. Adjusting our perceptions: historical and archaeological evidence of winter on the plains of Western Canada. *Plains Anthro.* 41: 333-357.
- McPherson, G.R. 1995. The role of fire in desert grasslands. Pages 130-151 in: M.P. McClaran and T.R. Van Devender, eds. *The Desert Grassland*. Tuscon, AZ: University of Arizona Press.
- Milchunas, D.G., O.E. Sala and W.K. Lauenroth. 1988. A generalized model of the effects of grazing by large herbivores on grassland community structure. *Am. Nat.* 132: 87-106.
- Milchunas, D.G., W.K. Lauenroth, P.L. Chapman and M.K. Kazempour. 1989. Effects of grazing, topography, and precipitation on the structure of a semiarid grassland. *Vegetatio* 80: 11-23.
- Milchunas, D.G. and W.K. Lauenroth. 1989. Three-dimensional distribution of plant biomass in relation to grazing and topography in the shortgrass steppe. *Oikos*. 55: 82-86.
- Milchunas, D.G., W.K. Lauenroth and P.L. Chapman. 1992. Plant competition, abiotic, and long- and short-term effects of large herbivores on demography of opportunistic species in a semiarid grassland. *Oecologia (Berlin)* 92: 520-531.

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.

Milchunas, D.G., Forwood, J.R. and W.K. Lauenroth. 1994. Productivity of long-term grazing treatments in response to seasonal precipitation. *Journal of Range Management*. 47: 133-139.

Milchunas, D.G. 2006. Responses of plant communities to grazing in the southwestern United States. RMRS-GTR-169. USDA Forest Service.

Miller, G. et al. (1993) Terrestrial Ecosystem Survey of the Santa Fe National Forest USDA Forest Service Southwestern Region.

The Nature Conservancy. 1998. Central Shortgrass Prairie Ecoregional Plan.

NatureServe. 2005. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 4.4. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. Available <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. (Accessed: May 4, 2005).

NatureServe. 2006. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA, U.S.A. Data current as of 18 July 2006.

NatureServe. 2007. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA. Data current as of 10 February 2007.

Peterjohn, B.G., and J.R. Sauer. 1999. Population status of North American grassland birds from the North American breeding bird survey, 1966-1996. *Studies in Avian Biology* 19: 27-44.

Shaw, J.H. and M. Lee. 1997. Relative abundance of bison, elk, and pronghorn on the Southern Plains, 1806-1857. *Plains Anthro*. 42: 163-172.

Umbanhowar, C.E. 1996. Recent fire history of the Northern Great Plains. *Amer. Midl. Natur*. 135: 115-121.

USDA-NRCS Ecological Site/Range Site Descriptions, Section II, Field Office Technical Guides. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Technical/efotg/>.

Williams, G.W. 2003. References on the American Indian use of fire in ecosystems. Report compiled by USDA Historical Analyst, Washington D.C. On file in La Junta office of Comanche Ranger District.

Wright, H.A. and A.W. Bailey. 1982. Chapter 5, Grasslands, Pp. 80-137 In: *Fire Ecology - United States and Canada*. John Wiley, New York.

Zak, D.R., D. Tilman, R. Parmenter, F.M. Fisher, C. Rice, J. Vose, D. Milchunas and C.W. Martin. 1994. Plant production and soil microorganisms in late successional ecosystems: a continental-scale study. *Ecology* 75: 2333-2347

**Fire Regime Groups are: I: 0-35 year frequency, surface severity; II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity; III: 35-100+ year frequency, mixed severity; IV: 35-100+ year frequency, replacement severity; V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity.